

Equidimensional isometric maps

Autor(en): **Kirchheim, Bernd / Spadaro, Emanuele / Székelyhidi, László**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **90 (2015)**

Heft 4

PDF erstellt am: **02.05.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-658068>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Equidimensional isometric maps

Bernd Kirchheim, Emanuele Spadaro and László Székelyhidi Jr.

Abstract. In Gromov’s treatise (*Partial differential relations*, volume 9 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*, 1986), a continuous map between Riemannian manifolds is called isometric if it preserves the length of rectifiable curves. In this note we develop a method using the Baire category theorem for constructing such isometries. We show that a typical 1-Lipschitz map is isometric in canonically formulated extension and restriction problems.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 35J60, 53C23, 49J10.

Keywords. Isometric maps, fine differential inclusions, Baire category method.

Contents

1	Introduction	761
2	Statement of the main results	764
3	Approximate isometric maps	765
4	Locally strictly short extensions	769
5	Density	774
6	Typical extensions	785
7	Generic restrictions	788
8	Isometric embedding of Riemannian manifolds	791
	References	796

1. Introduction

Since the fundamental works of Nash [16] and Kuiper [13] it is well known that isometric maps with low regularity can be surprisingly flexible objects. In particular, any short immersion of an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with continuous metric into \mathbb{R}^{n+1} can be uniformly approximated by isometric immersions of class C^1 . One of the main ideas introduced by Nash, and revisited by Kuiper, is an iterative scheme, whereby in each stage the short map is perturbed by a rapidly

oscillating “corrugation” (or “spiral” in higher codimensions) such that the resulting maps converge in C^1 to an isometric immersion.

On the contrary, in the equidimensional case, that is, for maps from a n -dimensional manifold into \mathbb{R}^n , isometries of class C^1 are *rigid*. Namely, if $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a C^1 map with $Df \in O(n)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then f is globally orientation preserving or reversing and, by a classical Liouville theorem, is an affine map, i.e. a rigid motion.

Therefore, in order to see some flexibility, one needs to relax the C^1 condition. A natural choice is to consider Lipschitz maps instead. To fix ideas consider maps $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. There are several ways in which one can define what it means to be an isometry: either look at changes in the metric under f (a local condition), or look at the effect on the length of curves (a global condition). For $f \in C^1$ the two conditions lead to the same notion - this can be seen as a simple example of the local-to-global principle in geometry. If f is merely Lipschitz, by Rademacher’s theorem the derivative $Df(x)$ exists for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, hence a weak preservation of the metric amounts to the condition

$$(Df)^T Df = \text{Id} \quad \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.1)$$

Here we denote by \mathcal{L}^n the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . We will call such maps *weak isometries*. As pointed out by Gromov on p. 218 of his treatise [9], such maps might collapse whole submanifolds to a single point and thus are very far from a truly geometric notion of isometry. For instance, it is possible to solve the Dirichlet problem $Df^T Df = \text{Id}$ a.e. in $\Omega = [0, 1]^n$ and $f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ — see e.g. [5, 6]. By extending f periodically on the whole \mathbb{R}^n , one can then find a solutions to (1.1) such that $f(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}) = \{0\}$.

The more geometric definition of isometry therefore is the following: a Lipschitz map between Riemannian manifolds $f : M \rightarrow N$ is *isometric* if it preserves the length of any rectifiable curve (c.f. [9, §2.4.10]):

$$\ell_M(\gamma) = \ell_N(f \circ \gamma) \quad \text{for every } \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \text{ rectifiable.} \quad (1.2)$$

It is not difficult to see that any isometry is a weak isometry, but the converse is in general false. To compare with (1.1), notice that an isometric map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

$$(D^M f)^T D^M f = \text{Id} \quad \mathcal{H}^m\text{-a.e. on } M, \quad (1.3)$$

for every m -dimensional submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $m = 1, \dots, n$, where D^M denotes the tangential derivative and \mathcal{H}^m is the m -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Actually, it is not difficult to see that in condition (1.3) it suffices to check the lowest dimensional case $m = 1$, i.e.

$$|\nabla_\tau f| = 1 \quad \mathcal{H}^1\text{-a.e. on } \gamma \quad (1.4)$$

for every rectifiable curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\nabla_\tau f$ denotes the tangential derivative.

For constructing isometries one might imagine a “folding up” pattern as the analogous perturbations to corrugations in an iterative scheme *à la* Nash and prove results similar in spirit to the Nash–Kuiper theorem. Indeed, in [9] Gromov shows that every strictly short map between Riemannian manifolds admits an arbitrarily close uniform approximation by isometries. More generally, Gromov’s convex integration is a powerful generalization of the Nash technique, that applies to a large class of differential relations. A version for differential inclusions of Lipschitz maps has been developed in [14, 15], where also the system (1.1) is treated as a particular case.

On the other hand it was noticed by several authors [4, 6, 10], that the Baire category method, introduced in [3, 7] for ordinary differential inclusions, can be applied to problems such as (1.1) (which can be written as the differential inclusion $Du(x) \in O(n)$ a.e. x). Indeed Baire category methods have been used for many existence proofs in analysis and geometry, also for the construction of topological embeddings (but since the literature is vast and scattered we do not add any specific reference). In the question considered, this approach leads not only to the density of weak isometries but also to genericity in the sense of Baire category.

Our contribution in this paper is twofold. First of all we develop a version of the Baire category method for isometric maps satisfying (1.2) in the sense considered by Gromov and prove several residuality results. Our method allows one to reduce the problem of Baire-residuality to the density of certain approximate isometries, see §3 below.

Secondly, we give a self-contained proof of the density of (approximate) isometries that follows the general philosophy of Baire category techniques for differential inclusions. To explain this, recall that the density of Lipschitz isometries between Riemannian manifolds follows from Gromov’s result [9, §2.4.11] concerning the fine approximability of isometries. Alternatively, in \mathbb{R}^n one can use the following result of Brehm [2] concerning the extension of isometries:

Theorem 1.1 (Brehm [2]). *Let $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a finite set and $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a short map, with $n \leq m$. Then, there exists an extension of f to a piecewise affine isometric map of the whole \mathbb{R}^n .*

Both Gromov’s and Brehm’s proof rely on the (global) geometric property of being an isometry, in particular special piecewise affine isometries (called normally folded maps in [9]) are used as the basic building block and it is not clear how to generalize this notion to other differential inclusions. In contrast, our approach is to treat isometries as solutions to a *fine differential inclusion* as in (1.3), where the tangential derivative on lower-dimensional objects is prescribed. As in the usual Baire category method, we use an explicit oscillating perturbation to show the perturbation property for the (tangential) gradient of the map f . The new key point however is to use a calibration to control the underlying curves. We expect

our method to find applicability in a more general class of such fine differential inclusions.

To conclude this introduction we mention that there is yet another, stronger notion of isometry. In [9, §2.4.10] a map $f : M \rightarrow N$ between Riemannian manifolds is called a *strong isometry* if for any $x, y \in M$

$$\text{dist}_M(x, y) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{dist}_N(f(x_i), f(x_{i+1})) \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all ε -chains between x and y , that is, sequence of points $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_k = y$ with $\text{dist}_M(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \varepsilon$. The same notion is called an *intrinsic isometry* in [17]. It is not difficult to see that a strong isometry is an isometry. Moreover, strong isometries preserve the length of any curve (not just rectifiable). Now, using Gromov's theorem (or our Theorem 2.2 below) it is possible to construct an isometry $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, which maps the Koch curve (or any purely unrectifiable curve) to a single point. Such a map will obviously not be a strong isometry. We note in passing that in [9, §2.4.10] this construction is described with a curve C with the property that $\dim_H(C \cap C_0) < 1$ for all rectifiable curves C_0 . This property is stronger than being purely unrectifiable, and in fact it turns out that such a curve C does not exist — see [1]. Our main results and techniques in this paper, in particular in §5, do not extend to strong isometries.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our thanks to Giovanni Alberti, to whom we are indebted for many fruitful and inspiring discussions concerning this work.

2. Statement of the main results

We first consider the problem of extending a map defined on an arbitrary compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. This is a generalization of the Dirichlet problem on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, if we take $K = \partial\Omega$.

It is clear that an isometric extension need not always exist. For example, consider the following map: $K = \partial[0, 1]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $f(x, y) = (x, 0)$. Clearly, f is a short map admitting a unique 1-Lipschitz extension to $[0, 1]^2$ (namely $f(x, y) = (x, 0)$), which is not an isometric map because, for instance, vertical line segments are mapped to single points.

In order to deal with this issue, we need to characterize the set $C(f, K)$ where the map f has a unique 1-Lipschitz extension. It is clear that f extends uniquely as a 1-Lipschitz map on the set

$$C(f, K) := \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{S}} \text{conv}(H),$$

where $\mathcal{S} := \{H \subset K : f|_H \text{ is an affine isometry}\}$.

As seen in the example above, if the unique 1-Lipschitz extension on $C(f, K)$ is not isometric, there is no chance to solve the extension problem. On the other hand, if $C(f, K) = K$, the map f does admit extensions which are locally strictly short outside K . This is the content of the following proposition. In the sequel we use the following standard terminology:

- in a topological space a subset is called *residual* if it contains the intersection of countably many dense open sets;
- a property is *typical* (or *the typical element satisfies a property*) if that property holds in a residual set.

Proposition 2.1. *A function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ admits an 1-Lipschitz extension $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

- $h|_K = f$;
- $\text{Lip}(h|_A) < 1$ for every $A \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$

if and only if

$$C(f, K) = K. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, the typical 1-Lipschitz map $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies (2.1).

The proof of Proposition 2.1 (restated as Proposition 4.4 and 7.1) is contained in Sections 4 and 7. As a consequence, we prove that the solutions to the Dirichlet problem which are isometric in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C(f, K)$ are in fact residual:

Theorem 2.2 (Typical extension). *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set and $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a short map. Then, the typical 1-Lipschitz extension of f to the whole \mathbb{R}^n is isometric on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C(f, K)$.*

We then consider the problem of Dirichlet data $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which extend to a global isometric map $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (not just of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C(f, K)$). We prove that also this is a generic property.

Theorem 2.3 (Typical restriction). *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set. The typical short map $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the restriction of an isometric map of the whole \mathbb{R}^n .*

Finally, we address the problem of isometric maps from a Riemannian manifold M^n into \mathbb{R}^n . We show that such maps are residual in the space of short maps.

Theorem 2.4 (Typical isometries). *Let M be a n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with continuous metric. Then, the isometric maps of M into \mathbb{R}^n are residual in the space of short maps.*

3. Approximate isometric maps

In what follows M is a connected n -dimensional smooth manifold with or without boundary. We assume that M is endowed with a continuous Riemannian metric g ;

we denote by d_M and $|\cdot|_g$ the induced Riemannian distance on M and the norm on each tangent space $T_x M$, respectively. In the case of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , we use the usual notation $|x|$ and $x \cdot y$ for the norm and the scalar product of vectors, respectively.

Given a path-connected subset $S \subseteq M$ we introduce the following notation.

- (a) The space of short maps from M into \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by $\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, i. e.

$$\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : \text{Lip}_g(f) \leq 1\},$$

where

$$\text{Lip}_g(f) := \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d_M(x, y)}.$$

- (b) $\Gamma_S(x, y)$ is the set of rectifiable curves from x to y contained in S :

$$\Gamma_S(x, y) := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S : \gamma \text{ rectifiable, } \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y\}.$$

We denote by d_S the induced metric, i.e.

$$d_S(x, y) := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_S(x, y)} \ell_g(\gamma).$$

- (c) We denote by $\mathcal{I}(S)$ the set of all short maps $f \in \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ which are isometric in S , i. e. $\ell(f \circ \gamma) = \ell_g(\gamma)$ for every rectifiable curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$, where

$$\ell(f \circ \gamma) = \int_0^1 |(f \circ \gamma)'(t)| dt \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_g(\gamma) = \int_0^1 |\gamma'(t)|_{g(\gamma(t))} dt.$$

Equivalently, $f \in \mathcal{I}(S)$ if for every γ as above

$$|(f \circ \gamma)'(t)| = |\gamma'(t)|_{g(\gamma(t))} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

- (d) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x, y \in S$, we denote by $F_\varepsilon(x, y, S) \subset \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the mappings satisfying

$$F_\varepsilon(x, y, S) := \left\{ f \in \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) : \ell(f \circ \gamma) + \varepsilon \ell_g(\gamma) > (1 - \varepsilon) d_S(x, y) \right. \\ \left. \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_S(x, y) \right\}.$$

Note that in general the maps in $\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are not bounded (except when M itself is bounded). For this reason, we use the following metric on $\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$D(f, g) := \sup_{x \in M} \min \{1, |f(x) - g(x)|\} = \min \left\{ 1, \sup_{x \in M} |f(x) - g(x)| \right\}.$$

It is easy to verify that $(\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n), D)$ is a complete metric space and that D induces the uniform convergence, i.e.

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} D(f_l, f) = 0 \iff \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_l - f\|_{C^0(M)} = 0.$$

Definition 3.1. Let $S \subset M$ be path-connected. We define the set of ε -approximate isometric maps in S by:

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(S) := \bigcap_{x \neq y \in S} F_\varepsilon(x, y, S). \quad (3.1)$$

The name is justified by the following result.

Lemma 3.2. Let $S \subset M$ be path-connected. Then

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(S) = \mathcal{I}(S). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Note first that $\mathcal{I}(S) \subset F_\varepsilon(x, y, S)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \neq y \in S$. Indeed, every $f \in \mathcal{I}(S)$ satisfies

$$\ell(f \circ \gamma) + \varepsilon \ell_g(\gamma) = (1 + \varepsilon) \ell_g(\gamma) > (1 - \varepsilon) d_M(x, y) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_S(x, y).$$

In order to prove the converse inclusion, assume $f \in \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(S)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ be a rectifiable curve. Then, for every partition $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_m = 1$, setting $\gamma_j := \gamma|_{[t_j, t_{j+1}]}$, we have

$$\ell(f \circ \gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \ell(f \circ \gamma_j) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} d_M(\gamma(t_j), \gamma(t_{j+1})).$$

Since this holds for any partition, $\ell(f \circ \gamma) \geq \ell_g(\gamma)$ and, hence, $f \in \mathcal{I}(S)$. \square

3.1. Separability. We show next that it suffices to take a countable intersection in order to obtain a subset of approximate isometric maps.

Lemma 3.3. Let S be path-connected and $S_0 \subset S$ be a countable dense subset for the induced metric d_S . Then,

$$\bigcap_{x \neq y \in S_0} F_\varepsilon(x, y, S) \subset \mathcal{I}_{2\varepsilon}(S).$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\varepsilon < 1/2$, otherwise the statement is trivial. Let $f \in F_\varepsilon(x_0, y_0, S)$ for all $x_0, y_0 \in S_0$. For $x, y \in S$, we choose $\eta > 0$ and $x_0, y_0 \in S_0$ such that

$$\eta < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} d_S(x, y),$$

and

$$d_S(x, x_0) + d_S(y, y_0) < \eta.$$

We can find two curves $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_S(x_0, x)$ and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_S(y, y_0)$ such that

$$\ell_g(\gamma_1) + \ell_g(\gamma_2) \leq d_S(x, x_0) + d_S(y, y_0) + \eta.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 2\varepsilon)d_S(x, y) &\leq (1 - \varepsilon)d_S(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon d_S(x, y) + (1 - \varepsilon)\eta \\ &\leq (1 - \varepsilon)d_S(x_0, y_0) - 2\eta(1 + \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$(3 + \varepsilon)\eta \leq \varepsilon d_S(x, y).$$

Then we consider the concatenation $\tilde{\gamma} := \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma_1$ (i.e., the curve obtained by joining, in the order, the curves γ_1 , γ and γ_2), and note that $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma_S(x_0, y_0)$. Using that

$$\ell_g(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq \ell_g(\gamma) + 2\eta$$

and that $f \in F_\varepsilon(x_0, y_0, S)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(f \circ \gamma) &\geq \ell(f \circ \tilde{\gamma}) - 2\eta \\ &> (1 - \varepsilon)d_S(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon(\ell_g(\gamma) + 2\eta) - 2\eta \\ &\geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)d_S(x, y) - \varepsilon\ell_g(\gamma) \\ &> (1 - 2\varepsilon)d_S(x, y) - 2\varepsilon\ell_g(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f \in F_{2\varepsilon}(x, y, S)$. Since this holds for every $x, y \in S$, we conclude $f \in \mathcal{I}_{2\varepsilon}(S)$. \square

3.2. Closedness. The following lemma shows that the sets of approximate isometric maps are G_δ sets.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $S \subset M$ be compact. Then, for every $x, y \in S$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $F_\varepsilon(x, y, S)$ is open in $\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. We show that $\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus F_\varepsilon(x, y, S)$ is closed under the uniform convergence induced by D . To this aim, assume that $f_k \in \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus F_\varepsilon(x, y, S)$ converges to f uniformly in M . By assumption, there exist $\gamma_k \in \Gamma_S(x, y)$ with

$$\ell(f_k \circ \gamma_k) + \varepsilon \ell_g(\gamma_k) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)d_S(x, y).$$

In particular, the lengths $\ell_g(\gamma_k)$ are uniformly bounded. Therefore, since we are considering curves in the compact set S , we may extract a subsequence such that $\gamma_{k_j} \rightarrow \gamma \in \Gamma_S(x, y)$ uniformly. This implies that also $f_{k_j} \circ \gamma_{k_j}$ converges

uniformly to $f \circ \gamma$. Now, since the length is lower semicontinuous under uniform convergence, we deduce that

$$\ell(f \circ \gamma) + \varepsilon \ell_g(\gamma) \leq (1 - \varepsilon) d_S(x, y).$$

This implies that $f \in \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus F_\varepsilon(x, y, S)$, hence $\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus F_\varepsilon(x, y, S)$ is closed. \square

3.3. Locality. The notion of isometric map is local in the following sense.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be an open covering of M such that every U_α is path-connected. Let $f \in \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $f|_{U_\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}(U_\alpha)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{I}(M)$.*

Proof. We need to prove that, for a given curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$,

$$\ell(f \circ \gamma) = \ell_g(\gamma).$$

Since $\gamma([0, 1])$ is compact, we begin fixing a finite covering of $\gamma([0, 1])$ by sets U_{α_j} , $j = 1, \dots, m$. Using the uniform continuity of γ , we infer the existence of $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \in [0, 1] \quad \exists j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad \text{such that} \quad \gamma([t, t + \eta]) \subset U_{\alpha_j}.$$

We then choose any partition $0 = t_0 \leq \dots \leq t_m = 1$ such that $|t_i - t_{i+1}| \leq \eta$. By the choice of η , for every $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ there exists $j(i)$ such that $\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}]) \subset U_{\alpha_{j(i)}}$. Therefore, from $f|_{U_\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}(U_\alpha)$ we deduce that

$$\ell(f \circ \gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}) = \ell_g(\gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m - 1,$$

and therefore

$$\ell(f \circ \gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \ell(f \circ \gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \ell_g(\gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}) = \ell_g(\gamma). \quad \square$$

4. Locally strictly short extensions

As mentioned in the introduction, given a short map $f : K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ on a compact set K , f will have a unique 1-Lipschitz extension \tilde{f} to a possibly larger set containing K , namely

$$C(f, K) := \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{S}} \text{conv}(H),$$

where $\mathcal{S} := \{H \subset K : f|_H \text{ is an affine isometry}\}$. Here " $f|_H$ affine" is understood in the sense that $f|_H(x) = Ax + b$ for some $A \in O(n)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that it is easily verified either by elementary geometry or by a calculation analogous to the one

in (4.1)–(4.3) that “ $f|_H$ affine isometry” is equivalent to being (globally) distance preserving in H in the sense that $|f(x) - f(y)| = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in H$. Then, by the triangle inequality, f extends uniquely as a 1-Lipschitz map on $C(f, K)$ and in particular $K \subset C(f, K)$. In the following lemmas we prove two simple properties of $C(f, K)$, namely its compactness and a hull-type property.

Lemma 4.1. *For every $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact and $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ short, $C(f, K) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact.*

Proof. We notice first that $C(f, K)$ is a bounded set. Therefore, we need only to show that it is closed. Assume that $z^l \in \text{conv}(H_l) \rightarrow z$. Using Carathéodory’s Theorem, we may assume without loss of generality that $H_l = \{y_0^l, \dots, y_n^l\}$ and

$$z^l = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i^l y_i^l, \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i^l = 1, \quad \lambda_i^l \geq 0.$$

By compactness (up to extracting subsequences which are not relabelled) we may infer that there exist $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} y_i^l = y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_i^l = \lambda_i.$$

Then, $z \in \text{conv}(H)$ for $H := \{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$. Moreover, $H \in \mathcal{S}$ because

$$|g(y_i) - g(y_j)| = \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} |g(y_i^l) - g(y_j^l)| = \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} |y_i^l - y_j^l| = |y_i - y_j| \quad \forall i, j.$$

This shows that $z \in C(f, K)$, i.e. $C(f, K)$ is closed. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a short map, with $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact and let $\bar{f} : C(f, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unique 1-Lipschitz extension of f to $C(f, K)$. Then,*

$$C(\bar{f}, C(f, K)) = C(f, K).$$

Proof. It is enough to show that, for every $x, y \in C(f, K)$ such that $|\bar{f}(x) - \bar{f}(y)| = |x - y|$, it holds

$$[x, y] := \{\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y : \lambda \in [0, 1]\} \subset C(f, K).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$y = \bar{f}(y) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Set $H := \{x_0, \dots, x_l\} \subset K$, $l \leq n$, such that $f|_H$ is an affine isometry and $x = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i$ for positive α_i with $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$. Note that in general l may be different from n , because we assumed that $\alpha_i > 0$ for every i . Since $f|_H$ is affine and (4.1) holds, we have

$$\left| \sum_i \alpha_i x_i \right| = \left| \sum_i \alpha_i f(x_i) \right|.$$

Squaring we get

$$\sum_i \alpha_i^2 |x_i|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i \cdot x_j = \sum_i \alpha_i^2 |f(x_i)|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j f(x_i) \cdot f(x_j). \quad (4.2)$$

From (4.1) and $\text{Lip}(\bar{f}) \leq 1$, it follows that $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ for every $z \in K$. Recalling that $|f(x_i) - f(x_j)| = |x_i - x_j|$ for $x_i, x_j \in H$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_i) \cdot f(x_j) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(|f(x_i)|^2 + |f(x_j)|^2 - |f(x_i) - f(x_j)|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(|x_i|^2 + |x_j|^2 - |x_i - x_j|^2 \right) \\ &= x_i \cdot x_j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Using (4.2) and (4.3) together (recall that $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ for every $z \in K$), we deduce that $|f(x_i)| = |x_i|$ for every $x_i \in H$. In particular, $\{0\} \cup H \in \mathcal{S}$ and by definition

$$[0, x] \subset \text{conv}(\{0\} \cup H) \subset C(f, K). \quad \square$$

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.1. We start with a definition.

Definition 4.3 (LSSE). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set and $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a short map. We say that f is *locally strict short extendable*, or briefly f is LSSE, if there exists $h \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $h|_K = f$ and $\text{Lip}(h|_A) < 1$ for every $A \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$.

Clearly, if $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is LSSE, then $C(f, K) = K$, because, for every 1-Lipschitz extension h of f it holds $h|_{C(f, K)} = \bar{f}|_{C(f, K)}$, where \bar{f} is the unique 1-Lipschitz extension of f to $C(f, K)$, and $\text{Lip}(f|_{C(f, K) \cap B_\varepsilon(x)}) \geq 1$ for every $x \in C(f, K)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, while h is locally strictly short outside of K . We show that this is also a sufficient condition for f to be LSSE.

Proposition 4.4. For a short function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the following are equivalent

- (a) f is LSSE;
- (b) for every $x \notin K$ there exists $p_x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$|p_x - f(y)| < |x - y| \quad \forall y \in K; \quad (4.4)$$

- (c) for every $x \notin K$, there exist at least two different 1-Lipschitz extensions f_1, f_2 of f to $K \cup \{x\}$.

- (d)

$$x, y \in K : |f(x) - f(y)| = |x - y| \quad \Rightarrow \quad [x, y] \subset K. \quad (4.5)$$

In particular, f is LSSE if and only if $C(f, K) = K$.

Proof. To prove the equivalence between (a) and (b), assume that h is a locally strictly short extension of f . Then, it follows from Definition 4.3 that $p_x := h(x)$ fulfills (4.4). Conversely, if (4.4) holds, for every $x \notin K$ there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that

$$|p_x - f(y)| < |z - y| \quad \forall y \in K \quad \text{and} \quad \forall z \in B_{\delta_x}(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K. \quad (4.6)$$

For every $x \notin K$, we define the functions f_x by

$$f_x(w) := \begin{cases} f(w) & \text{if } w \in K, \\ p_x & \text{if } w \in B_{\delta_x}(x), \end{cases}$$

and consider F_x an arbitrary 1-Lipschitz extensions to the whole \mathbb{R}^n given by Kirszbraun's Theorem [8, §2.10.43]. Since $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ is locally compact, there exist countably many x_i such that

$$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\delta_{x_i}}(x_i).$$

Setting $h := \sum_i 2^{-i} F_{x_i}$, it is immediate to verify from (4.6) that h is a locally strictly short extension of g .

To show the equivalence between (b) and (c), note that, if the maps $x \mapsto q$ and $x \mapsto q'$ are two different extensions to $K \cup \{x\}$, then $p_x := \frac{q+q'}{2}$ satisfies (4.4). Vice versa, if (4.4) holds, then the continuous function

$$\Phi(y) := \frac{|p_x - f(y)|}{|x - y|}$$

satisfies $\max_K \Phi = 1 - \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$. Then, for every $z \in B_{\delta}(p_x)$ with $\delta \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \text{dist}(x, K)$, the extension of f given by $x \mapsto z$ is a 1-Lipschitz extension of f :

$$\frac{|z - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \leq \frac{|p_x - f(y)| + \delta}{|x - y|} \leq 1 - \eta + \frac{\delta}{|x - y|} < 1 \quad \forall y \in K.$$

Note that, we have actually proven that (b) fails in a point x if and only if (c) fails in the same point x .

So far we have proved the equivalence of (a), (b) and (c). Next, it is clear that (b) implies (d).

To show the converse, we argue by contradiction and assume that (d) holds but (c) not, i.e. there exists $x \notin K$ such that f admits a unique extension $\bar{f} : K \cup \{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\bar{f}(x) = p_x$ and set

$$H := \{y \in K : |f(y) - p_x| = |y - x|\}.$$

Note that H is compact and, by the failure of (b) in x , $H \neq \emptyset$. Two cases can occur:

- (i) $p_x \notin \operatorname{conv}(f(H))$;
- (ii) $p_x \in \operatorname{conv}(f(H))$.

In case (i), since $\operatorname{conv}(f(H))$ is compact, there exists $\varepsilon, \tau > 0$, and $v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$p_x \cdot v > 2\varepsilon + f(y) \cdot v \quad \forall y \in H_\tau \cap K,$$

where H_τ denotes an open τ -neighborhood of H . Moreover, by compactness of $K \setminus H_\tau$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(y) - p_x| + \delta \leq |x - y| \quad \forall y \in K \setminus H_\tau.$$

An elementary computation shows that $x \mapsto q_x := p_x - \eta v$ is a new 1-Lipschitz extension of f to $K \cup \{x\}$ if η is chosen accordingly. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - p_x + \eta v|^2 &= |f(y) - p_x|^2 + \eta^2 + 2\eta(f(y) - p_x) \cdot v \\ &\leq |f(y) - p_x|^2 + \eta^2 - 4\eta\varepsilon \\ &\leq |y - x|^2 + \eta^2 - 4\eta\varepsilon \end{aligned} \quad \forall y \in H_\tau \cap K,$$

and

$$|f(y) - p_x + \eta v| \leq |x - y| - \delta + \eta \quad \forall y \notin H_\tau.$$

Hence, it suffices to choose

$$\eta < \max\{\delta, 4\varepsilon\}.$$

This contradicts the assumption that \bar{f} is the only 1-Lipschitz extension to $K \cup \{x\}$ and gives the desired conclusion in case (i).

In case (ii), let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be the minimum integer with the following property: there exist l points $\{y_1, \dots, y_l\} =: H' \subset H$ such that $p_x \in \operatorname{conv}(f(H'))$. We claim that

$$|f(y_i) - f(y_j)| < |y_i - y_j| \quad \forall y_i, y_j \in H'. \quad (4.7)$$

Indeed, assume this is not the case, e.g. $|f(y_1) - f(y_2)| = |y_1 - y_2|$. Then, since $p_x = \sum_i \alpha_i f(y_i)$ for positive α_i with $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$ and $f|_{[y_1, y_2]}$ is affine, we can set

$$z := \frac{\alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}.$$

By (4.5), $[y_1, y_2] \subset K$, thus implying in particular that $z \in K$. Moreover, by comparing the congruent triangles $\{y_1, y_2, x\}$ and $\{f(y_1), f(y_2), p_x\}$ we deduce that $z \in H$. Since it is moreover easy to see that $p_x \in \operatorname{conv}(f(\{z, y_3, \dots, y_l\}))$, we obtain a contradiction with the assumption that l was the least number satisfying the above property.

To conclude we note that (4.7) implies that there exists a strictly short extension of $f|_{H'}$ to $H' \cup \{x\}$, denoted by $F : H' \cup \{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, $F(x) \neq p_x$ by the

definition of H . This leads to a contradiction and concludes the proof. Indeed, set $F(x) =: q_x$ and $\nu := \frac{q_x - p_x}{|q_x - p_x|}$. Since $p_x \in \text{conv}(f(H'))$, there exists $y \in H'$ such that

$$p_x \cdot \nu \geq f(y) \cdot \nu,$$

which in turns implies

$$|f(y) - q_x| \geq |f(y) - p_x| = |y - x|,$$

against $\text{Lip}(F) < 1$. □

5. Density

In this section we set, referring to the notation of Section 3,

$$M = \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and define, for every $x, y \in K$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $E_\varepsilon(x, y, K)$ to be the restriction of maps from $F_\varepsilon(x, y, K)$ to K , i.e.

$$E_\varepsilon(x, y, K) := \{h \in \text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n) : \exists f \in F_\varepsilon(x, y, K) \text{ s.t. } f|_K = h\}.$$

Our aim is to prove the following density result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set. Then, for every $x, y \in K$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $E_\varepsilon(x, y, K)$ is dense in $\text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

5.1. Single lamination. In this section we show the basic lamination construction which will be used to increase distances in one direction. We consider functions of the following form:

$$w(x) = A x + \zeta h(x \cdot \xi),$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the 1-periodic extension of the following piecewise linear function with slopes $\lambda_1 < 0 < \lambda_2$,

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 t & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}, \\ \lambda_2 (t - 1) & \text{for } \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} < t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Note that w is Lipschitz and piecewise affine in parallel strips, with

$$\nabla w(x) = \begin{cases} A + \lambda_1 \zeta \otimes \xi & \text{for } k < x \cdot \xi < k + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}, \\ A + \lambda_2 \zeta \otimes \xi & \text{for } k + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} < x \cdot \xi < k + 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.2)$$

In what follows, a simplex is defined to be the closed convex hull of $n + 1$ affinely independent points in \mathbb{R}^n , $T := \overline{\text{co}}\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, and its barycenter is the point $\bar{x} := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n x_j$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let T be a simplex and u be a strictly short affine map on T , with $\nabla u \equiv A$ and $A^T A \leq (1 - \theta_0) I$ for some $0 < \theta_0 < 1$. Then, for every $0 < \theta < \theta_0$*

and $\eta > 0$, there exists $v \in \text{Lip}(T, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that:

- (i) $v = u$ on ∂T ;
- (ii) $\|u - v\|_{C^0(T)} \leq \eta$;
- (iii) $\text{Lip}(v) \leq 1 - \frac{\theta}{4}$;
- (iv) $(1 - 2\theta) \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(t) \cdot e_1| dt \leq \ell(v \circ \gamma)$ for every rectifiable $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow T_\eta$, where T_η is the $(1 - \eta)$ -rescaled simplex with the same barycenter as T .

For the proof of the proposition we need the following elementary linear algebra lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\theta > 0$ be such that $A^T A \leq (1 - \theta) I$. Then, there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$(1 - \theta) |\zeta \cdot e_1|^2 \leq (A^T A + \xi \otimes \xi) \zeta \cdot \zeta \leq (1 - \theta) |\zeta|^2 \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. Let $B = (1 - \theta)I - A^T A$, so that, by assumption, $B \geq 0$. First consider the case $B_{11} > 0$ and set $\xi := \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_{11}}} B e_1$. We claim that

$$(B - \xi \otimes \xi) e_1 = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

$$(B - \xi \otimes \xi) w \cdot w \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.5)$$

Indeed, (5.4) follows directly from the definition of ξ . To see (5.5), notice that $B \geq 0$ implies, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$B(w + t e_1) \cdot (w + t e_1) = t^2 (B e_1 \cdot e_1) + 2t (B e_1 \cdot w) + (B w \cdot w) \geq 0. \quad (5.6)$$

The fact that the above quadratic expression in t is nonnegative is equivalent to

$$(B w \cdot w)(B e_1 \cdot e_1) - (B e_1 \cdot w)^2 \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, by direct calculation

$$(B - \xi \otimes \xi) w \cdot w = B_{11}^{-1} ((B w \cdot w)(B e_1 \cdot e_1) - (B e_1 \cdot w)^2),$$

thus leading to (5.5). Similarly, if $B_{11} = 0$, we set $\xi = 0$. Then, (5.4) and (5.5) still hold: indeed, the latter is trivially true by the assumption on A and the former follows from (5.6) being w and t arbitrary.

To conclude the proof of the lemma, note that (5.4) and (5.5) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (A^T A + \xi \otimes \xi) e_1 &= (1 - \theta) e_1, \\ (A^T A + \xi \otimes \xi) w \cdot w &\leq (1 - \theta) |w|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for a general $\zeta = t e_1 + w$ with $w \perp e_1$, (5.3) follows:

$$(1 - \theta) t^2 \leq (A^T A + \xi \otimes \xi) \zeta \cdot \zeta = (1 - \theta) t^2 + (A^T A + \xi \otimes \xi) w \cdot w \leq (1 - \theta) (t^2 + |w|^2).$$

□

Proof of Proposition 5.2. We show that a suitable truncation of a single lamination satisfies the conclusion of the proposition. Fix $0 < \theta < \theta_0$ and $\eta > 0$, and note that $A^T A \leq (1 - \theta) I$. We split into two cases, depending on whether $\det A = 0$ or $\det A \neq 0$.

The case $\det A \neq 0$. Let ξ be the vector given by Lemma 5.3 and consider $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda_1 < 0 < \lambda_2$ such that

$$\zeta = A^{-T} \xi \quad \text{and} \quad 2\lambda_i + \lambda_i^2 |\zeta|^2 = 1, \quad \text{if} \quad \det A \neq 0,$$

Choose a cut-off function $\psi : T \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\psi \in C_c^\infty(T)$, such that $\psi \equiv 1$ on T_η and fix a periodic piecewise affine functions h with slopes λ_1 and λ_2 as in (5.1). We claim that, for μ large enough, the map

$$v(x) = u(x) + \frac{\zeta}{\mu} h(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x)$$

satisfies the conclusions of the lemma.

Clearly, (i) follows from $\psi \in C_c^\infty(T)$. Moreover, since $\|u - v\|_{C^0} \leq \frac{\|h\|_{C^0} |\zeta|}{\mu}$, choosing $\mu > \frac{\|h\|_{C^0} |\zeta|}{\theta}$, also (ii) follows. Next, notice that, by the choice of ζ , for almost every $x \in T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla v(x)^T \nabla v(x) &= A^T A + (h'(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x)) A^T \zeta \otimes \xi + (h'(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x)) \xi \otimes A^T \zeta \\ &\quad + (h'(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x))^2 |\zeta|^2 \xi \otimes \xi + E_\mu(x) \\ &= A^T A + \left(2h'(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x) + (h'(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x) |\zeta|)^2 \right) \xi \otimes \xi \\ &\quad + E_\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $E_\mu(x)$ is an error satisfying $\|E_\mu\|_{C^0} \leq \frac{C_0}{\mu}$, for some C_0 depending on h, ψ, ζ . Hence, since $h' = \lambda_i$ and $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$,

$$2h'(\lambda x \cdot \xi) \psi(x) + (h'(\lambda x \cdot \xi) \psi(x) |\zeta|)^2 \leq 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in T.$$

Then, for $\frac{C_0}{\mu} < \theta/2$, (iii) follows from the convexity of T , since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}(v)^2 &= \text{ess sup}_{x \in T} \sup_{|\eta|=1} |\nabla v(x) \eta|^2 = \text{ess sup}_{x \in T} \sup_{|\eta|=1} (\nabla v(x)^T \nabla v(x) \eta \cdot \eta) \\ &\leq \sup_{|\eta|=1} ((A^T A + \xi \otimes \xi) \eta \cdot \eta) + \sup_{x \in T} |E_\mu(x)| \leq 1 - \theta + \frac{C_0}{\mu} < 1 - \frac{\theta}{2} \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{4} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (iv), let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow T_\theta$ be a rectifiable curve and let

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = 1$$

be any partition of the interval $[0, 1]$. By adding more points if necessary, since v is a single lamination in T_η , we may assume that the restriction of v onto each interval $[\gamma(t_j), \gamma(t_{j+1})]$ is affine. Moreover, by the explicit formula (5.2),

$$v(\gamma(t_{j+1})) - v(\gamma(t_j)) = (A + \lambda_i \zeta \otimes \xi)(\gamma(t_{j+1}) - \gamma(t_j)),$$

where λ_i is chosen depending on which strip the segment lies in and, in case the segment lies on the boundary of a strip, i.e. $\xi \cdot (\gamma(t_{j+1}) - \gamma(t_j)) = 0$, any value can be taken. Therefore, in both cases, using (5.3) and

$$\begin{aligned} (A + \lambda_i \zeta \otimes \xi)^T (A + \lambda_i \zeta \otimes \xi) &= A^T A + \lambda_i A^T \zeta \otimes \xi + \lambda_i \xi \otimes A^T \zeta \\ &\quad + \lambda_i^2 |\zeta|^2 \xi \otimes \xi \\ &= A^T A + \xi \otimes \xi, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v(\gamma(t_{j+1})) - v(\gamma(t_j))| &\geq \sqrt{1 - \theta} |(\gamma(t_{j+1}) - \gamma(t_j)) \cdot e_1| \\ &\geq (1 - 2\theta) |(\gamma(t_{j+1}) - \gamma(t_j)) \cdot e_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Summing and refining the partition ad infinitum, since the integral in (iv) is the total variation of the curve $\gamma \cdot e_1$, we conclude the proof in the case $\det A \neq 0$.

The case $\det A = 0$. In this case we consider

$$\zeta \in \text{Ker}(A^T) \quad \text{and} \quad -\zeta_1 = -\zeta_2 = |\zeta| = 1.$$

Then, for h , ψ and v as above, we have for almost every $x \in T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla v(x)^T \nabla v(x) &= A^T A + (h'(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x)) A^T \zeta \otimes \xi + (h'(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x)) \xi \otimes A^T \zeta \\ &\quad + (h'(\mu x \cdot \xi) \psi(x))^2 |\zeta|^2 \xi \otimes \xi + E_\mu(x) \\ &= A^T A + \psi(x)^2 \xi \otimes \xi + E_\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $E_\mu(x)$ is again an error satisfying $\|E_\mu\|_{C^0} \leq \frac{C_0}{\mu}$, for some C_0 depending on h, ψ, ζ . Since $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ in T_η , the estimates (i)–(iv) follows in the same way as before. \square

5.2. Triangulation and approximation of short maps. In this subsection we construct a calibration in order to obtain sufficient control on curves in $\Gamma_K(x, y)$. We start by proving an elementary result on piecewise affine approximations on triangulations.

Let $T = \overline{co}\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ be a simplex and \bar{x} its barycenter. Given $u : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, the affine interpolation of u in T is the function

$$\bar{u}(x) = u(x_0) + A(x - x_0),$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that $\bar{u}(x_i) = u(x_i)$ for every i (A always exists and is unique because the points x_i are affinely independent). Note that not every affine interpolation of a short map is short. Consider, for example, the map $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $u(x) = (|x|, 0)$, and the simplex T of vertices $x_0 = 0$, $x_1 = \frac{(1, \sqrt{3})}{2}$ and $x_2 = \frac{(1, -\sqrt{3})}{2}$. It turns out that the affine interpolation of u in T is given by

$$\bar{u}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x,$$

so that $\text{Lip}(\bar{u}) = 2$, although u is short.

The following lemma provides a bound for the Lipschitz constant of $u - \bar{u}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let T be a simplex and $r_1, r_2 > 0$ be such that $B_{r_1}(\bar{x}) \subset T \subset B_{r_2}(\bar{x})$. For every $u \in C^2(T, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the affine interpolation \bar{u} in T satisfies*

$$\text{Lip}(u - \bar{u}) \leq \frac{4r_2^2}{r_1} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{C^0(T)}, \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_{C^0(T)} = \max_{x \in T} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,l=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_l}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) \right)^2}.$$

Proof. Let $A = \nabla \bar{u}$. For every $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, denote by L_B the linear map given by $L_B(x) = Bx$ and denote by $|B| = \max_{|\eta|=1} |B\eta|$ the operator norm. We claim that

$$|B - A| \leq \frac{2r_2}{r_1} \text{Lip}(u - L_B). \quad (5.8)$$

Indeed, let $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be such that $|B - A| = (B - A)\eta$ and consider the line $l_\eta = \{\bar{x} + t\eta : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Clearly, by the convexity of T , l_η intersects ∂T in two points,

$$p = \sum_i \lambda_i x_i \quad \text{and} \quad q = \sum_i \mu_i x_i,$$

with $\lambda_i, \mu_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_i \mu_i = 1$. Then, since $|p - q| \geq 2r_1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |B - A| &= \frac{|(B - A)(p - q)|}{|p - q|} \leq \frac{|\sum_i (\lambda_i - \mu_i)(B - A)(x_i - x_0)|}{2r_1} \\ &= \frac{|\sum_i (\lambda_i - \mu_i) \{(Bx_i - u(x_i)) - (Bx_0 - u(x_0))\}|}{2r_1} \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Lip}(u - L_B) \sum_i (\lambda_i + \mu_i) |x_i - x_0|}{2r_1} \leq \frac{2\text{Lip}(u - L_B) \text{diam}(T)}{2r_1} \\ &\leq \frac{2r_2}{r_1} \text{Lip}(u - L_B). \end{aligned}$$

By convexity, for every $f \in C^1(T, \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\text{Lip}(f) = \max_{x \in T} |\nabla f(x)|. \quad (5.9)$$

Set $B = \nabla u(y)$ such that

$$\text{Lip}(u - \bar{u}) = \max_{x \in T} |\nabla(u - \bar{u})| = |B - A|.$$

From (5.9) and (5.8), we deduce (5.7):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}(u - \bar{u}) = |B - A| &\stackrel{(5.8)}{\leq} \frac{2r_2}{r_1} \text{Lip}(u - L_B) \stackrel{(5.9)}{\leq} \frac{2r_2}{r_1} \max_{x \in T} |\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{2r_2}{r_1} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{C^0(T)} \max_{x \in T} |x - y| \leq \frac{4r_2^2}{r_1} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{C^0(T)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.5. Actually, increasing the angle in x_0 in the example given above shows that estimate (5.8) is optimal up to a multiplicative constant.

In what follows, a triangulation $\mathcal{T} = \{T_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{R}^n is defined as a family of simplices such that $\cup_i T_i = \mathbb{R}^n$ and, for every $i \neq j$, $T_i \cap T_j$ is a common face when not empty. We call a triangulation periodic if there exist finitely many simplices T_1, \dots, T_N such that $\mathcal{T} = \cup_{i=1}^N \{T_i + v : v \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$.

Given a simplex $T = \overline{co}\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, we consider the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional supporting linear subspaces of its faces defined as follows: for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n \leq n$, the corresponding supporting hyperplane is given by

$$V_\alpha^T = \text{Span}\{x_{\alpha_2} - x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_n} - x_{\alpha_1}\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{T}$ the set of all unit normals to the supporting hyperplanes of simplices T in \mathcal{T} ,

$$\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{T} = \{v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : v \perp V_\alpha^T \text{ for some } \alpha \text{ and } T \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Proposition 5.6. *For every $\theta > 0$, there exist $0 < \delta < 1$, a periodic triangulation \mathcal{T} and a function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that:*

$$\varphi(l, \bar{x}) = l \quad \forall \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (5.10)$$

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) \leq \theta \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j \neq 1; \quad (5.11)$$

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1}(x) \leq \frac{1}{1-\theta} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1}(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{F}_\delta, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \cup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \partial T$ is the union of the faces of the simplices of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{F}_δ denotes its open δ -neighborhood.

Proof. Step 1: The existence of a transversal triangulation. We start showing the existence of a periodic triangulation \mathcal{S} such that every orthogonal vector $v \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{S}$ satisfies $v \cdot e_1 \neq 0$, i.e. such that e_1 is transversal to any supporting hyperplane.

To this aim, consider $\{T_1, \dots, T_M\}$, a triangulation of $[0, 1/2]^n$ which can be extended to the whole \mathbb{R}^n by periodicity (that such triangulation does exist is a simple exercise), and set

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{j=1}^M \{T_j + v/2 : v \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} = \{R_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

For $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $w \cdot e_1 = 0$, let $f_w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the piecewise affine map given by, for every $x = (x_1, \bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

$$f_w(x) = x + h(x_1) w,$$

where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the 1-periodic extension of

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1-t & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < t < 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that, $f_w|_{R_i}$ is linear for every simplex R_i of \mathcal{R} , so that $S_i = f_w(R_i)$ are also simplices. Moreover, since $w \cdot e_1 = 0$, $f_w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a periodic homeomorphism: for every integer vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $f_w(x + v) = f_w(x) + v$. Hence, $f_w(R_i + v) = f_w(R_i) + v$ implies that $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a periodic triangulation of \mathbb{R}^n as well.

We claim that there exists $w \perp e_1$ such that \mathcal{S} is transversal to e_1 . Indeed, for every simplex R_i , $\nabla(f_w|_{R_i}) = L_{\pm w}$, where $L_{\pm w}v = v \pm (v \cdot e_1)w$ and the sign is chosen depending on the sign of $h'(x_1)$ for $x \in R_i$. By simple linear algebra, using $L_w^{-1} = L_{-w}$ as $w \perp e_1$, we infer that

$$\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{S} = \{L_{\pm w}^T v' : v' \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{R}\}.$$

Hence, $v \in \mathcal{N}_S$ is orthogonal to e_1 if and only if there exists $v' \in \mathcal{N}_R$ such that

$$0 = \langle L_{\pm w}^T v', e_1 \rangle = \langle v', L_{\pm w} e_1 \rangle = \langle v', e_1 \rangle \pm \langle v', w \rangle. \quad (5.13)$$

Now notice that, for a fixed v' either the solutions $w \perp e_1$ satisfying (5.13) are affine $(n-2)$ -dimensional subspaces or, in the case $v' = e_1$, there are no solution. Hence, relying on the fact that \mathcal{N}_R is finite, \mathcal{R} being periodic, one infers that for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $w \perp e_1$ no $v \in \mathcal{N}_S$ is orthogonal to e_1 .

Step 2: Construction of a calibration. From now on we fix a periodic transversal triangulation $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. For every $\gamma > 0$, we denote by \mathcal{F}_γ the open γ -neighborhood of union of all faces of \mathcal{S} . Consider the C^∞ function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$g = \rho_{\gamma/2} * \chi_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{F}_{3\gamma/2})},$$

where $\rho \in C_c^\infty(B_1)$ is such that $\rho \geq 0$, $\int \rho = 1$ and, as usual $\rho_r = r^{-n} \rho(\frac{x}{r})$. Note that, since \mathcal{F} is periodic, also g is periodic and

$$g \equiv 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{F}_\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad g \equiv 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{F}_{2\gamma}.$$

Set, for $x = (x_1, \bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

$$f(x_1, \bar{x}) := \int_0^{x_1} g(t, \bar{x}) dt.$$

Clearly f is smooth and, by the periodicity of g , for $t \in [0, 1)$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, (below the computation for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the other case being analogous), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t+l, \bar{x}) &= \int_0^{t+l} g(s, \bar{x}) ds = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \int_i^{i+1} g(s, \bar{x}) ds + \int_l^{t+l} g(s, \bar{x}) ds \\ &= l f(1, \bar{x}) + f(t, \bar{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

For every $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, setting $l_{\bar{x}} = \{(t, \bar{x}) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, it holds

$$f(1, \bar{x}) \geq 1 - \mathcal{H}^1(l_{\bar{x}} \cap \mathcal{F}_{2\gamma}). \quad (5.15)$$

Since no $v \in \mathcal{N}_S$ is orthogonal to e_1 , each $l_{\bar{x}}$ intersects transversally a bounded number of faces, so that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{H}^1(l_{\bar{x}} \cap \mathcal{F}_{2\gamma}) \leq C\gamma$ for every $\gamma > 0$. By (5.15), for γ small enough, the function

$$\psi(x_1, \bar{x}) := \frac{f(x_1, \bar{x})}{f(1, \bar{x})}$$

is well defined and smooth. From (5.14) it follows that

$$\psi(l+t, \bar{x}) = l + \psi(t, \bar{x}).$$

In particular, $\psi(l, \bar{x}) = l$ and $\nabla \psi$ is \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic with $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}(x) = f(1, \bar{x})^{-1}g(x)$. Therefore, from the choice of g , we have

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} \leq \frac{1}{1-C\gamma} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma. \quad (5.16)$$

Now, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the horizontal rescaling $\tau_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $\tau_k(x_1, \bar{x}) = (\frac{x_1}{k}, \bar{x})$. We claim that, for sufficiently large k ,

$$\varphi(x) := k^{-1}\psi(kx_1, \bar{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T} = \{T_i\}, \quad \text{with } T_i := \tau_k(S_i),$$

satisfy the conclusions of the proposition for a suitable δ . Indeed, \mathcal{T} is clearly periodic and $\varphi(l, \bar{x}) = k^{-1}\psi(kl, \bar{x}) = l$, thus proving (5.12). Setting $\mathcal{F}' = \cup_i \partial T_i$, from (5.16) we deduce that $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1}(x) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}(kx_1, \bar{x})$ satisfy

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} \leq \frac{1}{1-C\gamma} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{F}'_{\gamma/k} = \tau_k(\mathcal{F}_\gamma). \quad (5.17)$$

Moreover, using the periodicity of $\nabla \psi$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right| \leq k^{-1} \|\nabla \psi\|_{C^0}, \quad \forall j \neq 1. \quad (5.18)$$

Given now $\theta > 0$, we can choose γ, k and δ in the following way:

$$\gamma \leq \frac{\theta}{C}, \quad k \geq \frac{\|\nabla \psi\|_{C^0}}{\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \leq \frac{\gamma}{k},$$

so that, from (5.17) and (5.18), the lemma follows. \square

Remark 5.7. We note here that, given \mathcal{T} and φ as in Proposition 5.6, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following functions and triangulations,

$$\varphi_k(x) := k^{-1}\varphi(kx) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}^k = \{T_i^k\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}},$$

where $T_i^k = k^{-1}T_i$, satisfy the same conclusions as in Proposition 5.6 with $\delta_k = \delta/k$:

$$\varphi_k(l, \bar{x}) = l \quad \forall \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (5.19)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_j} \right| \leq \theta \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j \neq 1; \quad (5.20)$$

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_1}(x) \leq \frac{1}{1-\theta} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_1}(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta_k}. \quad (5.21)$$

5.3. Proof of Proposition 5.1. In light of the Kirszbraun extension theorem, it suffices to show that, given a short map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\eta > 0$, there exists $h \in F_\varepsilon(x, y, K)$ such that $\|f - h\|_{C^0(K)} \leq \eta$.

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $x = 0$, $y = e_1$ and $K \subset B_R$ for some $R > 0$. We construct h as the result of successive approximations.

Step 1: Mollification. We consider first the map $f_1 = (1 - 2\theta)\rho_\theta * f$, where $\theta > 0$ is a real number to be fixed later. Clearly,

$$f_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{Lip}(f_1) \leq 1 - 2\theta,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_1\|_{C^0(B_{2R})} &\leq \|f - \rho_\theta * f\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 2\theta \|\rho_\theta * f\|_{C^0(B_{2R})} \\ &\leq \theta \left(1 + 2\|f\|_{C^0(B_{2R+\theta})}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Step 2: Piecewise affine approximation. Next, we approximate f_1 uniformly by a piecewise affine map f_2 . To this aim, consider the periodic triangulation \mathcal{T} given by Proposition 5.6. Note that, by periodicity, there exist $\sigma, r > 0$ such that, for every $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$B_r(\bar{x}_i) \subset T_i \subset B_{\sigma r}(\bar{x}_i), \quad \text{with } \bar{x}_i \text{ barycenter of } T_i.$$

Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{4r\sigma^2 \|\nabla^2 f_1\|_{C^0(B_{2R})}}{k} < \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4r\sigma}{k} \leq \theta, \quad (5.23)$$

and consider f_2 the piecewise affine approximation of f_1 subordinated to the rescaled triangulation \mathcal{T}^k in Remark 5.7. From Lemma 5.4, it follows that $f_2|_{T_i^k}$ is short for every $T_i^k \subset B_{2R}$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}(f_2|_{T_i^k}) &\leq \text{Lip}(f_1) + \text{Lip}((f_2 - f_1)|_{T_i^k}) \leq 1 - 2\theta + \frac{4r\sigma^2 \|\nabla^2 f_1\|_{C^0(B_{2R})}}{k} \\ &\stackrel{(5.23)}{\leq} 1 - \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, always for $T_i^k \subset B_{2R}$,

$$\|f_2 - f_1\|_{C^0(T_i^k)} \leq (\text{Lip}(f_2) + \text{Lip}(f_1)) \text{diam}(T_i^k) \leq \frac{4r\sigma}{k} \stackrel{(5.23)}{\leq} \theta. \quad (5.24)$$

Step 3: Laminations. Finally, in every $T_i^k \subset B_{2R}$ we replace f_2 by the single lamination construction in Proposition 5.2. Since the boundary data for each simplex is the same of f_2 , gluing all the constructions together, we obtain a short map f_3 defined on the union of the $T_i^k \subset B_{2R}$. Moreover, we take θ small enough in order to assure that the boundary of the rescaled simplices $T_{i\theta}^k$ by a factor $(1 - \theta)$ and with the same barycenter of T_i^k belongs to the δ_k -neighborhood of the faces of \mathcal{T}^k , i.e. (notation as in Remark 5.7)

$$\partial T_{i\theta}^k \subset \mathcal{F}_{\delta_k}.$$

With this assumption, by Proposition 5.2, the function f_3 satisfies:

$$\|f_3 - f_2\|_{C^0(B_R)} \leq \theta, \quad \text{Lip}(f_3) \leq 1 - \frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \theta) \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_1(t)| dt \leq \ell(f_3 \circ \gamma), \quad (5.25)$$

for every rectifiable $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\delta_k}$.

We set $h := f_3|_K$. Clearly, from (5.22), (5.24) and (5.25), it follows that

$$\|\bar{h} - \bar{f}\|_{C^0(K)} \leq \theta(3 + 2\|\bar{f}\|_{C^0(B_{2R+\theta})}). \quad (5.26)$$

So, up to choosing θ suitably small, we need only to show that $h \in F_\varepsilon(x, y, K)$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_K(x, y)$. We start noticing that there exist finitely many pairwise disjoint open intervals $I_l, J_m \subset [0, 1]$ such that

$$\gamma(I_l) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\delta_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(J_m) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\delta_k},$$

and

$$\sum_l \ell(\gamma|_{I_l}) + \sum_m \ell(\gamma|_{J_m}) \geq \ell(\gamma) - \theta. \quad (5.27)$$

Therefore, we can estimate the length of $h \circ \gamma$ as follows: letting φ_k be the function in Remark 5.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(h \circ \gamma) &\geq \sum_l \ell(h \circ \gamma|_{I_l}) \stackrel{(5.25)}{\geq} (1 - \theta) \sum_l \int_{I_l} |\gamma'_1| \stackrel{(5.21)}{\geq} (1 - \theta)^2 \sum_l \int_{I_l} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_1}(\gamma) \gamma'_1 \right| \\ &\stackrel{(5.21)}{=} (1 - \theta)^2 \sum_l \int_{I_l} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_1}(\gamma) \gamma'_1 \right| + (1 - \theta)^2 \sum_m \int_{J_m} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_1}(\gamma) \gamma'_1 \right| \\ &\stackrel{(5.21)+(5.27)}{\geq} (1 - \theta)^2 \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_1}(\gamma) \gamma'_1 \right| - \theta(1 - \theta) \\ &\geq (1 - \theta)^2 \int_0^1 \left[|(\varphi_k \circ \gamma)'| - \sum_{j=2}^n \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_j}(\gamma) \gamma'_j \right| \right] - \theta(1 - \theta) \\ &\stackrel{(5.20)}{\geq} (1 - \theta)^2 (\varphi_k(\gamma(1)) - \varphi_k(\gamma(0))) - (n - 1) \ell(\gamma) \theta(1 - \theta)^2 - \theta(1 - \theta) \\ &= (1 - \theta)^2 - \theta(1 - \theta) [(n - 1) \ell(\gamma) (1 - \theta) + 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

Therefore, from (5.28) we deduce that there exists $\theta = \theta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $\ell(h \circ \gamma) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)$ if $\ell(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$. Since the condition defining $F_\varepsilon(x, y, K)$ is always satisfied if $\ell(\gamma) > \varepsilon^{-1}$, this implies that $h \in F_\varepsilon(x, y, K)$ and finishes the proof.

6. Typical extensions

In this section we prove Theorem 2.2 which we restate for convenience.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a short map, with $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact. Set*

$$X_f := \{F \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) : F|_{C(f, K)} = \bar{f}\},$$

where \bar{f} denotes the unique short extension of f to $C(f, K)$. Then

$$X_f \cap \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C(f, K)) \text{ is residual in } X_f.$$

Proof. Let $\{B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable family of closed balls $B_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus C(f, K)$ whose interiors cover $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C(f, K)$. By Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.5, we have that

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C(f, K)) \cap X_f \supset \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{x, y \in B_i \cap \mathbb{Q}^n} F_{1/k}(x, y, B_i) \cap X_f.$$

Therefore, in view of Lemma 3.4, it is enough to prove that $X_f \cap F_{1/k}(x, y, B_i)$ is dense in X_f . For simplicity of notation we drop the subscript i , $B_i = B$ and show that, for every $F \in X_f$, $\eta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a map $G \in X_f \cap F_\varepsilon(x, y, B)$ such that

$$\|F - G\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \eta. \quad (6.1)$$

We divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1: local strictly short approximation. By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.4 we can fix a locally strictly short extension $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of \bar{f} . Let $R > 0$ be such that $C(f, K) \cup B \subset B_R$ and $\eta_1 > 0$ to be fixed later.

If $F|_{B_{2R}} \equiv 0$, set $F_1 := F$. Otherwise, assuming that $F|_{B_{2R}} \not\equiv 0$, fix $t > 0$ arbitrary such that

$$t < \frac{\eta_1}{\|h\|_{C^0(B_{2R})} + \|F\|_{C^0(B_{2R})}},$$

and define the function $F_1 : B_{2R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $F_1 = (1 - t)F + th$: clearly in either case

$$F_1|_{C(f, K)} = \bar{f}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\|F - F_1\|_{C^0(B_{2R})} \leq \eta_1, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\text{Lip}(F_1|_B) \leq (1 - t) \text{Lip}(F) + t \text{Lip}(h|_B) \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad (6.4)$$

for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, because h is strictly short in B .

Step 2: global extension. Next we extend F_1 to the entire \mathbb{R}^n keeping close to F . To this aim, consider the function $F' : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$F'(x) := F \left(x \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{1 + |x|} \right) \right),$$

for some $\tau > 0$ to be fixed momentarily. It is simple to verify that

$$\|F - F'\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R})} \leq \tau. \quad (6.5)$$

Moreover, F' is locally strictly short: indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |F'(x) - F'(y)| &\leq \left| x \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{1 + |x|} \right) - y \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{1 + |y|} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| (x - y) \left(1 - \frac{\tau(1 + |x|)}{(1 + |x|)(1 + |y|)} \right) + \frac{\tau x(|x| - |y|)}{(1 + |x|)(1 + |y|)} \right| \\ &\leq |x - y| \left(1 - \frac{\tau(1 + |x|)}{(1 + |x|)(1 + |y|)} \right) + |x - y| \frac{\tau|x|}{(1 + |x|)(1 + |y|)} \\ &= |x - y| \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{(1 + |x|)(1 + |y|)} \right) < |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the map given by

$$F'' := \begin{cases} F_1 & \text{in } B_{2R - \frac{2\tau R}{1+2R}}, \\ F' & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R}. \end{cases}$$

We claim that F'' is locally strictly short outside $C(f, K)$. Since F_1 and F' are locally strictly short, it is enough to consider $z \in \partial B_{2R - \frac{2\tau R}{1+2R}}$ and $w \in \partial B_{2R}$ and estimate $|F''(z) - F''(w)|$. To this aim, we set $\tilde{w} := \frac{w}{|w|}(2R - \frac{2\tau R}{1+2R})$ and note that there exists $\beta(\tau, R) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|z - \tilde{w}|}{|z - w|} \leq 1 - \beta \quad \forall z \in \partial B_{2R - \frac{2\tau R}{1+2R}}, \quad \forall w \in \partial B_{2R}. \quad (6.6)$$

Indeed, for every fixed $w \in \partial B_{2R}$, one can consider the function $\Phi(z) := \frac{|z - \tilde{w}|}{|z - w|}$ and notice that Φ is continuous on $\partial B_{2R - \frac{2\tau R}{1+2R}}$ and $\Phi(z) < 1$ for every z . Therefore, by compactness of the sphere, Φ has a maximum which is strictly less than 1 and is independent of w because of rotational invariance. We can, hence, estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |F''(z) - F''(w)| &\leq |F_1(z) - F_1(\tilde{w})| + |F_1(\tilde{w}) - F'(w)| \\ &= |F_1(z) - F_1(\tilde{w})| + |F_1(\tilde{w}) - F(\tilde{w})| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(6.3)}{\leq} |z - \tilde{w}| + \eta_1 \\
& \stackrel{(6.6)}{\leq} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) |z - w|,
\end{aligned}$$

provided $\eta_1 \leq \frac{\beta \tau R}{1+2R}$. In particular, this implies that there exists $\theta > 0$ such that

$$\text{Lip} \left(F''|_{(B_{3R} \setminus B_{2R}) \cup B_{2R - \frac{2\tau R}{1+2R}}} \right) \leq 1 - \theta.$$

Using the Kirszbraun extension theorem, we can hence extend F'' to a strictly short map F''' on B_{3R} , and finally set

$$F_2 := \begin{cases} F''' & \text{in } B_{3R}, \\ F' & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{3R}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that, by construction,

$$\text{Lip} (F_2|_{B_{3R}}) \leq 1 - \theta. \quad (6.7)$$

Moreover, for every $z \in B_{2R} \setminus B_{2R - \frac{2\tau R}{1+2R}}$, setting $\tilde{z} := \frac{z}{|z|}(2R - \frac{2\tau R}{1+2R})$, we have

$$|F_2(z) - F_1(z)| \leq |F_2(z) - F_2(\tilde{z})| + |F_1(\tilde{z}) - F_1(z)| \leq 2|z - \tilde{z}| \leq \frac{4\tau R}{1+2R} < 2\tau.$$

It follows, then, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_2 - F\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \max \{2\tau + \|F_1 - F\|_{C^0(B_{2R})}, \|F' - F\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R})}\} \\
&\leq 2\tau + \eta_1.
\end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

6.1. Step 3: almost isometric approximation. Using Proposition 5.1, we find $F^{iv} \in \text{Lip}_1(B_{2R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap F_\varepsilon(x, y, B)$ such that

$$\|F^{iv} - F_2\|_{C^0(B_{2R})} \leq \theta \eta_2, \quad (6.9)$$

for some $\eta_2 > 0$ to be fixed soon. For now we merely assume that η_2 satisfies the following: setting $B = B_r(x)$, we require $B' = B_{r+\eta_2}(x) \subset B_{2R} \setminus C(f, K)$.

Next, we verify that the map

$$F^v := \begin{cases} F^{iv} & \text{in } B, \\ F_2 & \text{in } B_{2R} \setminus B', \end{cases}$$

is Lipschitz continuous with $\text{Lip}(F^v) \leq 1$. Indeed, arguing as before, it is enough to consider the case of $z \in B$ and $w \in B_{2R} \setminus B'$ and estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |F^v(z) - F^v(w)| &\leq |F_2(z) - F_2(w)| + |F_2(z) - F^{iv}(z)| \\ &\stackrel{(6.7)+(6.9)}{\leq} (1 - \theta) |w - z| + \theta \eta_2 \\ &\leq (1 - \theta) |w - z| + \theta |w - z| \leq |w - z|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Kirszbraun's Theorem, we extend F^v to a short map F_3 on the whole \mathbb{R}^n . As before, for every $z \in B' \setminus B$, taking $w \in \partial B'$ with $|w - z| \leq \eta_2$, we get

$$|F_3(z) - F_2(z)| \leq |F_3(z) - F_3(w)| + |F_2(w) - F_2(z)| \leq 2 |w - z| \leq 2 \eta_2.$$

It follows, then, from (6.9) that

$$\|F_2 - F_3\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \max \{ \|F^{iv} - F_2\|_{C^0(B)}, 2 \eta_2 \} \leq 2 \eta_2. \quad (6.10)$$

We can now conclude that the function $G := F_3$ is an approximation for our initial function F . Indeed, $G \in X_f$ since by (6.2) $G|_{C(f,K)} = F_1|_{C(f,K)} = \bar{f}$ and $\text{Lip}(G) \leq 1$. Moreover, $G \in F_\varepsilon(x, y, B)$ because $G|_B = F_3|_B$ and $F_3 \in \text{Lip}(B_{2R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap F_\varepsilon(x, y, B)$. Finally, putting together (6.8) and (6.10), we conclude (6.1) by choosing suitably τ , η_1 and η_2 in this order. \square

For later use we state the following immediate corollary of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open and bounded set, and let $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a given Lipschitz map with $\text{Lip}(h) \leq L$ for some $L > 0$. Then, for every $\eta > 0$ and $M > L$, there exists a map $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $g|_{\partial\Omega} = h$, $\|g - h\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \leq \eta$ and every rectifiable curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfies $\ell(g \circ \gamma) = M \ell(\gamma)$.*

Proof. The proof follows easily applying Theorem 2.2 to $K := \partial\Omega$ and $f = g/M$ (note that from the condition $\text{Lip}(h) \leq L < M$ it follows that $C(f, K) = K$). \square

7. Generic restrictions

In this section we prove Theorem 2.3. We start with the following proposition on the genericity of LSSE maps.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set. Then, the typical short map in $\text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$ admits an extension to the whole \mathbb{R}^n , which is locally strictly short on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$.*

Proof. We construct a residual set of LSSE maps in $\text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, let K_ε denote the open ε -neighborhood of K . Let moreover $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon \subset \text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be

the set of short maps $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with this property: there exists $L < 1$ and there exists $h : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{Lip}(h) \leq L$ and

$$|h(z) - f(y)| \leq L |z - y| \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K_\varepsilon, \forall y \in K. \quad (7.1)$$

Note that \mathcal{G}_ε is open in $\text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$: indeed, if $\|f' - f\|_{C^0(K)} \leq \frac{(1-L)\varepsilon}{2}$, then, for $z \notin K_\varepsilon$ and $y \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(z) - f'(y)| &\leq |h(z) - f(y)| + |f(y) - f'(y)| \\ &\leq L |z - y| + \frac{1-L}{2} \varepsilon \leq \frac{1+L}{2} |z - y|, \end{aligned}$$

thus implying that $f' \in \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$ because $\frac{1+L}{2} < 1$. On the other hand, \mathcal{G}_ε is also dense. Indeed, as a consequence of Kirszbraun's theorem all strictly short maps from K to \mathbb{R}^n belong to \mathcal{G}_ε , and the set of strictly short maps on a compact set is dense in the set of short maps (indeed, given $f \in \text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$, λf with $\lambda < 1$ is strictly short and converges uniformly to f as λ tends to 1).

We show that the residual set

$$\mathcal{G} := \bigcap_{\mathbb{Q} \ni \varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$$

is made of LSSE maps, thus proving the proposition. Indeed, let $g \in \mathcal{G}$. By definition, for every $\varepsilon_k = 2^{-k}$ there exists a function $h_k : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K_{\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying (7.1). Let H_k be the Kirszbraun extension (i.e. with optimal Lipschitz constant) of the map

$$K \cup (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K_{\varepsilon_k}) \ni x \mapsto \begin{cases} h_k(x) & \text{if } x \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K_{\varepsilon_k}), \\ g(x) & \text{if } x \in K. \end{cases}$$

Note that by (7.1) the maps H_k are short. Set

$$f := \sum_k 2^{-k} H_k.$$

The function f is a locally strictly short extension of g . Indeed, by construction $\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$ and $f|_K = g$. Moreover, for every open set B with $B \cap K = \emptyset$, $\text{Lip}(f|_B) < 1$ because $\text{Lip}(h_k) < 1$ for every k such that $B \subset (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K_{\varepsilon_k})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Recall from Section 5 that for every $x_i \neq x_j \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ and $\varepsilon, R > 0$ the set $E_\varepsilon(x_i, x_j, \bar{B}_R)$ is defined as

$$E_\varepsilon(x_i, x_j, \bar{B}_R) := \{h \in \text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n) : \exists f \in F_\varepsilon(x_i, x_j, \bar{B}_R) \text{ s.t. } f|_K = h\}.$$

By Lemma 3.4 and the openness of the restriction map (see [12, Theorem 2.2] or [11]), $E_\varepsilon(x_i, x_j, \bar{B}_R)$ are open subsets of $\text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, by Proposition 5.1, these sets are also dense.

Let \mathcal{L} be the set of LSSE maps $g : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and recall that \mathcal{L} is residual in $\text{Lip}_1(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by Proposition 7.1. We claim that every map in the residual set

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{L} \cap \bigcap_{x_i \neq x_j \in \mathbb{Q}^n} \bigcap_{\mathbb{Q} \ni \varepsilon > 0} \bigcap_{R \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}} E_\varepsilon(x_i, x_j, \bar{B}_R)$$

satisfies the conclusion of the theorem, i.e. is the restriction of an isometric map of the entire space.

To show this, let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. In view of Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 4.4, there exists an extension $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of f such that $F|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K} \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)$. We want to prove that actually $F \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Fix any curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. We can assume without loss of generality that γ is parametrized by arc-length. Set

$$U := \gamma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K) \text{ and } V := \gamma^{-1}(K).$$

Since $F|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K} \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)$, it follows that $|(F \circ \gamma)'| = 1$ for a.e. $t \in U$. We need only to show that $|(F \circ \gamma)'| = 1$ for a.e. $t \in V$.

We argue by contradiction. Assuming the above claim is false: there exists a compact set $W \subset V$ and $0 < \eta < 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^1(W) > 2\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |(F \circ \gamma)'| = |(f \circ \gamma)'| < 1 - 2\eta \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in W.$$

It then follows that

$$\int_0^1 |(F \circ \gamma)'(t)| dt \leq 1 - 2\eta + (1 - 2\eta) 2\eta = 1 - 4\eta^2. \quad (7.2)$$

Consider next a partition $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = 1$ such that

$$\gamma(t_i) \neq \gamma(t_{i-1}) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (7.3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})| > 1 - \eta^2. \quad (7.4)$$

Then, by elementary algebra, from (7.2), (7.3) and (7.4) it follows that

$$\min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \frac{\ell(F \circ \gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]})}{|\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})|} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \ell(F \circ \gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]})}{\sum_{i=1}^m |\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})|} \leq \frac{1 - 4\eta^2}{1 - \eta^2} < 1 - 3\eta^2.$$

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be such that

$$\frac{\ell(F \circ \gamma|_{[t_j, t_{j-1}]})}{|\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})|} < 1 - 3\eta^2. \quad (7.5)$$

Fix next $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\varepsilon \leq \eta^2 |\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})| \quad (7.6)$$

$$\varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{\ell(\gamma) + \varepsilon}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} \right) \leq \eta^2. \quad (7.7)$$

Consider two points x_j and $x_{j-1} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ such that

$$|\gamma(t_j) - x_j| + |\gamma(t_{j-1}) - x_{j-1}| \leq \varepsilon \quad (7.8)$$

and, since $f \in \mathcal{F}$, a function $\bar{F} \in F_\varepsilon(x_j, x_{j-1}, \bar{B}_R)$ such that $\bar{F}|_K = f$. Then, since $\bar{F}|_K = F|_K$ and $|(\bar{F} \circ \gamma)'|_U| \leq 1 = |(F \circ \gamma)'|_U|$, we deduce from (7.5) that

$$\frac{\ell(\bar{F} \circ \gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]})}{|\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})|} < 1 - 3\eta^2 \quad (7.9)$$

Let $\bar{\gamma}$ be the curve obtained concatenating the straight segment from x_{j-1} to $\gamma(t_{j-1})$, $\gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ and the straight segment from $\gamma(t_j)$ to x_j , i.e.

$$\bar{\gamma} := [\gamma(t_{j-1}), x_{j-1}] \cdot \gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]} \cdot [x_j, \gamma(t_j)].$$

Then we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ell(\bar{F} \circ \bar{\gamma})}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} &\stackrel{(7.8)}{\leq} \frac{\ell(\bar{F} \circ \gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]} + \varepsilon)}{|\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})| - \varepsilon} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell(\bar{F} \circ \gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]})}{|\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})|} + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})|} \right) \left(\frac{|\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})|}{|\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})| - \varepsilon} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(7.5)+(7.6)}{\leq} (1 - 3\eta^2 + \eta^2) \frac{1}{1 - \eta^2} < 1 - \eta^2 \\ &\stackrel{(7.7)}{\leq} 1 - \varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{\ell(\gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}) + \varepsilon}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(7.8)}{\leq} 1 - \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon \ell(\bar{\gamma})}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

On the other hand (7.10) implies that $\bar{F} \notin F_\varepsilon(x_j, x_{j-1}, \bar{B}_R)$, which is the desired contradiction. \square

8. Isometric embedding of Riemannian manifolds

Now we proceed with the proof of Theorem 2.4. In this section M is a smooth manifold of dimension n (with or without boundary) and $g \in \mathcal{T}^2(M)$ is a continuous Riemannian metric (i.e. a symmetric and positive definite 2-tensor field).

8.1. Locally strictly short maps. The following general density result is used in the proof of Theorem 2.4. Denote by $\text{Lip}_{<1,\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of *locally strictly short maps*:

$$\text{Lip}_{<1,\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) : \text{Lip}(f|_A) < 1 \quad \forall A \subset\subset M\}.$$

Lemma 8.1. *The set of locally strictly short maps $\text{Lip}_{<1,\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. For every short map $f \in \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, we show that there exists $h \in \text{Lip}_{<1,\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $D(f, h) \leq \varepsilon$. Fix a point $p_0 \in M$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(p_0) = 0$. We claim that the map

$$h(p) = f(p) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + d_M(p, p_0)}\right)$$

fulfills the requirements. Observe first that

$$D(h, f) = \sup_{p \in M} \frac{\varepsilon |f(p)|}{1 + d_M(p, p_0)} = \sup_{p \in M^n} \frac{\varepsilon |f(p) - f(p_0)|}{1 + d_M(p, p_0)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon d_M(p, p_0)}{1 + d_M(p, p_0)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we need only to show that $h \in \text{Lip}_{<1,\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$. To this end, setting for brevity of notation $d(p) = d_M(p, p_0)$, we notice that for any $p, q \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(p) - h(q) &= (f(p) - f(q)) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + d(p)}\right) - f(q) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 + d(p)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + d(q)}\right) \\ &= (f(p) - f(q)) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + d(p)}\right) - f(q) \frac{\varepsilon (d(q) - d(p))}{(1 + d(p))(1 + d(q))}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |h(p) - h(q)| &\leq d_M(p, q) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + d(p)} + \frac{\varepsilon d(q)}{(1 + d(p))(1 + d(q))}\right) \\ &= d_M(p, q) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 + d(p))(1 + d(q))}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

Given any compact set $A \subset\subset M$, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\sup_{p \in A} d_M(p, p_0) \leq C$. It follows from (8.1) applied to $p, q \in A$ that

$$\text{Lip}(h|_A) \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 + C)^2} < 1$$

thus implying $h \in \text{Lip}_{<1,\text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$. □

8.2. Local bi-Lipschitz approximations. For the proof of Theorem 2.4 we need also the following simple technical lemma.

Lemma 8.2. *Let (B, h) be a Riemannian manifold with continuous metric tensor h , where $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes either the ball B_2 centered at the origin or the half ball $B_2 \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}$. For every $\beta > 0$ there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ with this property: for every $p \in \bar{B}_1 \cap B$ there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi : B_r(p) \rightarrow U$ for some convex open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Phi^* g_0 = h(p)$ with g_0 the standard flat Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^n and Φ is bi-Lipschitz with*

$$\text{Lip}(\Phi) \leq 1 + \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lip}(\Phi^{-1}) \leq 1 + \beta. \quad (8.2)$$

Proof. Let $G : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym},+}^{n \times n}$ be the matrix-field corresponding to the metric tensor h , where $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym},+}^{n \times n}$ denotes the set of positive definite symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. Namely, G is such that

$$h(v, w) = (G v) \cdot w$$

where we recall \cdot is the standard scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n . By the continuity of G and the compactness of $\bar{B}_1 \cap B$, there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{G(y)}{(1 + \beta)^2} \leq G(x) \leq (1 + \beta)^2 G(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \bar{B}_1 \cap B, \quad d_h(x, y) \leq 4r \quad (8.3)$$

where the above inequalities are meant in the sense of quadratic forms.

Fix now any $p \in \bar{B}_1 \cap B$. By the spectral theorem we can find $R \in O(n)$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ a positive definite diagonal matrix such that $G(p) = R^T D^2 R$. We can then define Φ to be the linear map $\Phi(x) := L(x - p)$ where $L = R^T D^{-1}$. Clearly $U := \Phi(B_r(p))$ is convex and it is very simple to verify that $\Phi^* g_0 = h(p)$: indeed for every $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$h(p)(D\Phi(p)v, D\Phi(p)w) = G(p)R^T D^{-1}v \cdot R^T D^{-1}w = v \cdot w.$$

In order to estimate the Lipschitz constant of Φ , consider two points $x, y \in B_r(p)$, $0 < \eta < r$ arbitrary and $\gamma \in \Gamma(x, y, B)$ such that $\ell_h(\gamma) \leq d_h(x, y) + \eta$. Then for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_h(p, \gamma(t)) &\leq d_h(p, \gamma(0)) + d_h(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) \leq d_h(p, x) + \ell_h(\gamma) \\ &\leq d_h(p, x) + d_h(x, y) + \eta \leq 4r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (8.3) is applicable and implies that $h(p) \leq (1 + \beta)^2 h(\gamma(t))$ as quadratic forms, or equivalently $g_0 \leq (1 + \beta)^2 (\Phi^{-1})^* h(\gamma(t))$. One can therefore estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| &\leq \ell_{g_0}(\Phi \circ \gamma) = \int_0^1 |(\Phi \circ \gamma)'(t)| dt \\ &\leq (1 + \beta) \int_0^1 |\gamma'(t)|_{h(\gamma(t))} dt = (1 + \beta) \ell_h(\gamma) \\ &\leq (1 + \beta)(d_h(x, y) + \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

Since $\eta > 0$ arbitrary, we conclude that $\text{Lip}(\Phi) \leq 1 + \beta$. Vice versa we can consider two points $z, w \in U$ and the straight line $\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ connecting z to w (note $\sigma([0, 1]) \subset U$). Arguing as before, from (8.3) we have that $h(\gamma(t)) \leq (1 + \beta)(\Phi)^* g_0$ from which

$$\begin{aligned} d_h(z, w) &\leq \ell_h(\Phi^{-1} \circ \sigma) = \int_0^1 |(\Phi^{-1} \circ \sigma)'(t)|_{h(\Phi^{-1} \circ \sigma(t))} dt \\ &\leq (1 + \beta) \int_0^1 |\sigma'(t)| dt = (1 + \beta)|z - w| \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

i.e. $\text{Lip}(\Phi^{-1}) \leq 1 + \beta$. □

8.3. Proof of Theorem 2.4. We fix a smooth atlas $\{(A_i, \varphi_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of M with the following properties:

- (a) $A_i \subset\subset M$;
- (b₁) $\varphi_i(A_i) = B_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if $A_i \cap \partial M = \emptyset$;
- (b₂) $\varphi_i(A_i) = B_2 \cap \{x_n \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if $A_i \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$;
- (c) $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_i^{-1}(B_1) = M$.

Set $C_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(\bar{B}_1)$ and note that C_i is compact in M . By Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 we have that

$$\mathcal{I}_M = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{x \neq y \in D_i} F_{\frac{1}{k}}(x, y, C_i)$$

where $D_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}^n \cap \bar{B}_1)$. It is then enough to show that $F_\varepsilon(x, y, C_i)$ is dense in $\text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $x, y \in C_i$. To simplify the notation, since from now on the subindex i is fixed, we drop it and, moreover, we write B for either B_2 or $B_2 \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}$, according to the case occurring in (b₁) or (b₂).

We have then fixed the following notation:

$$A \subset M, \quad \varphi : A \rightarrow B \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^{-1}(\bar{B}_1 \cap B) = C.$$

We have to show that, given $f \in \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\eta > 0$, there exists $F \in F_\varepsilon(x, y, C)$ such that $D(F, f) \leq \eta$. We divide the proof in different steps.

Step 1: locally strictly short approximation. Recalling that by Lemma 8.1 the inclusion

$$\text{Lip}_{<1, \text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{Lip}_1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is dense, we then find $f_0 \in \text{Lip}_{<1, \text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $D(f_0, f) \leq \frac{\eta}{2}$. By the definition of $\text{Lip}_{<1, \text{loc}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\text{Lip}(f_0|_C) \leq 1 - \alpha$. Clearly, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\alpha < \varepsilon$.

Step 2: local bi-Lipschitz approximations. Let $\beta > 0$ be a parameter to be fixed later and $h := (\varphi^{-1})^*g$ the pull-back metric. One can then apply Lemma 8.2 to (B, h) and find $r > 0$ which satisfies the conclusion therein. By a simple volume argument (recall that B is either B_2 or the half ball $B_2 \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}$) there exists a constant $N = N(n)$ depending only on the dimension n , in particular not on r , such that we can cover B by N families of pairwise disjoint open balls of radius r . More precisely, for $l = 1, \dots, N$ there exists $\mathcal{F}_l = \{B_r(p_{l,i})\}_{i=1}^{m(l)}$ for some $m(l) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_{l,i} \in \bar{B}_1 \cap B$, such that

$$B_r(p_{l,i}) \cap B_r(p_{l,j}) = \emptyset \quad \forall i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad B \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^N \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(l)} B_{l,i}.$$

For every pair (l, i) above we let $\Phi_{l,i} : B_r(p_{l,i}) \rightarrow U_{l,i} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism given in Lemma 8.2, and we set $A_{l,i} := \varphi^{-1}(B_r(p_{l,i}))$.

Step 3: iterative procedure. We construct the map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as the result of an iterative procedure which leads to a sequence of maps $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_N : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (where N is the number of the families of the covering in the previous step) such that $F = f_N \in F_\varepsilon(x, y, C)$.

We set $\theta = \frac{\eta}{2N}$ and f_0 given in Step 1, and construct the functions f_1, \dots, f_N recursively satisfying the following:

$$\text{Lip}_g(f_k) \leq (1 + \beta)^{3k} (1 - \alpha) \quad (8.6)$$

$$D(f_k, f_0) \leq k \theta \quad (8.7)$$

$$\ell(f_k \circ \gamma) \geq (1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha) \ell_g(\gamma) \quad (8.8)$$

for every $k \geq 1$ and every rectifiable curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \bigcup_{l \leq k} \bigcup_i A_{l,i} \subset C$.

Note that (8.6) and (8.7) are clearly satisfied by f_0 . Given f_{k-1} satisfying (8.6), (8.7) and (8.8) (only if $k \geq 1$), we construct f_k in the following way. We consider the balls $B_r(p_{k,i})$ of Step 2 and set $\psi_{k,i} : U_{k,i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\psi_{k,i} = f_{k-1} \circ \Phi_{k,i}^{-1}.$$

Using the bound on the Lipschitz constant of $\Phi_{k,i}^{-1}$ in (8.2) and (8.6), one can verify that

$$\text{Lip}(\psi_{k,i}) \leq (1 + \beta)^{3k-2} (1 - \alpha).$$

Hence we can use Corollary 6.2 and construct a map $\chi_{k,i} : U_{k,i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\text{Lip}(\chi_{k,i}) \leq (1 + \beta) \text{Lip}(\psi_{k,i}) = (1 + \beta)^{3k-1} (1 - \alpha), \quad (8.9)$$

$$\chi_{k,i}|_{\partial U_{k,i}} = \psi_{k,i}|_{\partial U_{k,i}}, \quad \|\chi_{k,i} - \psi_{k,i}\|_{C^0(U_{k,i})} \leq \theta, \quad (8.10)$$

and for every rectifiable curve $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{k,i}$

$$\ell(\chi_{k,i} \circ \tilde{\gamma}) = (1 + \beta)^{3k-1} (1 - \alpha) \ell(\tilde{\gamma}). \quad (8.11)$$

Then, we set $f_k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} f_{k-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(k)} A_{k,i}, \\ \chi_{k,i} \circ \Phi_{l,i}(x) & \text{if } x \in A_{k,i} \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, m(k). \end{cases}$$

By (8.10) and the fact that the $\{A_{k,i}\}_i$ are disjoint open sets, f_k is well-defined and satisfies (8.7) by triangular inequality. Moreover (8.6) follows from (8.2) and (8.9) straightforwardly. For what concerns (8.8) we argue as follows. Consider $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \bigcup_{l \leq k} \bigcup_i A_{l,i}$ rectifiable. Set $I = \gamma^{-1}(\bigcup_i A_{k,i})$. Since the sets $A_{l,i}$ are open and disjoint, I is relatively open in $[0, 1]$ and we can write $I = \bigcup_i J_i$ with J_i disjoint relatively open sets such that $\gamma(J_i) \subset A_{k,i}$ for every i . Setting $\tilde{\gamma}_i = \Phi_{k,i} \circ \gamma|_{J_i}$, it follows from the definition of f_k that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(f_k \circ \gamma|_{J_i}) &= \ell(\chi_i \circ \tilde{\gamma}_i) \stackrel{(8.11)}{=} (1 + \beta)^{3k-1} (1 - \alpha) \ell(\tilde{\gamma}_i) \\ &\stackrel{(8.2)}{\geq} (1 + \beta)^{3k-2} (1 - \alpha) \ell_g(\gamma_i). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $H \subset [0, 1] \setminus I$ denote the set of points t such that I has Lebesgue density 0 at t and there exist $(f_k \circ \gamma)'(t)$, $(f_{k-1} \circ \gamma)'(t)$ with

$$|(f_{k-1} \circ \gamma)'(t)| \geq (1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha) |\gamma'(t)|_g.$$

Note that H has full measure in $[0, 1] \setminus I$ thanks to the assumption of (8.8) for f_{k-1} . Since $f_k \circ \gamma|_H = f_{k-1} \circ \gamma|_H$, it follows easily that, for every $t \in H$,

$$|(f_k \circ \gamma)'(t)| = |(f_{k-1} \circ \gamma)'(t)| \geq (1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha) |\gamma'(t)|_g.$$

Therefore, (8.8) for f_k follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(f_k \circ \gamma) &= \sum_i \ell(f_k \circ \gamma|_{J_i}) + \int_{[0,1] \setminus I} |(f_k \circ \gamma)'(t)| dt \\ &\geq (1 + \beta)^{3k-2} (1 - \alpha) \sum_i \ell_g(\gamma_i) + (1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha) \int_H |\gamma'(t)|_g dt \\ &\geq (1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha) \left(\sum_i \ell_g(\gamma_i) + \int_H |\gamma'(t)|_g dt \right) = (1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha) \ell_g(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $F = f_N$ concludes the proof for

$$0 < \beta < \sqrt[3N]{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}} - 1.$$

Indeed, Step 1, (8.7) and (8.6) imply $D(F, f) \leq \eta$ and $\text{Lip}(F) \leq 1$. Moreover Step 2, $\alpha < \varepsilon$ and (8.8) lead easily to $F \in F_\varepsilon(x, y, C)$. \square

References

- [1] Richárd Balka and Viktor Harangi, Intersection of continua and rectifiable curves. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (Series 2)*, **57** (2014), no. 6, 339–345. Zbl 1295.28006 MR 3200312
- [2] Ulrich Brehm, Extensions of distance reducing mappings to piecewise congruent mappings on \mathbb{R}^m . *J. Geom.*, **16** (1981), no. 2, 187–193. Zbl 0467.51020 MR 642266
- [3] Alberto Bressan and Fabián Flores, On total differential inclusions. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **92** (1994), 9–16. Zbl 0821.35158 MR 1320474
- [4] Arrigo Cellina, A view on differential inclusions. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*, **63** (2005), no. 3, 197–209. Zbl 1182.34013 MR 2201565
- [5] Arrigo Cellina and Stefania Perrotta, On a problem of potential wells. *J. Convex Analysis* (2), (1995), no. 1–2, 103–115. Zbl 0880.49005 MR 1363363
- [6] Bernard Dacorogna and Paolo Marcellini, General existence theorems for Hamilton-Jacobi equations in the scalar and vectorial cases. *Acta Math.*, **178** (1997), no. 1, 1–37. Zbl 0901.49027 MR 1448710
- [7] Francesco Saverio De Blasi and Giulio Pianigiani, A Baire category approach to the existence of solutions of multivalued differential equations in Banach spaces. *Funkcial Ekvac*, **25** (1982), 153–162. Zbl 0535.34009 MR 694909
- [8] Herbert Federer, *Geometric measure theory*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 153, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969. Zbl 0176.00801 MR 257325
- [9] Mikhael Gromov, *Partial differential relations*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. Zbl 0651.53001 MR 864505
- [10] Bernd Kirchheim, *Rigidity and Geometry of Microstructures*. MPI Lecture Note 16, 2003.
- [11] Eva Kopecká, Bootstrapping Kirszbraun’s extension theorem. *Fund. Math.*, **217** (2012), no. 1, 13–19. Zbl 1244.54040 MR 2914919
- [12] Eva Kopecká, Extending Lipschitz mappings continuously. *J. Appl. Anal.*, **18** (2012), no. 2, 167–177. Zbl 1276.54011 MR 2999375
- [13] Nicolaas H. Kuiper, On C^1 -isometric imbeddings. I, II. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A*. **58** = *Indag. Math.*, **17** (1955), 545–556, 683–689. Zbl 0067.39601 MR 75640
- [14] Stefan Müller and Vladimír Šverák, Attainment results for the two-well problem by convex integration in *Geometric analysis and the calculus of variations*, 239–251. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996. Zbl 0930.35038 MR 1449410

- [15] Stefan Müller and Vladimir Šverák, Convex integration with constraints and applications to phase transitions and partial differential equations. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, **1** (1999), no. 4, 393–422. Zbl 0953.35042 MR 1728376
- [16] John Nash, C^1 –isometric imbeddings. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **60** (1954), 383–396. Zbl 0058.37703 MR 65993
- [17] Anton Petrunin, Intrinsic isometries in Euclidean space. *Algebra i Analiz*, **22** (2010), no. 5, 140–153. Zbl 1225.53041 MR 2828830

Received October 21, 2014

B. Kirchheim, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10,
04109 Leipzig, Germany

E-mail: kirchheim@math.uni-leipzig.de

E. Spadaro, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften,
Inselstrasse 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

E-mail: spadaro@mis.mpg.de

L. Székelyhidi Jr., Mathematisches Institut, Universität Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10,
04109 Leipzig, Germany

E-mail: laszlo.szekelyhidi@math.uni-leipzig.de