

§3. Loop Groups

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **34 (1988)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

x is a *cut point* (with respect to p) if there is a geodesic from p to x that minimizes arc length up to x but no further. The *cut locus* is the set of cut points. Similarly a vector X in the tangent space T_p is a *tangent cut point* if $\exp_p X$ is a cut point along the geodesic $\exp_p(tX)$. The *tangent cut locus* is the set of all such points in T_p , and is homeomorphic to the unit sphere in T_p . When $M = G/K$ we take $p = 1$.

(2.26) THEOREM. *Let G/K be a simply-connected symmetric space, with G simple. Then the tangent cut locus is precisely the K -orbit in \mathfrak{m} of the outer wall of the Cartan simplex $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}$. It is therefore canonically identified with the topological building of the associated real form $G_{\mathbf{R}}$.*

As usual, the assumption G simple is just for convenience. We sketch the proof: the first assertion is a fairly easy consequence of Theorem (1.8), and is left to the reader. Now consider the building $\mathcal{B}_{G_{\mathbf{R}}}$. It is a quotient space of $G_{\mathbf{R}}/B_{\mathbf{R}} \times \Delta_0 = K/C_K t_{\mathfrak{m}} \times \Delta_0$, where Δ_0 is a simplex of dimension $(\text{rank } G/K) - 1$; we take Δ_0 to be the outer wall of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}$. For each $I \leq S_{G/K}$, let Δ_I temporarily denote the corresponding face of Δ_0 ; i.e. $\{X \in \Delta_0 : \alpha_i(X) = 0 \ \forall i \in I\}$. Then the K -orbit of Δ_0 in \mathfrak{m} , $K\Delta_0$, is also a quotient of $K/C_K t_{\mathfrak{m}} \times \Delta_0$. The relations are $(k_1 X) \sim (k_2 X)$ if $X \in \Delta_I$ and $k_1 = k_2 \text{ mod } K_I$. But $K_I = K \cap \mathcal{O}_I$, so these relations are identical to the ones that define the building. \square

§ 3. LOOP GROUPS

Let $LG, LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ denote the free loop spaces. Let $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ denote the group of loops which are restrictions of regular maps $\mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$, and let $L_{\text{alg}}G = L_{\text{alg}}G_{\mathbf{C}} \cap LG$. Thus if we fix an embedding $G_{\mathbf{C}} \subset GL(n, \mathbf{C})$, $L_{\text{alg}}G$ consists of the loops f in LG admitting a finite Laurent expansion $f(z) = \sum_{k=-m}^m A_k z^k$, whereas $L_{\text{alg}}G_{\mathbf{C}}$ consists of the loops f in $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that both f and f^{-1} admit finite Laurent expansions. We will also write $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$ for $L_{\text{alg}}G_{\mathbf{C}}$. In fact $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the group of points over $\mathbf{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ of the algebraic group $G_{\mathbf{C}}$. Its Lie algebra is the loop algebra $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of regular maps $\mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow g_{\mathbf{C}}$. The integer m in the above Laurent expansion defines a filtration of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$ by finite dimensional subspaces; we give $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$ the corresponding weak topology.

Let P denote the subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$ consisting of regular maps $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$ (i.e. maps with nonnegative Laurent expansion, or $G_{\mathbf{C}[z]}$), and let \tilde{B} denote the Iwahori subgroup: $\{f \in P : f(0) \in B^{-}\}$. Finally, let $\tilde{N} = L_{\text{alg}}N_{\mathbf{C}}$, and recall that \tilde{W} can be regarded as a "subgroup" of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$, since $R \leq \text{Hom}(S^1, T) \leq L_{\text{alg}}T$. More precisely, we have $\tilde{N}/T_{\mathbf{C}} = \hat{W}$, and $\tilde{W} \subset \hat{W}$.

The *affine root system* Φ is the set $\mathbf{Z} \times \Phi$. It can be thought of as a set of affine linear functionals on t , but for our purposes it is just a device for encoding combinatorial information about the affine Weyl group and \tilde{G}_C . In particular, to each $(n, \alpha) \in \Phi$ we associate a root subalgebra $X_{n, \alpha}$ of \tilde{g}_C consisting of the regular maps $C^* \rightarrow X_\alpha$ homogeneous of degree n . These subalgebras are one-dimensional, and are precisely the nontrivial eigenspaces of the following T^{l+1} action: The constant loops T^l act in the obvious way, and the extra S^1 factor acts by rotating the loops. We also have root subgroups $U_{(n, \alpha)} = \exp X_{n, \alpha} \leq \tilde{G}_C$. One can easily check that \tilde{W} (acting by left conjugation) permutes the root subgroups. The resulting action of \tilde{W} on $\tilde{\Phi}$ is given by $(w\lambda) \cdot (n, \alpha) = (n + \alpha(\lambda), w\alpha)$ for $\lambda \in \text{hom}(S^1, T)$, $w \in W$. The various additional structures associated with ordinary root systems can be defined here as well. The positive roots $\tilde{\Phi}^+$ are the (n, α) with $n \geq 1$ or $n = 0$ and $\alpha < 0$ (note these correspond to the Iwahori subgroup \tilde{B}); the remaining roots are negative. As in the finite case, the length of an element σ in \tilde{W} is equal to the number of positive roots taken to negative roots by σ (in particular this latter number is finite, as is clear anyway from the above formula for the \tilde{W} action). The simple affine roots are defined as the set of elements of $\tilde{\Phi}^+$ which are indecomposable with respect to addition: $(m, \alpha) + (n, \beta) = (m+n, \alpha+\beta)$ (if $\alpha+\beta$ is a root). Hence the simple roots are $(0, -\alpha), \dots, (0, -\alpha_l)$ and $(1, \alpha_0)$.

To each root (n, α) , we can also associate a "little SL_2 " subgroup generated by $U_{n, \alpha}$ and $U_{-n, -\alpha}$. In particular $\tilde{G}_{C, i}$ is the subgroup corresponding to the i th simple affine root, $0 \leq i \leq l$. Thus $\tilde{G}_{C, i} = G_{C, i}$ if $i \neq 0$, and $\tilde{G}_{C, 0}$ corresponds to $(1, \alpha_0)$. For example, if $G = SU(2)$, $\tilde{G}_{C, 0}$ is the subgroup of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & bz \\ cz^{-1} & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $ad - bc = 1$. We let $\tilde{G}_i = \tilde{G}_{C, i} \cap LG$. Again $\tilde{G}_i = G_i$ if $i \neq 0$. Note that for all i , evaluation at $z = 1$ gives an isomorphism $\tilde{G}_i \xrightarrow{\cong} G_i \cong SU(2)$.

(3.1) THEOREM. Assume G is simply-connected. Then $(\tilde{G}_C, \tilde{B}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{S})$ is a topological Tits system satisfying the four axioms of § 2.

Proof. That $(\tilde{G}_C, \tilde{B}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{S})$ is a Tits system in the ordinary sense is essentially due to Iwahori and Matsumoto [16]. (They work over a complete local field K ; here we take K to be the field of infinite Laurent series bounded below. It is not hard to get from the Chevalley group G_K to $G_{C[z, z^{-1}]} = \tilde{G}_C$.) See also Kac and Peterson [17].

Clearly \tilde{B} and \tilde{N} are closed subgroups and \tilde{W} is discrete. For Axiom (2.11) we need to show that if \tilde{W} is an irreducible affine Weyl group,

and I is a proper subset of \tilde{S} , then \tilde{W}_I is finite. This is obvious since the elements of I have a common fixed point (i.e. the intersection of the corresponding reflection hyperplanes is nonempty). In Axiom (2.12) we take $A_s = \tilde{G}_s$. We have $\tilde{G}_s \tilde{B} = \tilde{G}_{c,s} \tilde{B} = \tilde{B}$ $U_s \tilde{B} = P_s$. In particular $P_s / \tilde{B} = \tilde{G}_s / (\tilde{G}_s \cap \tilde{B}) \cong SU(2)/T = \mathbb{C}P^1$, which also proves Axioms (2.20) and (2.21). \square

(3.2) COROLLARY. $\Omega_{alg} G$ is a CW-complex with cells of even dimension, indexed by $\text{Hom}(S^1, T)$. The Poincaré series for its integral homology is $\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Hom}(S^1, T)} t^{2\bar{l}(\lambda)}$, where $\bar{l}(\lambda)$ is the minimal length accruing in λW . Identifying $\text{Hom}(S^1, T)$ with \tilde{W}^S , the closure relations on the cells are given by the Bruhat order on \tilde{W}^S . \square

Remark. An explicit formula for $\bar{l}(\lambda)$ is given in [16], Prop. 1.25: $\bar{l}(\lambda) = (\sum_{\alpha > 0} |\alpha(\lambda)|) - |\{\alpha > 0 : \alpha(\lambda) > 0\}|$.

We will also need the “Iwasawa decomposition” (see [17], [27], [29]):

(3.3) THEOREM. $\tilde{G}_C = \Omega_{alg} G \times P$. \square

Remark. Note that (3.3) shows that the associated building, which we will be denoted simply by \mathcal{B}_G , is a quotient of $L_{alg} G/T \times \Delta$. The equivalence relation is then $(f_1 T, X) \sim (f_2 T, X)$ if $X \in \dot{\Delta}_I$ and $f_1 = f_2 \bmod LG \cap P_I$.

§ 4. QUILLEN’S THEOREM FOR LOOP GROUPS

In this section we will give Quillen’s proof of the following theorem.

(4.1) THEOREM. Let G be a compact Lie group. Then the inclusion $\Omega_{alg} G \rightarrow \Omega G$ is a homotopy equivalence.

If G is simply connected, let \mathcal{B}_G denote the topological building associated to the algebraic loop group $L_{alg} G_C$ as in § 2.

(4.2) THEOREM (Quillen). $\Omega_{alg} G$ acts freely on \mathcal{B}_G , with orbit space G .

Proof of (4.1). It is easy to reduce to the case when G is simply connected. Since B_G is contractible by Theorem 2.16, we conclude at once from Theorem (4.2) that $\Omega_{alg} G \rightarrow \Omega G$ is a weak equivalence. Since both spaces have the homotopy type of a CW-complex, the map is in fact a homotopy equivalence. \square