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Proof. Note that every perfect isometry $\sigma$ of $\mathscr{H}$ extends naturally to a perfect isometry of $\mathscr{H}^{*}$, inducing a perfect $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-isomorphism $\eta(\sigma)$ of $\mathscr{H}^{*} / \mathscr{H}, \eta$ denoting the induced map on the quotient. The proof of the proposition is complete in view of the following simple lemma.
3.5. Lemma. An $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-linear isomorphism of $\mathbf{F}_{4}$ is perfect if and only if it corresponds to multiplication by $\omega$, where $\omega$ denotes a primitive element of $\mathbf{F}_{4}$ over $\mathbf{F}_{2}$.

Proof. An $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-linear isomorphism of $\mathbf{F}_{4}$ is perfect if and only if it has no fixed point other than the trivial element. Since, $G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right) \simeq S_{3}$, it is easy to see that every perfect isomorphism of $\mathbf{F}_{4}$, corresponds to multiplication by $\omega$, $\omega$ being as above.
3.6. Proposition. Let $L$ be a Z-lattice such that $\mathscr{H}^{n} \subseteq L \subseteq \mathscr{H} *^{n}$. If $L$ is an $\mathscr{H}$-lattice, then $L$ has a perfect isometry, which corresponds to multiplication by $\omega$, on the quotient $\mathscr{H} *^{n} / \mathscr{H}^{n}$.

Proof. Multiplication by $\xi$ is a perfect isometry of $\mathscr{H}^{n}$ which extends naturally to a perfect isometry of $\mathscr{H} *^{n}$. Clearly the induced map on the quotient $\mathscr{H} *^{n} / \mathscr{H}^{n}$ is multiplication by $\omega$. Since $L$ is an $\mathscr{H}$-module, it preserves $L$ as well.

In particular,

### 3.7. Corollary. Every $\mathscr{H}$-lattice $(L, T r \circ h)$ of type $n \mathrm{D}_{4}$ has a perfect isometry.

It is but natural to ask whether every Z-lattice of type $n \mathrm{D}_{4}$ which has a perfect isometry necessarily admits the structure of an $\mathscr{H}$-lattice. We shall show that this is indeed true. For doing this we need to recall some basic facts on the automorphisms of the root system $n \mathrm{D}_{4}$.

## §4. Automorphisms of the root system $n \mathrm{D}_{4}$ AND PERFECT ISOMETRIES

For any root system R , let $\mathscr{W}(\mathrm{R})$ denote the Weyl group of R (i.e. the group generated by the reflections defined by the roots). Then $\mathscr{W}(\mathrm{R})$ is a normal subgroup of $A u t \mathrm{R}$, which preserves every $\mathbf{Z}$-lattice $L$ such that $\mathbf{Z R} \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbf{Z R}$ \#. We thus get a natural map $\eta:$ Aut $\mathrm{R} / \mathscr{W}(\mathrm{R})$ $\rightarrow A u_{\mathbf{z}}\left(\mathbf{Z R}{ }^{\# / Z R}\right)$. In view of ([H], p. 72; [C-S], p. 432) this is an injection.

An element $\sigma$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{R}) / \mathscr{W}(\mathrm{R})$ preserves $L$ if and only if $\eta(\sigma)$ preserves the corresponding subgroup $\eta(L)$ of $\mathbf{Z R} \# / \mathbf{Z R}$. If $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{D}_{4}$, Aut $\mathrm{R}=\mathscr{W}(\mathrm{R}) \underset{s}{\ltimes} S_{3}$, where, $\underset{s}{\ltimes}$ denotes the semi direct product and $S_{3}$ is the automorphism group of the associated Dynkin diagram:


Consequently, for $\mathrm{R}=n \mathrm{D}_{4}$, Aut $\mathrm{R} / \mathscr{W}(\mathrm{R}) \simeq S_{3}^{n} \underset{s}{\ltimes} S_{n} \simeq\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)\right)^{n} \underset{s}{\ltimes} S_{n}$. Thus the elements of $A u t \mathrm{R} / \mathscr{W}(\mathrm{R})$ are "monomial matrices" where each row and each column consists of exactly one element of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. It acts naturally on $\left(\mathbf{Z D}_{4}^{\#}\right)^{n} / \mathbf{Z D}_{4}^{n}$. In view of the identification of $\mathbf{Z D}_{4}^{\#} / \mathbf{Z D}_{4} \simeq \mathscr{H} * / \mathscr{H}$, we have the following proposition.

### 4.1. Proposition.

(a) Aut $\left(\mathscr{H}^{n}\right) / \mathscr{W}\left(\mathscr{H}^{n}\right) \simeq S_{3}^{n} \underset{s}{\ltimes} S_{n} \simeq\left(G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)\right)^{n} \underset{s}{\ltimes} S_{n}$.
(b) If $U$ denotes the group of units of $\mathscr{H}$, then $U$ is a subgroup of Aut $\mathscr{H}$ and $U /(\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{H}) \cap U) \simeq\left\{1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$, where $\mathbf{F}_{2}(\omega)=\mathbf{F}_{4}$.
(c) The conjugation in $\mathscr{H}$ belongs to the Weyl group $\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{H})$.

Proof. (a) This statement is an immediate consequence of the identification $\mathbf{Z D}_{4} \simeq \mathscr{H}$.
(b) By (a), Aut $\mathscr{H} / \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{H}) \simeq S_{3} \simeq G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. Since $\eta(U)=\left\{1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$, follows.
(c) The conjugation in $\mathscr{H}$ is a product of reflections defined by $i, j$ and $k$.

We now consider the perfect isomorphisms of $\left(\mathscr{H}^{*^{n}}\right) / \mathscr{H}^{n}$ arising out of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathscr{H}^{n}\right) / \mathscr{W}\left(\mathscr{H}^{n}\right)$. We begin by fixing the following notation:

Let $V=\mathbf{F}_{4}^{n}=X_{1} \perp X_{2} \perp \ldots X_{n}$ with respect to the standard hermitian form on $V$, where $X_{i} \simeq \mathbf{F}_{4}=\mathbf{F}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{F}_{2}=\left\{0,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$. Let $G$ denote the group of all $n \times n$ monomial matrices with entries in $M_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$, where each row and each column consists of exactly one element of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. Note that every element of $G$ can be uniquely expressed as $\alpha \cdot \tau$, where $\alpha$ is the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, with $\alpha_{i}$ in $G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ and $\tau$ is an $n \times n$ permutation matrix. We have,
4.2. Lemma. Let $\sigma$ belonging to $G$ be perfect and let $X=X_{i}$ for some $i$. Let $m$ be the smallest positive integer for which $\sigma^{m}$ maps $X$ onto itself. Then $\sigma^{m} / X$ is perfect.

Proof. The idea of the proof is similar to ([K], Prop. 2). We show that $\left(1-\sigma^{m}\right) / X$ is surjective. Let $M=\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1} \sigma^{i}(X)$. Then $\sigma$ leaves $M$ invariant. Therefore $\sigma$ is a perfect isomorphism of $M$. Hence $(1-\sigma) / M$ : $M \rightarrow M$ is surjective. Let $x$ be an element of $X$. Since, $(x, 0, \ldots, 0)$ belongs to $M$, there exists an element $y$ in $M$ such that $(1-\sigma)(y)$ $=(x, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Let $y=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m-1}\right)$, where $y_{i}$ belongs to $\sigma^{i}(X)$. Then,

$$
(1-\sigma)(y)=\left(y_{0}-\sigma\left(y_{m-1}\right), \quad y_{1}-\sigma\left(y_{0}\right), \ldots, y_{m-1}-\sigma\left(y_{m-2}\right)\right) .
$$

Hence, $y_{0}-\sigma\left(y_{m-1}\right)=x, y_{1}=\sigma\left(y_{0}\right), \ldots, y_{m-1}=\sigma\left(y_{m-2}\right)$. Further, $\sigma\left(y_{m-1}\right)$ $=\sigma^{2}\left(y_{m-2}\right)=\ldots=\sigma^{m}\left(y_{0}\right)$. Thus $\left(1-\sigma^{m}\right)\left(y_{0}\right)=x$. This implies that $\left(1-\sigma^{m}\right) / X$ is surjective.
4.3. Corollary. Let $\sigma$ be an element of $G$ which is perfect. Suppose that $\quad \sigma=\alpha . \tau, \quad$ where $\quad \alpha=\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right), \quad \alpha_{i} \in G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$, $\tau=\tau_{1} . \tau_{2} \ldots \tau_{r}$, and $\tau_{i}$ are disjoint cyclic permutations of length $n_{i}$. Let $T_{i}$ denote the set of indices belonging to the permutation $\tau_{i}$. Then $(\sigma)^{n_{i}} / X_{j}$ is perfect for every $j$ belonging to $T_{i}$.

Proof. Note that for every $j$ belonging to $T_{i}, n_{i}$ is the smallest positive integer such that $(\sigma)^{n_{i}}$ maps $X_{j}$ onto itself.
4.4. Corollary. If $\sigma$ is as above, then $(\sigma)^{n_{i} /} X_{j}$ corresponds to multiplication by $\omega$ or $\omega^{2}$, for every $j$ belonging to $T_{i}$.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 4.3, and Lemma 3.5.
4.5. Corollary. If $\sigma$ is as above, and $X^{(i)}=\sum_{j \in T_{i}} X_{j}$, then $(\sigma)^{n_{i} /} X^{(i)}$ is the matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j}, \ldots \alpha_{n_{i}}\right)$, where $\alpha_{j}$ belongs to $\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$.

Proof. Clear from Corollary 4.4.
4.6. Proposition. Let $\sigma$ be an element of $G$ which is perfect and let $\sigma=\alpha . \tau$, where $\alpha$ and $\tau$ are as in Corollary 4.4. Then there exists an integer $l \geqslant 1$, such that $\sigma^{l}$ is perfect and $\sigma^{l}=\beta . \tau^{\prime}$, where $\beta$ is the matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{j}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)$, with $\beta_{j}$ in $G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ is a product of disjoint cyclic permutations $\tau_{i}$ of length $3^{k_{i}}$.

Proof. Let $\tau=\tau_{1} . \tau_{2} \ldots \tau_{r}$, where $\tau_{i}$ are disjoint cyclic permutations of length $n_{i}=3^{k_{i}} . l_{i}$, with $\left(3, l_{i}\right)=1$. Let $l$ denote the least common multiple of the $l_{i}$. We show that $\sigma^{l}$ is perfect. By Corollary 4.5, $\sigma^{n_{i} / X_{j}}$ is multiplication by $\omega$ or $\omega^{2}$ for every $j$ belonging to $T_{i}$. This implies that $(\sigma)^{n_{i} l / l_{i} / X_{j}}$ corresponds to multiplication by $\omega$ or $\omega^{2}$ for every such $j$, since $\left(l / l_{i}, 3\right)=1$ and $\omega$ is an element of order 3. Hence, $\left(\sigma^{l}\right)^{3^{k_{i}}} / X^{(i)}$ is the matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j}, \ldots \alpha_{n_{i}}\right)$ where $\alpha_{j}$ belongs to $\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$. Clearly this implies that $\sigma^{l} / X^{(i)}$ has no nontrivial fixed point. Since $T_{i}$ are disjoint, it follows that $\sigma^{l}$ has no nontrivial fixed point and hence $\sigma^{l}$ is perfect. Obviously $\sigma^{l}$ has the required property and the proposition follows.

Now, let $M$ be an $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-linear subspace of $V$, which is invariant under a perfect isomorphism $\sigma$ belonging to $G$. By the previous proposition, we can assume, by replacing $\sigma$ by $\sigma^{m}$, that $M$ is invariant under $\sigma=\alpha$. $\tau$, where $\alpha$ is as in Corollary 4.4 and $\tau=\tau_{1} . \tau_{2} \ldots \tau_{r}, \tau_{i}$ being cyclic permutations of length $3^{k_{i}}$.
4.7. Proposition. If $M$ is an $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-linear subspace of $V$ which has a perfect isomorphism $\sigma$ belonging to $G$, then $M$ is invariant under the action of a diagonal matrix, $\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ where each $\alpha_{i}$ belongs to $\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$.

Proof. By replacing $\sigma$ by a suitable power we may assume that

$$
\sigma=\operatorname{diag}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right) \tau_{1} \tau_{2} \ldots \tau_{r}
$$

where $\beta_{i}$ belongs to $G L_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ for every $i$ and $\tau_{i}$ are disjoint cyclic permutations of length $3^{k_{i}}$. Further, since disjoint cycles commute we may assume that the length of $\tau_{i}$ is $3^{k}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and the length of $\tau_{i}$ is less than $3^{k}$ for $s<i \leqslant r$. Let $T=\left\{i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \mid i\right.$ occurs in the permutation $\left.\tau_{1} \tau_{2} \ldots \tau_{s}\right\}$. Let $M_{1}=M \cap \sum_{i \in T} X_{i}$ and $N_{1}=M \cap \sum_{i \notin T} X_{i}$. We claim that $M=M_{1} \oplus N_{1}$ and that $M_{1}$ is invariant under $\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, where each $\alpha_{i}$ belongs to $\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$. Let $(x, y) \in M$, where $x \in \underset{i \in T}{\perp} X_{i}, y \in \underset{i \notin T}{\perp} X_{i}$. Since

$$
\sigma^{3^{k}}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right),
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ belongs to $\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$ for $i \in T$ and $\alpha_{i}=1$ for $i \notin T$, it follows that, $(x, y)+\sigma^{3^{k}}(x, y)+\left(\sigma^{3^{k}}\right)^{2}(x, y)=(0, y)$ belongs to $M$. Hence $(x, 0)$ belongs to $M$ as well. Thus $M=M_{1} \oplus N_{1}$. Clearly $M_{1}$ is invariant under $\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right), \alpha_{i}$ being in $\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$. Since $\sigma / N_{1}$ is perfect, by
repeating the above argument we obtain a similar decomposition of $N_{1}: N_{1}=M_{2} \oplus N_{2}$. This process terminates in a finite number of steps and we obtain a decomposition $M=M_{1} \oplus M_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{k}$, where each $M_{j}$ is invariant under $\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots \alpha_{n}\right), \alpha_{i}$ being in $\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$.

## §5. MAIN Theorem and examples

In this final section we prove our main results 5.2, 5.3 and give some examples. We begin with,
5.1. Proposition. Let $L$ be a unimodular Z-lattice of type $n \mathrm{D}_{4}$ such that $\mathscr{H}^{n} \subset L \subset \mathscr{H}^{*^{n}}$. If $L$ admits a perfect isometry, then there exists an isometry $\delta=\operatorname{diag}\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{i}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$ on $\mathscr{H} *^{n}$, where $\delta_{i}$ is the isometry on $\mathscr{H}^{*}$ given by left multiplication by $\xi$ or right multiplication by $\bar{\xi}$ such that $L$ is invariant under $\delta$.

Proof. Let $\sigma$ be a perfect isometry of $(L, \operatorname{Tr} \circ h)$. Then $\sigma$ induces an automorphism of $\mathscr{H}^{n}$ and extends naturally to a perfect isometry of $\mathscr{H} *^{n}$. In view of ([K], p. 179), $\eta(\sigma)$ is a perfect isomorphism of $\mathbf{F}_{4}^{n}$, leaving $\eta(L)$ invariant. Therefore by Proposition 4.7 there exists $\alpha=\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ with $\alpha_{i}$ in $\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$ such that $\eta(L)$ is invariant under $\alpha$. Let $\delta_{i}$ denote left multiplication on $\mathscr{H}^{*}$ by $\xi=(1+i+j+k) / 2$ if $\alpha_{i}=\omega$ and right multiplication by $\bar{\xi}=(1-i-j-k) / 2$, if $\alpha_{i}=\omega^{2}$. Let $\delta=\operatorname{diag}\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{i}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$. Since $\delta$ induces an isometry of $\mathscr{H} *^{n}$ which fixes $\mathscr{H}^{n}$ and $\eta(\delta)=\alpha$ leaves $\eta(L)$ invariant it follows that $\delta$ leaves $L$ invariant.
5.2. Theorem. Let $(L, S)$ be an unimodular Z-lattice of type $n \mathrm{D}_{4}$. Then, $L$ has a perfect isometry if and only if there exists an $\mathscr{H}$-lattice ( $L^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$ ) such that $L \simeq L^{\prime}$.

Proof. Clearly every $\mathscr{H}$-lattice admits a perfect isometry (3.2). Conversely let $(L, S)$ be a $\mathbf{Z}$-lattice of type $n \mathrm{D}_{4}$, which admits a perfect isometry. In view of Proposition 2.1, we can assume that $\mathscr{H}^{n} \subseteq L \subseteq \mathscr{H} *^{n}$ and $S=\operatorname{Tr} \circ h$. By Proposition 4.7 there exists a subset $T$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $L$ is invariant under $\delta=\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{i}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$, where $\delta_{i}$ is left multiplication by $\xi$ for $i \in T$ and $\delta_{i}$ is right multiplication by $\bar{\xi}$ for $i \notin T$. Let $f: \mathscr{H}^{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{n}$ be defined by $f=\operatorname{diag}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ where $f_{i}=$ id for $i \in T$ and $f_{i}=$ the involution on $\mathscr{H}$ for $i \notin T$. Then it is easy to check that $f$ is an isometry of ( $L, \operatorname{Tr} \circ h$ ) onto ( $L^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$ ) where, $L^{\prime}=f(L)$, and,

$$
S^{\prime}(x, y)=\sum_{i \in T}\left(x_{i} \bar{y}_{i}+y_{i} \bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \notin T}\left(\bar{x}_{i} y_{i}+\bar{y}_{i} x_{i}\right) .
$$

