

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 35 (1989)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: KNOTTING CODIMENSION 2 SUBMANIFOLDS LOCALLY
Autor: Masuda, Mikiya / Sakuma, Makoto
Kapitel: §4. An improvement
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-57360>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 12.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D)) &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \underset{<m>}{*} \pi_1(E(D)) \\ &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) * (\pi_1(E(D))/<m>)\end{aligned}$$

where the latter isomorphism is because $<m> = 1$ in $\pi_1(E(L \times I))$ by the assumption. Since $\pi_1(E(D))/<m> \simeq \pi_1(D^{n+3}) \simeq \{1\}$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D)) \simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \simeq \pi_1(E(L)).$$

Here the inclusion map $i: E(L) = E(L) \times \{0\} \rightarrow E(L \times I \natural D)$ induces the isomorphism.

We shall observe that i is a simple homotopy equivalence. For that purpose we consider the lifting of i to the universal covers. Since the map $\pi_1(E(D)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D))$ induced by the inclusion map is trivial as observed above, it follows from (3.7) that

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D) = \tilde{E}(L \times I) \cup E(D) \times \Pi$$

where $\Pi = \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D)) = \pi_1(M - L)$ and $\tilde{E}(L \times I)$ and $E(D) \times \Pi$ are pasted together Π -equivariantly along $D^{n+1} \times S^1 \times \Pi$ embedded in their boundaries. This means that $\tilde{i}_*: H_q(\tilde{E}(L); \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_q(\tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D); \mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism as $\mathbf{Z}[\Pi]$ -modules. Hence $i_*: \pi_q(E(L)) \rightarrow \pi_q(E(L \times I \natural D))$ is an isomorphism by Namioka's theorem (see [W11, § 4]) and hence i is a homotopy equivalence.

The assumption $<m> = 1$ together with (3.9) tells us that the Whitehead torsion $\tau(i) \in Wh(\Pi)$ of the map i comes from an element of $Wh(1)$ through the map: $Wh(1) \rightarrow Wh(\Pi)$ induced from the inclusion $1 \rightarrow \Pi$. However $Wh(1) = 0$ and hence $\tau(i) = 0$. This shows that $E(L \times I \natural D)$ is an s -cobordism relative boundary. The proposition then follows from Lemma 1.6. Q.E.D.

Proposition 3.6 gives a complete answer to the case where n is even ≥ 4 . It would be interesting to ask if the same conclusion still holds in the case $n = 2$.

In the next section we will improve Proposition 3.6 when n is odd ≥ 5 .

§ 4. AN IMPROVEMENT

Throughout this section we assume n is odd ≥ 5 . Let V^{n+1} be a Seifert surface of an n -knot K in S^{n+2} . The normal bundle to V in S^{n+2} is trivial. We give the stable normal bundle of S^{n+2} a canonical framing so that V can be viewed as a framed manifold.

Remember that $\partial V = K = S^n$. We make V contractible by framed surgery without touching the boundary. As is well known this is always possible in case $\dim V = n + 1$ is odd. But in case $n + 1$ is even, we encounter an obstruction which is detected by

$$\begin{cases} \text{Sign } V \in \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ c(V) \in \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} & \text{if } n + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

where $c(V)$ is the Kervaire invariant of V .

Remark 4.1. Since ∂V is diffeomorphic to S^n , $c(V) = 0$ if $n + 1$ is not of the form $2^k - 2$ ([Br]).

One can see that Seifert surfaces of K are framed cobordant relative boundary to each other. Hence the values $\text{Sign } V$ and $c(V)$ are independent of the choice of V . We set

$$\sigma(S^{n+2}, K) = \begin{cases} \text{Sign } V & \text{if } n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ c(V) & \text{if } n + 1 = 2^k - 2 \text{ for some } k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. Suppose $\langle m \rangle = 1$ for (M^{n+2}, L^n) and n is odd ≥ 5 . Then $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$ if $\sigma(S^{n+2}, K) = 0$. In particular, $I_0(M, L) = \mathcal{H}_n$ if neither $n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ nor $n + 1 = 2^k - 2$ for some k .

Combining this with Theorem 1.1, we obtain

COROLLARY 4.3. Suppose $\langle m \rangle = 1$ for (M^{n+2}, L^n) and $n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ($n \neq 3$). Then $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$ if and only if $\sigma(S^{n+2}, K) = 0$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 4.2. Let K be an n -knot in S^{n+2} such that $\sigma(S^{n+2}, K) = 0$. We shall construct an s -cobordism relative boundary between $E(L \setminus K)$ and $E(L)$. The argument is rather more complicated than that of Proposition 3.6. We need some knowledge of surgery theory.

Step 1. Let V^{n+1} be a Seifert surface of K . Push the interior of V into the interior of D^{n+3} to make it transverse to the boundary S^{n+2} of D^{n+3} . We may assume that V is $(n-1)/2$ -connected, if necessary, by doing framed surgery of V within D^{n+3} . In fact, this is the method used to prove that any n -knot is concordant to a simple knot (see [KW, Chap. IV]).

In the attempt to make V $(n+1)/2$ -connected (and hence V is contractible by the Poincaré duality) by framed surgery of V within D^{n+3} , one encounters an obstruction. Namely a bunch of embedded $(n+1)/2$ -spheres in V does

not necessarily extend to embedded $(n+3)/2$ -disks whose interior lies in $D^{n+3} - V$.

But if we do framed surgery of V at the outside of D^{n+3} without touching boundary, i.e. if we do surgery on framed embeddings

$$(S^{(n+1)/2} \times D^{(n+1)/2} \times D^2, S^{(n+1)/2} \times D^{(n+1)/2} \times \{0\}) \rightarrow (D^{n+3}, V),$$

then we can make V $(n+1)/2$ -connected because the obstruction is exactly $\sigma(S^{n+2}, K)$ and it vanishes by the assumption. The ambient space is, however, not D^{n+3} any more. We denote by (W, D) the resulting framed oriented pair, where D is diffeomorphic to D^{n+1} .

Step 2. We construct a boundary preserving map h :

$$(W; N(D), E(D)) \rightarrow (D^{n+3}; N(D^{n+1}), E(D^{n+1}))$$

such that

$$(4.4) \quad h|_{\partial W}: \partial W = S^{n+2} \rightarrow \partial D^{n+3} = S^{n+2} \quad \text{is a homotopy equivalence,}$$

$$(4.5) \quad h|_{N(D)}: N(D) \rightarrow N(D^{n+1}) \quad \text{is a diffeomorphism,}$$

where N denotes a closed tubular neighborhood and $D^{n+1} \subset D^{n+3}$ is standardly embedded.

Since D is diffeomorphic to D^{n+1} , there is a diffeomorphism

$$g: (D^{n+1} \times D^2, D^{n+1} \times \{0\}) \rightarrow (N(D), D).$$

Here $D^{n+1} \times D^2$ can be naturally identified with $N(D^{n+1})$; so we define

$$(4.6) \quad h|_{N(D)} = g^{-1}$$

First we extend $h|_{\partial W \cap \partial N(D)} = h|_{\partial E(K)}$ to a map from $E(K)$ to $E(\partial D^{n+1}) = E(S^n)$. The obstruction lies in groups

$$H^{q+1}(E(K), \partial E(K); \pi_q(E(S^n))).$$

Since $E(S^n)$ is homotopy equivalent to S^1 , it suffices to prove

$$(4.7) \quad H^{q+1}(E(K), \partial E(K); \mathbf{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q = 0, 1.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^{q+1}(E(K), \partial E(K); \mathbf{Z}) &\simeq H^{q+1}(S^{n+2}, N(K); \mathbf{Z}) && \text{(by excision)} \\ &\simeq \tilde{H}^q(N(K); \mathbf{Z}) && \text{(if } q+1 < n+2) \\ &\simeq \tilde{H}^q(S^n; \mathbf{Z}) \\ &= 0 && \text{(if } q \neq n) \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.7) is satisfied as $n \geq 5$.

Consequently we can extend $h|_{N(D)}$ to a map

$$h|_{N(D) \cup \partial W}: (N(D) \cup \partial W, \partial W) \rightarrow (N(D^{n+1}) \cup \partial D^{n+3}, \partial D^{n+3}).$$

The local degree of $h|_{\partial W}: \partial W \rightarrow \partial D^{n+3}$ is one because $h|_{\partial W \cap N(D)} = h|_{N(K)}: N(K) \rightarrow N(S^n)$ is a diffeomorphism by (4.6) and $h(E(K)) \subset E(S^n)$ by the construction. Since ∂W and ∂D^{n+3} are both S^{n+2} , $h|_{\partial W}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Hence (4.4) is satisfied. Moreover (4.5) is also satisfied by (4.6). In the sequel it suffices to extend $h|_{\partial E(D)}$ to a map from $E(D)$ to $E(D^{n+1})$. This time the obstruction lies in groups

$$H^{q+1}(E(D), \partial E(D); \pi_q(E(D^{n+1}))).$$

Since $E(D^{n+1})$ is homotopy equivalent to S^1 , it suffices to prove

$$(4.8) \quad H^{q+1}(E(D), \partial E(D); \mathbf{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q = 0, 1.$$

By excision we have

$$H^{q+1}(E(D), \partial E(D); \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^{q+1}(W, N(D) \cup \partial W; \mathbf{Z}).$$

Remember that W is obtained from D^{n+3} by $(n+1)/2$ -surgery. It implies that

$$\tilde{H}^i(W; \mathbf{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq (n+1)/2 + 1.$$

In particular

$$\tilde{H}^i(W; \mathbf{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \leq 3$$

as $n \geq 5$. Therefore it follows from the exact sequence of the pair $(W, N(D) \cup \partial W)$ that

$$H^{q+1}(W, N(D) \cup \partial W; \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \tilde{H}^q(N(D) \cup \partial W; \mathbf{Z}) \quad \text{for} \quad q \leq 2.$$

Here the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of the triad $(N(D) \cup \partial W; N(D), \partial W)$ shows that

$$\tilde{H}^q(N(D) \cup \partial W; \mathbf{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad q = 0, 1,$$

because $N(D)$ is contractible, $\partial W = S^{n+2}$, and $N(D) \cap \partial W = S^n \times S^1$. Hence (4.8) is satisfied, and we have obtained the desired map h .

Step 3. Since W is framed, the framing of the stable normal bundle $\nu(W)$ of W induces a stable bundle map $b: \nu(W) \rightarrow \nu(D^{n+3})$ which covers h . The triple $\mathcal{B} = (W, h, b)$ is called a normal map.

The identity map $Id: (M, L) \times I \rightarrow (M, L) \times I$ gives a normal map where the stable bundle map is also the identity. We shall denote the normal

map by $\mathcal{B}_{Id} = ((M, L) \times I, Id, Id)$. The maps h and Id are both diffeomorphisms on $N(D)$ and $N(L \times I)$ respectively; so one can do the boundary connected sum of \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_{Id} at points of K and $L \times \{1\}$. This yields a new normal map $\mathcal{B}_{Id} \sharp \mathcal{B} = (M \times I \sharp W, Id \sharp h, Id \sharp b)$. Here we naturally identify the target space $(M, L) \times I \sharp (D^{n+3}, D^{n+1})$ with $(M, L) \times I$. Since $Id \sharp h$ is a diffeomorphism on $N(L \times I \sharp D)$, it gives a product structure on $N(L \times I \sharp D)$. Thus we get a cobordism $E(L \times I \sharp D)$ relative boundary between $E(L \sharp K)$ and $E(L)$.

Step 4. $Id \sharp h|_{E(L)}: E(L) \rightarrow E(L) \times \{0\}$ (the 0-level) is the identity; so it is a simple homotopy equivalence. We shall observe that $h_1 = Id \sharp h|_{E(L \sharp K)}: E(L \sharp K) \rightarrow E(L) \times \{1\}$ (the 1-level) is also a simple homotopy equivalence.

We have a decomposition

$$E(L \sharp K) = E(L) \cup E(K)$$

in the same sense as (3.7). Hence, similarly to (3.8) one can see

$$(4.9) \quad \pi_1(E(L \sharp K)) \simeq \pi_1(E(L))$$

where the inclusion map induces the isomorphism.

We can view $E(L) \times \{1\}$ as $E(L \sharp S^n)$ and we also have

$$E(L \sharp S^n) = E(L) \cup E(S^n).$$

Then the map h_1 can be viewed as the identity on $E(L)$ and h on $E(K)$. This together with (4.9) shows that $h_{1*}: \pi_1(E(L \sharp K)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E(L \sharp S^n))$ is an isomorphism.

As before we consider the map $\tilde{h}_1: \tilde{E}(L \sharp K) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(L \sharp S^n)$ lifted to the universal covers. Since $\langle m \rangle = 1$, we have a diagram

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{E}(L \sharp K) & = & \tilde{E}(L) \cup E(K) \times \Pi \\ \tilde{h}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow Id & & \downarrow h|_{E(K)} \times Id \\ \tilde{E}(L \sharp S^n) & = & \tilde{E}(L) \cup E(S^n) \times \Pi, \end{array}$$

where $\Pi = \pi_1(M - L)$ as before. Since $h|_{E(K)}$ is a homology equivalence, the above diagram tells us that $\tilde{h}_{1*}: H_q(\tilde{E}(L \sharp K); \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_q(\tilde{E}(L \sharp S^n); \mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism as $\mathbf{Z}[\Pi]$ -modules. Therefore h_1 is a homotopy equivalence by the same reason as before.

The assumption $\langle m \rangle = 1$ together with the above diagram tells us that $\tau(h_1) \in Wh(\Pi)$ comes from an element of $Wh(1)$. Hence $\tau(h_1) = 0$ as $Wh(1) = 0$.

Step 5. By step 4 $\bar{h} = Id \natural h|_{E(L \times I \natural D)}: E(L \times I \natural D) \rightarrow E(L \times I \natural D^{n+1}) = E(L \times I)$ is a simple homotopy equivalence on the boundary. We convert \bar{h} into a simple homotopy equivalence by surgery without touching the boundary. The obstruction $\sigma(\bar{h})$ lies in an L -group $L_{n+3}(\Pi, 1)$ where 1 denotes the trivial homomorphism from Π to \mathbf{Z}_2 (note, since M is oriented and hence so is $E(L \times I)$, the orientation homomorphism: $\Pi = \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$ is trivial).

We have a diagram similar to (4.10):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E(L \times I \natural D) & = & E(L \times I) \cup & E(D) & \\ \bar{h} \downarrow & & \downarrow Id & & \downarrow h \\ E(L \times I \natural D^{n+1}) & = & E(L \times I) \cup & E(D^{n+1}) & . \end{array}$$

The surgery obstruction $\sigma(h)$ to converting h to a simple homotopy equivalence by surgery without touching the boundary lies in $L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}, 1)$ because $\pi_1(E(D^{n+1}))$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} . The above diagram together with the assumption $\langle m \rangle = 1$ tells us that

$$\sigma(\bar{h}) = \beta_* \alpha_* \sigma(h)$$

where $\alpha_*: L_{n+3}(\mathbf{Z}, 1) \rightarrow L_{n+3}(1, 1)$ and $\beta_*: L_{n+3}(1, 1) \rightarrow L_{n+3}(\Pi, 1)$ are the homomorphisms induced from the trivial homomorphisms $\alpha: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 1$ and $\beta: 1 \rightarrow \Pi$ respectively. It is well-known that

$$L_{n+3}(1, 1) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } n+3 \equiv 0(4), \\ \mathbf{Z}_2 & \text{if } n+3 \equiv 2(4). \end{cases}$$

As easily observed $\alpha_* \sigma(h)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} \text{Sign } W & \text{if } n+3 \equiv 0(4) \\ c(W) & \text{if } n+3 \equiv 2(4) \end{cases}$$

through the above isomorphism. Remember that W is framed cobordant to D^{n+3} relative boundary by the construction. Therefore those invariants vanish and hence $\sigma(\bar{h}) = 0$.

Consequently we have obtained a cobordism U' relative boundary between $E(L \natural K)$ and $E(L)$ together with a simple homotopy equivalence $F: U' \rightarrow E(L \times I)$ which is the identity on the 0-level. Let $i_0: E(L) \rightarrow U'$ and $j_0: E(L) \rightarrow E(L \times I)$ be the inclusion maps from the 0-level to the cobordisms. Since $F \circ i_0 = j_0 \circ Id$ where $Id: E(L) \rightarrow E(L)$ denotes the identity map, we have

$$\tau(F) + F_*\tau(i_0) = \tau(j_0) + j_{0*}\tau(Id)$$

(see [M1, Lemma 7.8]). Here F , j_0 , and Id are all simple homotopy equivalences; so these Whitehead torsions vanish. Hence it follows that $\tau(i_0) = 0$, because $F_*: Wh(\pi_1(U')) \rightarrow Wh(\pi_1(E(L \times I)))$ is an isomorphism. This means that U' is an s -cobordism. Therefore $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I_0(M, L)$ by Lemma 1.6. Q.E.D.

§ 5. TYPE 3 CASE

In this section we treat the case where $\langle m \rangle$ or $[m]$ is of order p (p is not necessarily a prime number). We begin with

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose $[m]$ is of order p . Then if $(S^{n+2}, K) \in I(M, L)$, then $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere.*

Proof. Let r be the order of $\text{Tor } H_1(M-L; \mathbf{Z})$, and let γ be the canonical epimorphism $\pi_1(M-L) \rightarrow H_1(M-L; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_r$. Since the order of $\gamma(\langle m \rangle)$ is p , we obtain the desired result by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1. Q.E.D.

If $p \geq 2$, there are infinitely many knots (S^{n+2}, K) such that $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is not a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere; so Lemma 5.1 shows that $I(M, L) \subsetneq \mathcal{K}_n$ for such (M, L) .

The rest of this section is devoted to looking for a non-trivial knot in $I(M, L)$ or $I_0(M, L)$. We will extend Proposition 3.6 and 4.2 to the case where $\langle m \rangle$ is of order p . Lemma 5.1 reminds us of counterexamples to the generalized Smith conjecture.

Let (S^{n+2}, K) be an n -knot which bounds a disk pair (D^{n+3}, D) such that $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ is a homotopy $(n+3)$ -disk. Since $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is the boundary of $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$, $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere. If $n+3 \geq 5$, then $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ is diffeomorphic to D^{n+3} and hence $(S^{n+2}, K)_p$ is diffeomorphic to S^{n+2} .

The p -fold branched cyclic covering $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ supports a \mathbf{Z}_p -action with the branch set D as the fixed point set. Let $E(D)_p$ be the exterior of D in $(D^{n+3}, D)_p$ and let $\rho: S^1 \rightarrow E(D)_p$ be an equivariant embedding of a meridian of D in $E(D)_p$, where the standard free \mathbf{Z}_p -action is considered on S^1 . Since ρ is a homology equivalence and equivariant, the Whitehead torsion of ρ is defined in $Wh(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Clearly it is independent of the choice of ρ ; so we shall denote it by $\tau_p(D^{n+3}, D)$.

The following theorem is an extension of Proposition 3.6.