

### **3. Historical comment and summary**

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **27 (1981)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **29.05.2024**

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

#### **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*

ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, [www.library.ethz.ch](http://www.library.ethz.ch)

<http://www.e-periodica.ch>

of  $P_3$  of dimension <sup>1)</sup>  $(n - 1) - k$ . Moreover, the incidence relations are carried over by this duality; thus if, in  $P_2$ , every  $(i - 1)$ -face is incident with <sup>2)</sup>  $s_i$   $i$ -faces, then, in  $P_3$ , every  $(n - i)$ -face is incident with  $s_i(n - i - 1)$ -faces (and there is a symmetrical statement interchanging  $P_2$  and  $P_3$ ). In this sense  $P_1$  is selfdual. Figure 8 displays these dualities for  $n = 4$ , as well as the value of  $\Delta$ .

### 3. HISTORICAL COMMENT AND SUMMARY

René Descartes (1596–1650) and Leonhard Euler (1707–1783) worked on these subjects independently—yet, as we have seen, Pólya (1887– ) has shown that their seemingly different formulae for convex polyhedra homeomorphic to  $S^2$  are entirely equivalent to each other. One might believe from the evidence that Euler may have known about Descartes' work on this subject. That would be an erroneous assumption since Descartes' work on this matter [5] was not printed until a century after Euler's death (see [1], p. 56).

Euler [6] offered a variety of verifications but no formal proof of his formula. We have observed that each of the formulae is somewhat surprising by itself and that their connection rather defies intuition since at first glance they seem to be dealing with different qualitative aspects of polyhedra. As a matter of fact neither Euler's nor Descartes' formula is easy to *prove* independently; yet, as we have seen, it is not at all difficult to follow Pólya's proof that the two formulae are equivalent.

The formulae diverge in higher dimensions so that their relationship is a special phenomenon of dimension 2. Euler's formula was generalized by Ludwig Schläfli [9], a Swiss mathematician of the 19th century (1814–1895), who described, in effect, the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of an  $n$ -dimensional sphere  $S^n$ , subdivided as a *polytope*, a combinatorial structure attributed by Coxeter to Reinhold Hoppe [11]. Poincaré (1854–1912) gave a definition of the Euler-Poincaré characteristic for arbitrary polyhedra, and one proves now, by invoking the topological invariance of the homology groups (see [12]) that the Euler-Poincaré characteristic is a topological invariant.

---

<sup>1)</sup> The precise form of this duality shows how “correct” it is to regard  $S^{n-1}$  as  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional, rather than  $n$ -dimensional.

<sup>2)</sup> In fact,  $s_i = 2(n - i - 1)$ .

On the other hand, there will be no straightforward generalization to higher dimensions of Descartes' formula for the total angular defect of a polyhedron homeomorphic to  $S^2$ , since this defect ceases in higher dimensions to be a topological invariant. However it remains, under suitable restrictions on the cellular structure, a combinatorial invariant in a certain strict sense and thus independent of the underlying geometry of the polyhedron.

## REFERENCES

- [1] PÓLYA, George. *Mathematics and Plausible Reasoning, Vol. I*. Princeton University Press, 1973 (pp. 35-58).
- [2] —— *Mathematical Discovery*. John Wiley and Sons, Combined Edition, Vol. II, 1981, (pp. 149-156).
- [3] COXETER, H. S. M. *Regular Polytopes*. Macmillan Mathematics Paperbacks, 1963.
- [4] COURANT, Richard and Herbert ROBBINS. *What is Mathematics?* Oxford University Press, 1969 (pp. 236-240).
- [5] DESCARTES. *Œuvres*, vol. X. pp. 265-269.
- [6] EULER. *Opera Omnia*, ser. 1, vol. 26, pp. XIV-XVI, 71-108, and 217-218.
- [7] LOGOTHETTI, David. *Personal notes from Professor Pólya's lecture*. March 1974.
- [8] LAKATOS, Imre. *Proofs and Refutations: The Logic of Mathematical Discovery*. Cambridge University Press, 1976.
- [9] SCHLÄFLI, Ludwig. Theorie der vielfachen Kontinuität. *Denkschriften der Schweizerischen naturforschenden Gesellschaft* 38 (1901), pp. 1-237.
- [10] POINCARÉ, Henri. Sur la généralisation d'un théorème d'Euler relatif aux polyèdres. *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris* 117 (1893), pp. 144-145.
- [11] HOPPE, Reinhold. Regelmässige linear begrenzte Figuren von vier Dimensionen. *Archiv der Mathematik und Physik* 67 (1882), pp. 29-43.  
——— Berechnung einiger vierdehnigen Winkel. *Ibid.* 67 (1882), pp. 269-290.  
——— Die regelmässigen linear begrenzten Figuren jeder Anzahl von Dimensionen, *Ibid.* 68 (1882), pp. 151-165.
- [12] HILTON, Peter and Shaun WYLIE. *Homology Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1967, p. 167.
- [13] CHERN, Shiing-Shen. From triangles to manifolds. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 86, No. 5 (May 1979), pp. 339-349.

(Reçu le 25 mars 1981)

Peter Hilton

Case Institute of Technology  
Cleveland, Ohio

Jean Pedersen

University of Santa Clara  
Santa Clara, California