

2. Orthogonal representations of p-groups

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **34 (1988)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **26.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

We can identify the irreducible faithful $\mathbf{Q}[\mathbf{Z}/p]$ -Module $\mathbf{Q}^{p-1}$ with $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ (ζ_p : primitive p -th root of unity, $1 \in \mathbf{Z}/p$ acts on $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ by multiplication with ζ_p). Any symmetric σ -invariant bilinear form is given by $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbf{Q}}(axy\bar{y})$ with $a \in \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ (cf. [4] or [6]). We write γ_a for the σ -invariant bilinear form corresponding to $a \in \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$.

(1.2) LEMMA. *The discriminant of γ_a in $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Q}^{*2}$ is equal to $p \bmod \mathbf{Q}^{*2}$.*

Proof. Since $a \in \mathbf{L} := \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ we have: $\gamma_a = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{Q}}(\text{tr}_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbf{L}}axy\bar{y})$. An easy computation shows that $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbf{L}}(axy\bar{y})$ is a 2-dimensional symmetric $\mathbf{L}$ -bilinearform with discriminant $4 - (\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})^2 \bmod \mathbf{L}^{*2} \in \mathbf{L}/\mathbf{L}^{*2}$. Applying [7, Lemma 2.2] we conclude that the discriminant of γ_a is independant of $a \in \mathbf{L}$. Consider now the matrix representation of σ given before (σ : irreducible faithful $\mathbf{Q}$ -representation of $\mathbf{Z}/p$). Let C be the $(p-1) \times (p-1)$ -matrix given by:

$$C := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & -1 & 2 & -1 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that C is the matrix of a σ -invariant symmetric bilinear form. The Lemma follows since the determinant of C is equal to p .

2. ORTHOGONAL REPRESENTATIONS OF p -GROUPS

Let $p > 2$ be an odd prime. The integer $l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p)$ is defined by

$$l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p) := \text{g.c.d.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m > 1 \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{the } m\text{-fold direct sum } \sigma \oplus \dots \oplus \sigma \text{ of the irre-} \\ \text{ducible faithful } \mathbf{Q}\text{-representation } \sigma \text{ of } \mathbf{Z}/p \text{ is} \\ \text{equivalent to an orthogonal representation} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right.$$

The importance played by cyclic groups in the investigation of representations of p -groups is given by the following result (cf. [1, Theorem (1.10)]):

(2.1) PROPOSITION. *Let G be a finite p -group ($p > 2$) and let ρ be an irreducible $\mathbf{Q}$ -representation of G . Then either ρ is induced from a representation θ of a normal subgroup of index p , or ρ factors through a $\mathbf{Q}$ -representation of $\mathbf{Z}/p$.*

The degree of an irreducible non trivial $\mathbf{Q}$ -representation of a finite p -group is therefore of the form $p^k(p-1)$ ($k=0, 1, 2, \dots$), cf. [1, Corollary (1.11)].

(2.2) PROPOSITION. Let G be a p -group ($p>2$) and $\rho: G \rightarrow SO_{2m}(\mathbf{Q})$ a representation of G with $2m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p) \cdot (p-1)}$. Then ρ has a fixed point (i.e. $\rho = 1 \oplus \tau$ where 1 is the unique 1-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$ -representation of G).

We will need the following lemma for the proof of (2.2):

(2.3) LEMMA. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_m(\mathbf{Q})$ be an irreducible non trivial representation of the p -group G ($p>2$) and let ψ be a ρ -invariant symmetric bilinear form. If we write σ for the irreducible faithful representation of $\mathbf{Z}/p$, then there exist σ -invariant bilinear forms $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_s$ such that ψ is equivalent to the orthogonal sum $\Gamma_1 \perp \dots \perp \Gamma_s$.

Proof. Let $p^k(p-1)$ be the degree of ρ . We prove the lemma by induction on k . For $k=0$, ρ factors through the irreducible faithful representation σ of $\mathbf{Z}/p$. Every ρ -invariant symmetric bilinear form ψ is therefore σ -invariant. For $k>0$, ρ is induced by a representation θ of a normal subgroup H of index p . The restriction ρ_H of ρ to H splits in a direct sum: $\rho = \theta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \theta_p$ with $\theta = \theta_1$ and θ_i is irreducible for $i=1, \dots, p$. By (1.1) we can assume that $\mathbf{Q}^m$ is the orthogonal sum of the corresponding irreducible invariant subspaces. The assertion follows by induction.

Proof of (2.2). If $G = \mathbf{Z}/p$, we split ρ in a direct sum: $\rho = n_0 1 \oplus n_1 \sigma$ (1 : one dimensional representation of $\mathbf{Z}/p$; σ : irreducible faithful representation of $\mathbf{Z}/p$). If $n_0 = 0$ then n_1 must be a multiple of $l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p)$, i.e. we have $2m \equiv 0 \pmod{(p-1)l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p)}$. Contradiction.

If G is not $\mathbf{Z}/p$, we split ρ in a direct sum of irreducible representations: $\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_t$, chosen in such a way that $\mathbf{Q}^{2m}$ is the orthogonal sum of the corresponding invariant subspaces. Suppose now that ρ has no fixed points. Then all ρ_i are non trivial and it follows from (2.3) that any ρ -invariant symmetric bilinear form is equivalent to an orthogonal sum of σ -invariant symmetric bilinear forms. We can therefore construct a representation $\mathbf{Z}/p \rightarrow SO_{2m}(\mathbf{Q})$ without fixed points, what contradicts the first part of the proof.

The rest of the section is devoted to the computation of $l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p)$, p odd prime.

(2.4) PROPOSITION.
$$l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8} \\ 4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For each $a \in \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$, the discriminant of γ_a is not a square in $\mathbf{Q}$ (cf. lemma (1.2)). Therefore $l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p)$ must be even. The 4-fold orthogonal sum of a $\mathbf{Q}$ -bilinear form is equivalent to the standard bilinear form, since every integer is sum of four squares. Let C be the matrix considered in the proof of lemma (1.2). If it is possible to find two rational numbers u and v such that the matrix $X_{u,v}$

$$X_{u,v} := \begin{bmatrix} uC & 0 \\ 0 & vC \end{bmatrix}$$

represents a bilinear form $\xi_{u,v}$ which is equivalent to the standard one, then the representation $\sigma \oplus \sigma$ is equivalent to an orthogonal representation. This sufficient condition is also necessary if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (cf. [5]). For a prime p , let $\mathbf{Q}_p$ be the field of p -adic numbers and write $\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}$ for $\mathbf{R}$ as usual. For $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ and for $v = 2, 3, 5, 7, \dots, \infty$ we write $(a, b)_v$ for the Hilbert symbol of a and b relatively to $\mathbf{Q}_v$. For a bilinearform α given in an orthogonal base by the diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

we write $H_v(\alpha)$ ($v = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$) for the Hasse invariant, which is defined by

$$H_v(\alpha) = \prod_{i < j} (a_i, a_j)_v$$

Using the formulas given for example by [9] to compute the Hilbert symbol, one check that:

$$\begin{aligned} H_v(\xi_{1,1}) &= 1 & \text{if } p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4} & & \text{for } v = 2, 3, 5, 7, \dots, \infty, \\ H_2(\xi_{u,v}) &= -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} & & \text{for any } u \text{ and any } v, \\ H_v(\xi_{2p,1}) &= 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} & & \text{for } v = 2, 3, 5, 7, \dots, \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since the discriminant of $\xi_{u,v}$ is $1 \in \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Q}^{*2}$ and since $\xi_{u,v}$ is positive definit for any u and any v , it follows that $\sigma \oplus \sigma$ is equivalent to an orthogonal representation if and only if $p \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. It remains to show that, for $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, the $2n$ -fold orthogonal sum μ given by the matrix H :

$$H := \begin{bmatrix} u_1 C & & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot \\ & & & & u_{2n} C \end{bmatrix}$$

is isomorphic to the standard bilinear form if and only if n is even. Let u_{odd} and u_{even} defined by:

$$u_{\text{even}} := \prod_{k=1}^n u_{2k} \quad u_{\text{odd}} := \prod_{k=1}^n u_{2k-1};$$

an easy computation shows that $H_v(\xi_{u_{\text{even}}, u_{\text{odd}}}) = H_v(\mu)$ if n is odd. The proposition follows.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

(3.1) LEMMA. Let p be a prime number ($p > 2$). For every integer m satisfying $2m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(p-1) \cdot l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p)}$ we have $F_{\mathbf{Q}}(m, p) = 1$.

Proof. Let G be a p -group, $p > 2$. It follows from (2.2) that any representation ρ of G splits: $\rho = 1 \oplus \tau$ (1 is the 1-dimensional representation of G). Then we have $e(\rho) = e(1)e(\tau) = 0$.

We are now able to prove the main theorem. It has been showed in [3] that $F_{\mathbf{Q}}(n) = 4$ if n is odd. If n is even, four cases have to be distinguished. If $p = 2$ then the $n/2^{N-2}$ -fold sum of the irreducible faithful representation of $\mathbf{Z}/2^N$, where 2^N is the 2-primary part of $\text{den}(B_n/n)$, is an orthogonal representation with Euler class of order 2^N (cf. [1]). Let now p be an odd prime. Since the irreducible faithful representation v of $\mathbf{Z}/p^r$ ($r \geq 1$) is induced by the irreducible faithful representation of $\mathbf{Z}/p \subset \mathbf{Z}/p^r$, the M -fold sum of v is equivalent to an orthogonal representation if and only if $l_{\mathbf{Q}}(p)$ divides M . Write $n = Np^k(p-1)$ with $\text{g.c.d.}(N, p) = 1$. If N is even, the $2N$ -fold sum of the irreducible faithful representation of $\mathbf{Z}/p^{k+1}$ is orthogonal and has Euler class of order p^{k+1} (cf. [1]); if N is odd and $p \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ then the $2N$ -fold sum of the irreducible faithful representation of $\mathbf{Z}/p^{k+1}$ is orthogonal and has Euler class of order p^{k+1} (cf. [1]). In the three cases, the statement follows from the well known characterization of $\text{den}(B_n/n)$ (cf. [1] for example). Eventually, applying (3.1) we see that $F_{\mathbf{Q}}(n, p) = 1$ if N is odd and $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.