

# Two notes on *Silvae*, book 5

Autor(en): **Campana, Pierpaolo**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Museum Helveticum : schweizerische Zeitschrift für klassische Altertumswissenschaft = Revue suisse pour l'étude de l'antiquité classique = Rivista svizzera di filologia classica**

Band (Jahr): **63 (2006)**

Heft 4

PDF erstellt am: **23.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-48703>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## Two Notes on *Silvae*, Book 5

Di Pierpaolo Campana, Pisa

*Abstract:* My aim is to restore two lines of Statius' *Silvae* (5, 2, 145 and 5, 3, 140). The first one shows a more complex situation and therefore I discuss the main attempts to solve the textual problems before giving my suggestion. The second one needs just the replacement of a single word and I try to suggest a solution which has some paleographical analogies with the manuscript tradition and parallels that suit the sense of the context.

1.

*silv.* 5, 2, 144-6

*hic suetus dare iura parens, hoc caespite turmas  
adfari †vitae† specula castellaque longe  
(aspicis?) ille dedit cinxitque haec moenia fossa*

*vitae specula M; vitae speculas Itali; late speculas Waller; velut e specula Traglia; quas hinc speculas Courtney*<sup>1</sup>

Statius is imaging the words someone could say to Crispinus, when he, who is going to serve in the army, arrived in Caledonia, which was governed by his father Vetius Bolanus.

Line 145 shows two problems: the main one is *vitae*, which needs to be replaced by something else; the second one is *specula*, which is often supposed to be *speculas*, in connection with the following *castellaque*. In this context, *aspicis* in line 146 is usually thought to be a parenthetical question.

As Shackleton Bailey, who leaves the obelus, says, Waller's conjecture would be good only if we had (and we have not) a good parallel for *late speculas castellaque longe* in sense of *longe lateque speculas castellaque*. Courtney's *quas hinc* suggests a very complex explanation for the corruption. Traglia's attempt gives a good paleographical explanation but a less good meaning of the phrase: *specula*

\* Wish to thank Kathleen Coleman, with whom I discussed this note.

1 These are the main conjectures; for a full record of the attempts to restore the text see the following editions ad loc.: J. S. Phillimore (Oxford 1905); H. Frère (Paris 1944); A. Marastoni (Leipzig 1961); A. Traglia (Torino 1978); E. Courtney (Oxford 1990); D. R. Shackleton Bailey (Cambridge, MA-London 2003, with translation); and D. A. Slater, *The Silvae of Statius* (Oxford 1908); E. Courtney, "Emendations of Statius' *Silvae*", *BICS* 15, 1968, 57.

means “watchtower” and I can not see why a mound should be compared with a watchtower, whose function was not to leave a *dux* speaking to the soldiers but observing the landscape.

My suggestion is to write these lines as follows:

*hic suetus dare iura parens, hoc caespite turmas  
adfari; vel quae speculas castellaque longe  
aspicis ille dedit cinxitque haec moenia fossa*

“Even the watchtower and forts which you see in the distance he set”<sup>2</sup>. The abbreviations of *vel* are often read in manuscripts as *ut* or *t*.

Connecting the neuter pronoun to substantives with a different gender would not give problems: see for example Sen. *const.sap.* 8, 3 *damna et dolores, ignominias, locorum commutationes, orbitates, discidia, quae sapientem... non mergunt*<sup>3</sup>.

Moreover, *aspicis* as a parenthetical question seems to me odd in the context: Statius is imaging the speech of an hypothetical inhabitant when Crispinus hypothetically arrived in Caledonia. We can expect a parenthetical question like this only if someone was really showing the land, but it is less natural in a “potential” speech.

2.

*silv.* 5, 3, 139-40

*non totiens victorem Castora gyro  
nec fratrem caestu virides †clausero† Therapnae.*

Statius is speaking about the many victories of his father in poetic contests, comparing them with the victories of Castor and Pollux in athletic games.

Line 140 is corrupt, but the sense of these lines is quite clear and they just need a verb that fits the context. The main conjectures are Watt’s *auxere*, Håkanson’s *coluere* (cf. *silv.* 4, 8, 53 *umbrosaue magis coluere Therapnae*), and Calderini’s *plausere*, accepted by Shackleton Bailey<sup>4</sup>.

2 The sentence would be a sort of *hysteron-proteron* in respect to the following: *cinxitque haec moenia fossa*.

3 The phenomenon is well known: about this kind of grammatical agreement see in general Hofmann-Szantyr II, 2, 2, 435.

4 See Shackleton Bailey cit. (n. 1), 401; and now also E. Courtney, “On editing the *Silvae*”, *HSCP* 102, 2004, 446 and Shackleton Bailey, “On editing the *Silvae*: a Response”, *HSCP* 102, 2004, 455.

My suggestion is *cinxere* (scil. *lauro*): among the examples of *cingo* in such contexts, see Verg. *Aen.* 5, 539–40 *sic fatus cingit viridanti tempora lauro / et primum ante omnis victorem appellat Acesten* (who won in an archery contest).

Correspondence:

Pierpaolo Campana

Dipartimento di Filologia Classica (Latino)

Via Galvani, 1

I-56126 Pisa