# **§ 2. The construction when E is complete and first countable.**

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **16 (1970)**

Heft 1: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **23.09.2024**

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

#### **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der ETH-Bibliothek ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

## **http://www.e-periodica.ch**

Our final preliminary comment refers to boundedness of sets. If  $E$  is any topological linear space, a subset  $A$  of  $E$  will be said to be bounded in  $E$  if and only if to every neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 in  $E$  corresponds a number  $r = r(A, U) > 0$  such that  $rA = \{rx : x \in A\}$  is contained in U. If E is first countable and  $d$  is a semimetric on  $E$  defining its topology, boundedness in the above sense of a set  $A \subseteq E$  must not be confused with metric boundedness [i.e., with the condition sup  $\{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in A\} < \infty$ ]. It is in order to minimise the possibility of this confusion that we use the term

"first countable" (an abbreviation for "satisfying the first axiom of countability") rather than "semimetrizable".

## $\S 2$ . The construction when E is complete and first countable.

In this section, where  $E$  will always denote a complete first countable (locally convex) space and  $P$  a set of bounded gauges on  $E$ , we will describe the basic construction. Let  $f^*$  denote the upper envelope of P.

If the sequence  $(x_n)$  figuring in (1.1) and (1.2) is such that  $f^*(x_n) = \infty$ for some  $n \in N$ , no constructional problem remains. So we shall henceforth assume the contrary.

2.1 THEOREM. Suppose that  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are real numbers satisfying  $\beta > \alpha > 0$  and that sequences  $(x_n)$  in E,  $(f_n)$  in P are such that:

$$
f^*(x_n) < \infty \quad \text{for every } n \in N,\tag{2.1}
$$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0, \tag{2.2}
$$

$$
\sup_{n \in N} f_n(x_n) = \infty. \tag{2.3}
$$

Then infinite sequences  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  of positive integers may be constructed such that, for every sequence  $(\gamma_n)$  of real numbers satisfying

$$
\alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta \quad \text{for every } n \in N,
$$
 (2.4)

the series

$$
\sum_{v \in N} \gamma_v x_{n_v} \tag{2.5}
$$

is normally convergent in  $E$ , and

$$
f^*(x) \ge \lim_{\nu \to \infty} f_{n_{\nu}}(x) = \infty \tag{2.6}
$$

for each sum  $x$  of (2.5).

2.2 CONSTRUCTION AND PROOF. Let  $(\sigma_v)$  be an increasing sequence of continuous seminorms on  $E$  which define its topology. By initial passage to suitable subsequences, we may and will assume that (2.2) and (2.3) hold in the stronger form:

$$
\sum_{n\in N}\sigma_n(x_n) < \infty,\tag{2.2'}
$$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x_n) = \infty. \tag{2.3'}
$$

[To do this, define  $n_v \in N$  for  $v \in N$  by induction in such a way that  $n_1 < n_2 < ...$ 

$$
\sigma_{\nu}(x_{n_{\nu}}) \leq 2^{-\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{n_{\nu}}(x_{n_{\nu}}) > \nu \tag{2.7}
$$

for all  $v \in N$ . This is possible since by (2.2) we can determine  $n_1 \in N$  such that  $\sigma_1(x_n) \leq 2^{-1}$  if  $n \geq n_1^{\circ}$ , and then, by (2.3) and the fact that each  $f \in P$  is finite valued, there exists  $n \geq n_1^2$  such that  $f_n(x_n) > 1$ ; denote the<br>smallest such  $n \geq n_1^2$  by  $r =$  When  $n \leq r \leq$  is have been determined smallest such  $n \geq n_1$  by  $n_1$ . When  $n_1 < n_2 < ... n_j$  have been determined so that (2.7) holds for  $1 \le v \le j$ , find (see (2.2)) an integer  $n_{j+1} > n_j$  such that  $\sigma_{j+1}(x_n) \leq 2^{-j-1}$  if  $n \geq n_{j+1}^{\circ}$ . Then (2.3) shows that there exists an integer  $n \ge n_{j+1}^{\circ}$  such that  $f_n(x_n) > j + 1$ ; put  $n_{j+1}$  for the smallest such integer  $n \geq n_{i+1}^{\circ}$ .]

So now we assume  $(2.1)$ ,  $(2.2')$  and  $(2.3')$  and define one sequence  $n_1 < n_2 < ...$  of the required type in the following manner. (Other possibilities are discussed in Remark 2.3 (2) below.) Let  $n_1$  be the smallest  $n \in N$  such that

$$
f_n(x_n) \geq \beta \alpha^{-1};
$$

 $n_1$  may be determined by (2.3'). Suppose that v is a positive integer and that positive integers  $n_1 < n_2 < ... < n_n$  have been defined so that

$$
f_{n_j}(x_{n_v}) \leq 2^{-\nu} \quad \text{whenever} \quad 1 \leq j < \nu,
$$
\n
$$
f_{n_v}(x_{n_v}) \geq \beta \alpha^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < v} f_{n_v}(x_{n_j}) + \beta \alpha^{-1} \nu.
$$
\nis defined to be 0; then the conditions are all satisfied when

\n
$$
(2.2)_{\alpha} (2.2)_{\alpha} = 1, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{is a } i \in \mathbb{Z
$$

[An empty sum is defined to be 0; then the conditions are all satisfied when  $v = 1$ .] Then (2.2'), (2.3') and the fact that each  $f \in P$  is finite-valued imply that there exists an integer  $n > n<sub>v</sub>$  which satisfies

$$
f_{n_j}(x_n) \le 2^{-\nu - 1}
$$
 whenever  $1 \le j < \nu + 1$ ,  
\n $f_n(x_n) \ge \beta \alpha^{-1} \sum_{1 \le j < \nu + 1} f_n(x_{n_j}) + \beta \alpha^{-1} (\nu + 1);$ 

let  $n_{v+1}$  be the smallest such n. We then have for each  $v \in N$ :

J

 $-260-$ 

$$
n_{\nu} < n_{\nu+1},
$$
\n
$$
f_{n_j}(x_{n_{\nu}}) \leq 2^{-\nu} \quad \text{whenever} \quad 1 \leq j < \nu,\tag{2.8}
$$

$$
f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}) \geq \beta \alpha^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < \mathbf{v}} f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_{n_{j}}) + \beta \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{v}.\tag{2.9}
$$

By (2.2') and (2.4), the sum (2.5) is normally convergent in  $E$ . Let  $x$ be any sum of this series. To establish (2.6), write

 $x = u_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu} x_{n_{\nu}} + v_{\nu}$ 

where  $u_v = \sum_{1 \leq j < v} \gamma_j x_{n_j}$  and  $v_v$  is a sum of the series  $\sum_{j > v} \gamma_j x_{n_j}$ . **Thus**  $\gamma_{\nu} x_{n_{\nu}} = x - u_{\nu} - v_{\nu}$ , and so

$$
\alpha f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}) \leq f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}\,x_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}) \leq f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(x) + f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(u_{\mathbf{v}}) + f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(v_{\mathbf{v}}).
$$
(2.10)

Now, by (2.4),

$$
f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(u_{\mathbf{v}}) \leq \beta \sum_{1 \leq j < \mathbf{v}} f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_{n_j}); \tag{2.11}
$$

and, by (2.4), (2.8) and the fact that each  $f_n$  is bounded, hence continuous,

$$
f_{n_{\nu}}(v_{\nu}) \leq \beta \sum_{j > \nu} f_{n_{\nu}}(x_{n_j}) \leq \beta \sum_{j > \nu} 2^{-j} = \beta 2^{-\nu}.
$$
 (2.12)

By  $(2.10)$ ,  $(2.11)$  and  $(2.12)$ 

$$
\alpha f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}) \leq f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(x) + \beta \sum_{1 \leq j < \mathbf{v}} f_{n_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_{n_{j}}) + \beta 2^{-\nu},
$$

and so, by  $(2.9)$ ,

$$
\beta \sum_{1 \leq j < v} f_{n_{v}}(x_{n_{j}}) + \beta v \leq f_{n_{v}}(x) + \beta \sum_{1 \leq j < v} f_{n_{v}}(x_{n_{j}}) + \beta 2^{-v}.
$$

Hence

$$
f_{n_v}(x) \geq \beta (v - 2^{-v}),
$$

which proves (2.6) and the construction is complete.

2.3 REMARKS. (1) If it is known that

$$
D = \{x \in E : f^*(x) < \infty\}
$$

is dense in E, and if  $(x_n)$  and  $(f_n)$  satisfy (2.2) and (2.3), we can approximate each  $x_n$  so closely by an element  $y_n$  of D that (2.2) and (2.3) are left intact on replacing  $x_n$  by  $y_n$ . The hypotheses (2.1)–(2.3) are satisfied when  $x_n$ is everywhere replaced by  $y_n$ .

(2) If it be supposed that (2.2') holds and that sequences  $(A_n)$ ,  $(B_{n,r})$ and  $(C_n)$  are known such that  $\lim B_{n,r} = 0$  for every  $r \in N$ ,  $\lim C_n = \infty$ ,  $n \to \infty$   $n \to \infty$ 

$$
f^*(x_1) + \dots + f^*(x_n) \leq A_n,
$$
  
\n
$$
\max_{1 \leq j \leq r} f_j(x_n) \leq B_{n,r},
$$
  
\n
$$
f_n(x_n) \geq C_n,
$$

then it is easy to specify a function  $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}: N \times N \to N$  in terms of  $(A_n)$ ,  $(B_{n,r})$  and  $(C_n)$  such that (2.4) and (2.5) yield (2.6) for every sequence  $(n_v)$ such that  $C_{n_1} \geq \beta \alpha^{-1}$  and  $n_{\nu+1} \geq \phi_{\alpha,\beta}(n_{\nu}, v)$  for every  $v \in N$ .

(3) Local convexity of  $E$  is not essential in 2.1 and 2.2. In the contrary case one may proceed by introducing an invariant semimetric  $(x, y)$   $\mapsto$   $\vert x-y \vert$  defining the topology of E, much as in [2], proof of Theorem 6.1.1, or [15], Chapitre I,  $\S 3$ , No. 1. Normal summability in E of a series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} z_n$  of elements of E may then be taken to mean the convergence of  $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |z_n|$ . In place of (2.2') arrange that

$$
\sum_{n\in N}|\beta x_n| < \infty,
$$

which will ensure the normal convergence in  $E$  of (2.5) whenever (2.4) holds  $(E \text{ being assumed to be complete})$ . The rest of the proof and construction proceeds as before.

This method could, of course, be used when  $E$  is locally convex (and first countable and complete); we have not done so because the seminorms  $\sigma_n$  are usually more manageable in practice.

(4) A useful variant of 2.1 may be stated in the following terms.

2.4 Suppose given real numbers  $\beta > \alpha > 0$  and sequences  $(x_n)$  in E and  $(f_n)$  in P such that

$$
f^*(x_n) < \infty \quad \text{for every } n \in N,\tag{2.1}
$$

$$
\{x_n : n \in N\} \quad \text{is bounded in } E,\tag{2.2'}
$$

$$
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x_n) = \infty. \tag{2.3}
$$

Then one can construct a sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  of real numbers with the following properties :

$$
\lambda_n \geq 0, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n < \infty; \tag{2.13}
$$

 $-262-$ 

for every sequence  $(\gamma_n)$  satisfying (2.4) the series

$$
\sum_{n \in N} \gamma_n \lambda_n x_n \tag{2.14}
$$

is normally convergent in  $E$ ; and

$$
f^*(x) = \infty \tag{2.15}
$$

for every sum x of the series  $(2.14)$ .

In the sequel we shall denote by  $l^1_+(N)$  the set of sequences  $(\lambda_n)$  satisfying  $(2.13).$ 

**PROOF.** Define by recurrence a strictly increasing sequence  $(k_n)$  of positive integers, taking  $k_1$  to the first  $k \in N$  such that  $f_k(x_k) > 1^3$  and  $k_{n+1}$  to be the first  $k \in N$  such that  $k > k_n$  and  $f_k(x_k) > (n+1)^3$ . Then apply 2.1 and 2.2 with  $x_n$  and  $f_n$  replaced by  $n^{-2} x_{k_n}$  and  $f_{k_n}$  respectively. This furnishes at least one strictly increasing sequence  $(n<sub>v</sub>)$  of positive integers such that (2.4) entails that the series

$$
\sum_{v \in N} \gamma_v n_v^{-2} x_{k_{n_v}}
$$
 (2.16)

is normally convergent in E and that  $(2.15)$  holds for every sum x of  $(2.16)$ . It thus suffices to define  $\lambda_n$  to be  $n_v^{-2}$  when  $n = k_{n_v}$  for some  $v \in N$  and to be zero for all other  $n \in N$ ; it is obvious that (2.13) is then satisfied.

## § 3. The construction when E is sequentially complete

3.1 In this section we assume merely that  $E$  is a locally convex space which is sequentially complete. Again  $P$  will denote a set of bounded gauges on  $E$ , and  $f^*$  will denote its upper envelope. Suppose given sequences  $(x_n)$  in E and  $(f_n)$  in P such that  $(2.1)$ ,  $(2.2'')$  and  $(2.3)$  are satisfied. Then the conclusion of 2.4 remains valid.

**PROOF.** Consider the continuous linear map T of  $l^{1}(N)$  into E defined by

$$
T\xi=\sum_{n\in N}\xi_n\,x_n.
$$

Evidently,  $x_n = T\alpha_n$  for suitably chosen  $\alpha_n$  such that  $\{\alpha_n : n \in N\}$  is a bounded subset of  $l^1(N)$ . It therefore suffices to apply 2.4 with E replaced by  $l^1(N)$ ,  $x_n$  by  $\alpha_n$ , and  $f_n$  by  $f_n \circ T$ .

The following corollary will find application in §§ <sup>5</sup> and <sup>6</sup> below.