7. HIGHER ORDER A PRIORI ESTIMATES: GENERALITIES

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **34 (1988)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **29.03.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

We extend the summation convention as follows: we will be concerned only with lower indices. If a letter occurs twice, it refers to a contraction, which is taken with respect to g or to g' according to whether the letter occurs with a bar or with a prime. So,

$$T_{\ldots a\ldots \bar{a}\ldots} \text{ stands for } g^{a\bar{b}} T_{\ldots a\ldots \bar{b}\ldots}, \quad \text{while}$$
$$T_{\ldots a\ldots a'\ldots} \text{ stands for } g'^{\,a\bar{b}} T_{\ldots a\ldots \bar{b}\ldots} \quad .$$

As usual if $T_{a\ldots l}$ is a tensor, further lower indices refer to covariant differentiation (with respect to g); so,

$$T_{a\ldots lm} \text{ stands for } \nabla_m T_{a\ldots l}, \quad \text{while}$$
$$T_{a\ldots l\bar{m}} \text{ stands for } \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{m}} T_{a\ldots l} \quad .$$

Our indices will be latin letters; greek letters will denote multi-indices. If α is a multi-index, $\bar{\alpha}$ will denote the *conjugate* multi-index (for instance if $\alpha = a\bar{b}c$, then $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{a}b\bar{c}$), while $|\alpha|$ denotes its length. We shall say that α is *mixed* if its length is at least two and, among the first two letters, *exactly* one has a bar.

The notations D, ∇, $\bar{\nabla}$, $\| \ \|$, were introduced in section 4.

Remark 6.1. Since covariant differentiation (with respect to g) and contraction with respect to g' *do not* commute, we observe that, for instance, the difference (recall $g' = g + \nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi$)

$$\varphi_{aa'\alpha b} - (\varphi_{aa'\alpha})_b \equiv \varphi_{ac\alpha}\, \varphi_{a'c'b} \tag{3}$$

does not vanish.

7. Higher order a priori estimates: generalities

We want to prove by induction,

Proposition 7.1. *Given* $n \geqslant 4$, *a sequence* (K_i), $i \in \mathbf{N}$, *and a finite sequence* $C_0, \ldots, C_{n-1}$, *there exists* C_n *such that:*

$$\| \varphi \| \leqslant C_0, \quad \forall i = 0, \ldots, n-3, \quad \| D^i \nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi \| \leqslant C_{i+2}$$
$$\text{and} \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \| D^i P_\lambda(\varphi) \| \leqslant K_i,$$

implies

$$\| D^{n-2} \nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi \| \leqslant C_n .$$

Actually one needs $\| D^i P_\lambda(\varphi) \| \leqslant K_i$ *only for* $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, *hence* C_n *depends only upon* $(C_0, ..., C_{n-1}, K_0, ..., K_n)$.

Hereafter, by "a constant", we will mean a constant which depends only upon the given constants $(C_0, ..., C_{n-1}, K_0, ..., K_n)$.

Let us explain a further convention.

Convention 7.2. We will have to consider sums of tensors obtained via contractions of tensor polynomials in the variables $(g')^{-1}$, $\nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi$, ..., $D^i\nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi$, ... The present convention helps describing the variables occuring in (still) uncontrolled expressions.

First of all, *given* $\varphi \in A_\lambda$ and an integer $n \geqslant 3$, we denote by E_{n-1} the (finite dimensional complex) vector space generated by all contracted tensor polynomials, with degree of homogeneity at most $2n$, in the variables

$$(g')^{-1}, \quad \nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi, \quad D\nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi, ..., D^{n-3}\nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi, \quad D^i P_\lambda(\varphi), \quad i = 0, ..., n.$$

In order to prove 7.1, we will compute *modulo* E_{n-1}.

Given integers $p, ..., s$, all of them $\geqslant n$, we will say that mod. E_{n-1} a tensor T is "of the form $T_{p,...,s}$", whenever mod. E_{n-1} it is a sum of contractions of tensors

$$A \otimes D^{p-2}\nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi \otimes ... \otimes D^{s-2}\nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi,$$

where the A's are in E_{n-1}.

Furthermore for $s \geqslant n$, under the assumptions of 7.1, we will say that a *scalar* term $T_{s,s}$ is *coercive*, if for any other term of the form T'_s (resp. $T''_{s,s}$) there exists a constant C such that:

$$| T'_s | \leqslant C(T_{s,s})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{resp. } | T''_{s,s} | \leqslant C T_{s,s}).$$

We present now three lemmas which illustrate the previous convention.

LEMMA 7.3. *Given integers* $s \geqslant n \geqslant 3$, *the covariant derivative (in metric g) of a term of the form* T_s mod. E_{n-1}, *is of the form* $(T_{s+1} + T_s)$ mod. E_n.

Proof. This is just because the derivative $D[(g')^{-1}]$ is a contracted tensor polynomial (of degree 3) in $(g')^{-1}$ and $D\nabla\bar{\nabla}\varphi$.

LEMMA 7.4. *If* α *and* β *are two distinct mixed multi-indices of length* $(n+2)$ *obtained from each other by permutation, then the difference of covariant derivatives* $(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_\beta)$ *is of the form* T_n mod. E_{n-1}.

Proof. On the Kähler manifold (X, g), commuting two consecutive covariant derivatives yields curvature terms only if the couple of derivatives concerned is *mixed* (for general commutation rules on Riemannian manifolds see e.g. [21], exposé XI, proposition 3.2). If so, say k and $\bar{l}$ are the permuted indices, the result will involve

$$R^{q}_{p\bar{k}\bar{l}} \quad \text{(curvature tensor of } g\text{)}$$

with p and q *of the same type.* Explicitely:

$$\varphi_{\lambda k\bar{l}\mu} - \varphi_{\lambda\bar{l}k\mu} = \sum_{p} R_{p\bar{q}k\bar{l}}\, \varphi_{\nu q\tau}$$

for all p, ν, τ, such that $\nu p\tau \equiv \lambda\mu$. Hence the *types* of all the remaining non-permuted covariant derivatives $\varphi_{\nu q\tau}$ are *identically preserved.* In particular if γ and δ denote two multi-indices of length n obtained from each other by permutation, necessarily

$$(\varphi_{i\bar{j}\gamma} - \varphi_{i\bar{j}\delta}) \text{ is of the form } T_n \text{ mod. } E_{n-1}\,,$$

since two *mixed* derivatives will keep bearing in first place on φ in the process of permutation.

The proof of lemma 7.4 is therefore reduced to the following two cases for the multi-indices α and β:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either} \quad & \alpha = ij\bar{k}\lambda\,, \quad \beta = k\bar{j}i\lambda\,, \quad |\lambda| = n-1\,, \\ \text{or} \quad & \alpha = ij\bar{k}\bar{l}\mu\,, \quad \beta = k\bar{l}\bar{i}\bar{j}\mu\,, \quad |\mu| = n-2\,. \end{aligned}$$

In the first case, one has identically on a Kähler manifold:

$$\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_\beta \equiv 0\,.$$

In the second case, the same reasoning as above holds for $(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_\beta)$ since it can be written as

$$(\varphi_{ij\bar{k}\bar{l}\mu} - \varphi_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}\mu}) + (\varphi_{ki\bar{l}\bar{j}\mu} - \varphi_{k\bar{l}i\bar{j}\mu})\,,$$

each of these two commutations being clearly of the form T_n mod. E_{n-1}.

Q.E.D.

Remark 7.5. The fact that commutation formulae involve only *mixed* derivatives was already a crucial detail in the proofs of the second and third order *a priori* estimates.

LEMMA 7.6. *The tensor* $\varphi_{aa'\alpha}$ *where* α *is a mixed multi-index of length* n *is,* mod. E_{n-1}, *of the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} &T_{3,3} + T_2 && \text{when} \quad n = 2, \\ &T_{4,3} + T_{3,3,3} + T_3 && \text{when} \quad n = 3, \\ &T_5 + T_{4,4} + T_4 && \text{when} \quad n = 4, \\ &T_{n+1} + T_n && \text{when} \quad n \geqslant 5. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The cases $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$, must be checked bare-handed. There is no difficulty. Then, for $n \geqslant 5$, one can proceed by induction on n. Indeed assume,

$$\varphi_{aa'\alpha} = T_{n+1} + T_n \text{ mod. } E_{n-1}, \qquad \text{for some } n = |\alpha| \geqslant 5.$$

Recall formula (3) and lemma 7.3; differentiating once the above equality yields

$$\varphi_{aa'\alpha b} = (T_{n+1} + T_n)_b + \varphi_{ac\alpha}\, \varphi_{a'c'b} = T_{n+2} + T_{n+1} \text{ mod. } E_n,$$

since $|ac\alpha| = n + 2$. The same is true with $\bar{b}$ instead of b. Q.E.D.

Remark 7.7. The preceding lemma offers a perspective which brings some light on the type of difficulties to be expected for carrying out *a priori* estimates of each order. In particular, one may anticipate that a special step should be required for $n = 4$ (in order to kill the effect of the term $T_{4,4}$) and that the same (simpler) procedure should then apply, arguing by iteration, for any $n \geqslant 5$.

Notice also that the hardest case appears to be $n = 3$. Indeed, following Calabi [8] one must guess the very special *coercive* functional [1] [24]

$$S_{3,3} = \varphi_{ab'c}\, \varphi_{a'bc'},$$

perform a careful calculation of $\Delta'(S_{3,3})$ and use either the Maximum Principle [24] or a recurrence on $L^p(dX_{g'})$ norms of $S_{3,3}$ [1]. The *approximate* tensor calculus which we may conveniently use hereafter would not be effective for the case $n = 3$.

8. A PRIORI ESTIMATES OF ORDER FOUR

In order to prove 7.1 with $n = 4$, we consider the functional:

$$S_{4,4} = \varphi_{a\bar{b}cd}\, \varphi_{\bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}} + \varphi_{a\bar{b}\bar{c}d}\, \varphi_{\bar{a}bc\bar{d}}.$$

It is enough to estimate $S_{4,4}$ since it is *coercive*. Let us compute $-\Delta'(S_{4,4})$. One readily obtains:

$$-\Delta'(S_{4,4}) = T_{6,4} + T_{5,5} \quad (\text{mod. } E_3),$$