

3. Mal'cev-Neumann rings

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **39 (1993)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **30.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

be embedded in a divisible one, namely its injective hull. Since an ordered group G is necessarily torsion-free, its injective hull \tilde{G} can be identified with the set of quotients g/m with $g \in G$, m a positive integer, modulo the equivalence relation $g/m \sim h/n$ iff $ng = mh$ in G . We make \tilde{G} an ordered group by setting $g/m \geq h/n$ iff $ng \geq mh$ in G . (One can check that this is the unique extension to \tilde{G} of the ordered group structure on G .)

If G is an ordered group, let $G_\infty = G \cup \{\infty\}$ be the ordered monoid containing G in which $g + \infty = \infty + g = \infty$ for all $g \in G_\infty$ and $g < \infty$ for all $g \in G$. As usual, a *valuation* v on a field F is a function from F to G_∞ satisfying for all $x, y \in F$

$$(1) \quad v(x) = \infty \text{ iff } x = 0$$

$$(2) \quad v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$$

$$(3) \quad v(x+y) \geq \min \{v(x), v(y)\}.$$

The *value group* is G . The *valuation ring* A is $\{x \in F \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$. This is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathcal{M} = \{x \in F \mid v(x) > 0\}$. The *residue field* is A/\mathcal{M} . We refer to the pair (F, v) (or sometimes simply F) as a *valued field*.

3. MAL'CEV-NEUMANN RINGS

This section serves not only as review, but also as preparation for the construction of the next section. Mal'cev-Neumann rings are generalizations of Laurent series rings. For any ring R (all our rings are commutative with 1), and any ordered group G , the Mal'cev-Neumann ring $R((G))$ is defined as the set of formal sums $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g t^g$ in an indeterminate t with $\alpha_g \in R$ such that the set $\text{Supp } \alpha = \{g \in G \mid \alpha_g \neq 0\}$ is a well-ordered subset of G (under the given order of G). (Often authors suppress the indeterminate and write the sums in the form $\sum \alpha_g g$, as in a group ring. We use the indeterminate in order to make clear the analogy with the fields of the next section.) If $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g t^g$ and $\beta = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g t^g$ are elements of $R((G))$, then $\alpha + \beta$ is defined as $\sum_{g \in G} (\alpha_g + \beta_g) t^g$, and $\alpha\beta$ is defined by a “distributive law” as $\sum_{j \in G} \gamma_j t^j$ where $\gamma_j = \sum_{g+h=j} \alpha_g \beta_h$.

LEMMA 1. *Let A, B be well-ordered subsets of an ordered group G . Then*

- (1) *If $x \in G$, then $A \cap (-B+x)$ is finite.*
(We define $-B+x = \{-b+x \mid b \in B\}$.)

- (2) *The set $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is well-ordered.*
- (3) *The set $A \cup B$ is well-ordered.*

Proof. See [13]. \square

The lemma above easily implies that the sum defining γ_j is always finite, and that $\text{Supp}(\alpha + \beta)$ and $\text{Supp}(\alpha\beta)$ are well-ordered. Once one knows that the operations are defined, it's clear that they make $R((G))$ a ring.

Define $v: R((G)) \rightarrow G_\infty$ by $v(0) = \infty$ and $v(\alpha) = \min \text{Supp } \alpha$ for $\alpha \neq 0$. (This makes sense since $\text{Supp } \alpha$ is well-ordered.) If $\alpha \in R((G))$ is nonzero and $v(\alpha) = g$, we call $\alpha_g t^g$ the *leading term* of α and α_g the *leading coefficient*. If R is a field, then v is a valuation on $R((G))$, since the leading term of a product is the product of the leading terms.

LEMMA 2. *If $\alpha \in R((G))$ satisfies $v(\alpha) > 0$, then $1 - \alpha$ is a unit in $R((G))$.*

Proof. One way of proving this is to show that for each $g \in G$, the coefficients of t^g in $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots$ are eventually zero, so $1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots$ can be defined termwise. Then one needs to check that its support is well-ordered, and that it's an inverse for $1 - \alpha$. See [13] for this. An easier way [15] is to obtain an inverse of $1 - \alpha$ by successive approximation. \square

COROLLARY 1. *If the leading coefficient of $\alpha \in R((G))$ is a unit of R , then α is a unit of $R((G))$.*

Proof. Let rt^g be the leading term of α . Then α is the product of rt^g , which is a unit in $R((G))$ with inverse $r^{-1}t^{-g}$, and $(rt^g)^{-1}\alpha$, which is a unit by the preceding lemma. \square

COROLLARY 2. *If R is a field, then $R((G))$ is a field.*

So in this case, if we set $K = R((G))$, (K, v) is a valued field. Clearly the value group is all of G , and the residue field is R . Note that $\text{char } K = \text{char } R$, since in fact, R can be identified with a subfield of K . (We will refer to these fields as being the “equal characteristic” case, in contrast with the p -adic fields of the next section in which the fields have characteristic different from that of their residue fields.) For example, if $G = \mathbf{Z}$, then $R((G))$ is the usual field of formal Laurent series.