

2. The semi-factorable families

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **36 (1990)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **29.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

certainly, as we shall see, an indecomposable EP f for which ϕ_f has a cubic factor lies in C_4 but whether this extends is unclear. More generally, in connection with EPs two questions naturally arise.

(i) Are all indecomposable EPs over \mathbf{F}_q semi-factorable?

(ii) Are all indecomposable semi-factorable EPs C -polynomials?

I would tentatively suggest that the answer to (ii) might be “yes” but hesitate to speculate on the answer to (i).

2. THE SEMI-FACTORABLE FAMILIES

The classes C_1 , C_2 and C_3 are described briefly (see [8], for example). More detail is given for C_4 .

C_1 . *Cyclic polynomials*. These have the form $c_n(x) = x^n$, where $p \nmid n$. Obviously c_n is factorable and is an EP (or PP) if and only if $\text{g.c.d.}(n, q-1) = 1$. Trivially, of course, c_n is indecomposable over \mathbf{F}_q if and only if n is a prime ($\neq p$).

C_2 . *Dickson polynomials*. For any $n(>1)$ with $p \nmid n$ and any $a(\neq 0)$ in \mathbf{F}_q , a typical member $g_n(x, a)$ has the shape

$$g_n(x, a) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{n}{n-i} \binom{n-i}{i} (-a)^i x^{n-2i}.$$

As in [13], over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_{g_n}(x, y) = (y-x) \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (y^2 - \alpha_i xy + x^2 + \beta_i^2 a),$$

where $\alpha_i = \zeta^i + \zeta^{-i}$, $\beta_i = \zeta^i - \zeta^{-i}$, ζ being a primitive n th root of unity in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$. Since each of the quadratic factors in (2.1) is irreducible, g_n is not factorable. Yet it is semi-factorable. Set $R(x) = g_n(r_a(x), a)$, where $r_a(x) = x + ax^{-1}$. Then, by equation (7.8) of [8],

$$R(x) = r_{a^n}(c_n(x)) = x^n + (a/x)^n$$

and hence

$$\phi_R(x, y) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (y - \zeta^i x) (xy - \zeta^i a).$$

Thus R is factorable and g_n semi-factorable.

From (2.1) we can easily deduce the familiar facts that g_n is an EP or PP if and only if $(n, q^2 - 1) = 1$ while the identity

$$g_{n,m}(x, a) = g_n(g_m(x, a), a^m)$$

((7.10) of [8]) yields the conclusion that $g_n(x, a)$ is indecomposable over \mathbf{F}_q if and only if n is a prime ($\neq p$).

C_3 . *Linearised polynomials.* These have degree $n = p^k (k \geq 1)$, a typical specimen having the form

$$(2.2) \quad L(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^{p^i},$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_k \in \mathbf{F}_q$ with $a_0 a_k \neq 0$. Because $\phi_L(x, y) = L(y - x)$, evidently L is factorable and is an EP (or PP) if and only if L has no non-zero roots in \mathbf{F}_q . Suppose that L is given by (2.1) but that, for some $s \geq 1$, $a_i = 0$ unless $s \mid i$. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{p^s}$ and any $\beta \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad L(\alpha x + \beta) = \alpha L(x) + \beta,$$

and we refer to L as a p^s -polynomial (cf. [8], § 3.4).

C_4 . *Sub-linearised polynomials.* These polynomials (for whom a better title is requested) had their genesis in [1]. We construct a sub-linearised polynomial $S(x)$ of degree $n = p^k (k \geq 1)$ as follows. Let L in C_3 be a p^s -polynomial of degree p^k and $d (> 1)$ be an integer such that $(p \nmid) d \mid p^s - 1$. Then $L(x) = xM(x^d)$ for some $M(x) \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ and we set $S(x) = xM^d(x)$. Thus

$$S(x^d) = L^d(x),$$

or, equivalently,

$$(2.4) \quad S(c_d) = c_d(L).$$

The polynomial S as defined above will also be referred to as a (p^s, d) -polynomial. We note that, by (2.4) and Theorem 1.1 of [1], $S(c_d)$ is factorable and hence S is semi-factorable.

We remarked in [1] that a (p^s, d) -polynomial $S(x) = xM^d(x)$ for which M has no roots in \mathbf{F}_q is an EP provided $(d, p^{(s,t)} - 1) = 1$. In fact, the last condition is unnecessary and we state the definitive result as follows.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $S(x) = xM^d(x)$ be a (p^s, d) -polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$, where $d \mid p^s - 1$. Then*

- (i) the irreducible factors of φ_S^* over \mathbf{F}_q all have degree d ;
(ii) S is an EP over \mathbf{F}_q if and only if M has no roots in \mathbf{F}_q .

Proof. (i) Since $d \mid p^s - 1$, then ζ , a primitive d th root of unity, lies in \mathbf{F}_{p^s} , and the non-zero roots of $L(x) (= xM(x^d))$ can be arranged in the form $\{\zeta^j \gamma_h, j=0, \dots, d-1, h=1, \dots, N\}$, where $N = \deg M = p^k - 1/d$ and $\{\gamma_h^d, h=1, \dots, N\}$ is the set of roots of M . By (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_S(x^d, y^d) &= \varphi_{L^d}(x, y) \\
 &= \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (L(y) - \zeta^i L(x)) \\
 &= \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} L(y - \zeta^i x) \\
 &= (y^d - x^d) \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \prod_{h=1}^N (y - \zeta^i x - \zeta^j \gamma_h) \\
 (2.5) \quad &= (y^d - x^d) \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \prod_{h=1}^N (\zeta^i y - \zeta^j x - \gamma_h).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any γ in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$, it is clear that the polynomial

$$\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} (\zeta^i y - \zeta^j x - \gamma)$$

lies in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q[x^d, y^d]$ and therefore may be written $P_\gamma(x^d, y^d)$, where $P_\gamma(x, y) \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q[x, y]$ has degree d (in both x and y). We claim that P_γ is irreducible. For suppose $P_\gamma(x, y)$ has a non-constant factor $Q(x, y)$ in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q[x, y]$. Then $Q(x^d, y^d)$ must be divisible by $\zeta^i x - \zeta^j y - \gamma$ for some i and j with $0 \leq i, j \leq d-1$. $Q(x^d, y^d)$, however, is invariant under $x \rightarrow \zeta^u x, y \rightarrow \zeta^v y$ for any u, v . It follows easily that $Q(x^d, y^d)$ is divisible by $P_\gamma(x^d, y^d)$ and we deduce that $Q = P_\gamma$, as required. Consequently, by (2.5),

$$\varphi_S^*(x, y) = \prod_{h=1}^N P_{\gamma_h}(x, y)$$

is the prime decomposition of φ_S^* over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ and (i) is proved.

- (ii) Continuing with the same notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_\gamma(x^d, y^d) &= (-1)^d \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (\gamma^d - (y - \zeta^i x)^d) \\
 &= (-1)^d \{ \gamma^{d^2} - d(y^d + (-x)^d) \gamma^{d(d-1)} + \dots \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, if γ^d is a root of M and $P_\gamma(x, y)$ lies in $\mathbf{F}_q[x, y]$, then both γ^{d^2} and $\gamma^{d(d-1)}$ are members of \mathbf{F}_q , whence $\gamma^d \in \mathbf{F}_q$. This means that S is an EP unless M has a root γ^d in \mathbf{F}_q . The converse is clear and the result follows.

3. SUBSTITUTION POLYNOMIALS WITH A QUADRATIC FACTOR

Throughout, let $f(x)$ be an indecomposable polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$ for which $\phi_f(x, y)$ is divisible by an irreducible quadratic factor $Q(x, y)$ in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q[x, y]$. Denote by Q^* the factor of ϕ_f , irreducible over \mathbf{F}_q itself, that is divisible by Q .

LEMMA 3.1. *Gal $Q^*(x, y)/\mathbf{F}_q(x)$ has order $\deg Q^*$ and so is regular as a permutation group on the roots of $Q^*(x, y)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q(x)$ (see [12], p. 8).*

Proof. Let \mathbf{F}_{q^d} be the field generated over \mathbf{F}_q by the coefficients of Q (in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$). Then $Q^* = \prod_{i=1}^d Q_i$, where Q_1, \dots, Q_d are the distinct conjugates of Q obtained by applying the d \mathbf{F}_q -automorphisms of \mathbf{F}_{q^d} to the coefficients of Q . Thus $\deg Q^* = 2d$. But, evidently, the splitting field of Q^* over $\mathbf{F}_q(x)$ can be constructed by adjoining the splitting field of Q to \mathbf{F}_{q^d} . Its Galois group therefore has order $2d$ as required.

With Lemma 3.1 as a spur, we formulate some group theory in terms of polynomials (see [2]). For an indecomposable polynomial $g(x)$ in $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$, $G = \text{Gal}(g(y) - z/\mathbf{F}_q(z))$ is primitive. Moreover, the orbits of a point stabiliser G_x of G correspond to the irreducible factors of ϕ_g over \mathbf{F}_q ; in particular, when $P(x, y)$ is such a factor of ϕ_g so also is $P(y, x)$ and the associated orbits are "paired" (see [12], § 16). The following result is therefore a (slightly weakened) version of [12], Theorem 18.6.

LEMMA 3.2. *With g and P as above, suppose that both $\text{Gal } P(x, y)/\mathbf{F}_q(x)$ and $\text{Gal } P(y, x)/\mathbf{F}_q(x)$ are regular. Then $\text{Gal } \phi_g(x, y)/\mathbf{F}_q(x) \cong \text{Gal } P(x, y)/\mathbf{F}_q(x)$.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *With f and d as in Lemma 3.1, ϕ_f^* is a product over \mathbf{F}_q of irreducible polynomials of degree $2d$, each of which is a product of irreducible quadratics over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$. Furthermore, all these quadratics have a common splitting field over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(x)$.*