

# Cyclic group actions on odd-dimensional spheres.

Autor(en): **Kearton, C. / Wilson, S.M.J.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **56 (1981)**

PDF erstellt am: **02.05.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43261>

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## Cyclic group actions on odd-dimensional spheres

C. KEARTON\* AND S. M. J. WILSON

*Abstract.* We show that for any simple  $(2q-1)$ -knot  $k$ ,  $q > 1$ , and any positive integer  $n$ , the knot  $\#_1^n k$  is the fixed-point set of a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -action on  $S^{2q+1}$ . Further, we show that for many values of  $n$  there are examples of  $(2q-1)$ -knots,  $q \geq 2$ , which are the fixed-point sets of inequivalent  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -actions.

### 0. Introduction

An  $n$ -knot is a locally-flat  $PL$  pair  $(S^{n+2}, S^n)$ , where  $S^n$  denotes the  $n$ -sphere. A  $(2q-1)$ -knot is *simple* if the complement of  $S^{2q-1}$  has the homotopy type of a circle up to but not including dimension  $q$ . For  $q > 1$  such knots have been classified in [L] in terms of the  $S$ -equivalence classes of their Seifert matrices, and in [K, T1, T2] in terms of their Blanchfield pairings. Using these classification results, for any simple  $(2q-1)$ -knot  $k$ , with  $q > 1$ , and for any positive integer  $n$ , we construct a simple  $(2q-1)$ -knot  $k_n$  such that the  $n$ -fold cyclic cover of  $S^{2q+1}$  branched over  $k_n$  is again  $S^{2q+1}$ , and such that  $k_n$  lifts to  $\#_1^n k$ , the sum of  $n$  copies of  $k$ . An immediate corollary is that for any such  $k$  and  $n$ , there is a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -action on  $S^{2q+1}$  with fixed point set  $\#_1^n k$ .

The construction in this paper is purely algebraic, and may be contrasted with the geometric construction in [G], where for any  $m$ -knot  $k$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) Gordon constructs an  $m$ -knot which is the fixed-point set of a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -action and whose fundamental group is isomorphic to that of  $\#_1^n k$ .

As an application of our construction we are able for many values of  $n$  to find examples of  $(2q-1)$ -knots which are the fixed-point sets of inequivalent  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -actions. The technique is to pick simple  $(2q-1)$ -knots  $k$  and  $l$  such that  $\#_1^n k = \#_1^n l$ , and such that  $k_n \neq l_n$ .

### 1. The main construction

Let  $k$  be a simple  $(2q-1)$ -knot,  $q > 1$ , and  $n > 1$  an integer. Let  $A$  be a non-singular Seifert matrix of  $k$ , and set  $\varepsilon = (-1)^q$ . Following Trotter [T1], we set  $S = (A + \varepsilon A')^{-1}$ ,  $T = -\varepsilon A' A^{-1}$ .

---

\* This paper was written whilst the first author was in receipt of a Research Grant from the Science Research Council of Great Britain.

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *The pair  $(S, T)$  has the following properties.*

- (i)  $S$  is integral, unimodular,  $\varepsilon$ -symmetric.
- (ii)  $(I - T)^{-1}$  exists and is integral.
- (iii)  $T'ST = S$ .
- (iv)  $A = (I - T)^{-1}S^{-1}$ .

Moreover, any pair of rational matrices  $(S, T)$  satisfying (i)–(iii) yields a Seifert matrix  $A$  by the formula (iv).

*Proof.* It is well known (see [L], [T1]) that  $A + \varepsilon A'$  is unimodular, and so  $S$  is integral and unimodular. Clearly  $S$  is  $\varepsilon$ -symmetric.

Now  $I - T = I + \varepsilon A' A^{-1} = (A + \varepsilon A')A^{-1} = S^{-1}A^{-1}$ , from which (ii) and (iv) follow at once. Property (iii) is easily checked.

Now suppose that we are given a pair of rational matrices  $(S, T)$  satisfying (i)–(iii); then we can define the matrix  $A = (I - T)^{-1}S^{-1}$ , which by (i) and (ii) is a non-singular matrix over the integers. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A + \varepsilon A' &= (I - T)^{-1}S^{-1} + \varepsilon(S')^{-1}(I - T')^{-1} \\ &= (I - T)^{-1}S^{-1} + S^{-1}(I - ST^{-1}S^{-1})^{-1} \quad \text{by (i), (iii)} \\ &= (I - T)^{-1}S^{-1} + S^{-1}S(I - T^{-1})^{-1}S^{-1} \\ &= [(I - T)^{-1} - (I - T)^{-1}T]S^{-1} = (I - T)^{-1}(I - T)S^{-1} = S^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

which is unimodular. It follows that  $A$  is a Seifert matrix.  $\square$

Now we define matrices  $U, V$  by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & T \\ I & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix},$$

there being  $n \times n$  blocks in each case.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *The pair  $(V, U)$  determines a simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knot  $k_n$ . The  $n$ -fold branched cyclic cover of  $k_n$  is the knot  $\#_1^n k = k + \cdots + k$  ( $n$  times).*

*Proof.* We have to check that the pair  $(V, U)$  satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) of

Proposition 1.1. Clearly  $V$  satisfies (i), and it is easy to check that

$$(I - U)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (I - T)^{-1} & T(I - T)^{-1} & \cdots & T(I - T)^{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (I - T)^{-1} & \cdots & \cdots & (I - T)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

But  $T(I - T)^{-1} = -\varepsilon A' A^{-1} (I + \varepsilon A' A^{-1})^{-1} = -\varepsilon A' (A + \varepsilon A')^{-1}$ , which is an integer matrix. Hence (ii) is satisfied. To check (iii) is a simple matrix multiplication.

Hence  $(V, U)$  determine a unique simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knot  $k_n$ . A routine computation shows that

$$U^n = \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the pair  $(V, U^n)$  satisfies (i)–(iii), and in fact represents the knot  $\#_1^n k$ .

Let  $K_n$  denote the complement of  $k_n$ , and  $\tilde{K}_n$  the infinite cyclic cover of  $K_n$ . If  $u$  is a generator of the group of covering translations, then  $K_n$  is obtained from  $\tilde{K}_n$  by quotienting out by the action of  $u$ . Similarly the  $n$ -fold cyclic cover of  $K_n$  is obtained from  $\tilde{K}_n$  by quotienting out by the action of  $u^n$ .

Algebraically this can be described as follows, using Trotter's description of  $H_q(\tilde{K}_n)$  in [T1]. Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a basis of  $\mathbf{Q}^m$  corresponding to  $(V, U)$  where  $T$  is an  $r \times r$  matrix; then  $H_q(\tilde{K}_n)$  is the  $\mathbf{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$ -module generated by  $\mathbf{B}$ , the action of  $u$  being given by  $U$ . The fact that  $(1 - u): H_q(\tilde{K}_n) \rightarrow H_q(\tilde{K}_n)$  is an isomorphism means that when we quotient out by the action of  $u$  we get a homology circle. But the form of  $U^n$  means that  $(1 - u^n): H_q(\tilde{K}_n) \rightarrow H_q(\tilde{K}_n)$  is also an isomorphism, and hence the  $n$ -fold cyclic cover of  $K_n$  is a homology circle. Therefore the  $n$ -fold branched cyclic cover of  $k_n$  is a homotopy sphere, and hence a sphere.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *If  $k$  is a simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knot,  $q > 1$ , then  $\#_1^n k$  is the fixed point set of a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -action on  $S^{2q+1}$ .*

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** *Let  $B$  be the Seifert matrix of  $k_n$  corresponding to  $(V, U)$ . Then*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} A & -\varepsilon A' & \cdots & \varepsilon A' \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A & \cdots & \cdots & A \end{pmatrix}.$$



*Proof:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 B = (I - U)^{-1} V^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} (I-T)^{-1} & T(I-T)^{-1} & \cdots & T(I-T)^{-1} \\ \vdots & & & \\ (I-T)^{-1} & \cdots & \cdots & (I-T)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S^{-1} & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} (I-T)^{-1} S^{-1} & T(I-T)^{-1} S^{-1} & \cdots & T(I-T)^{-1} S^{-1} \\ \vdots & & & \\ (I-T)^{-1} S^{-1} & \cdots & \cdots & (I-T)^{-1} S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} A & TA & \cdots & TA \\ \vdots & & & \\ A & \cdots & \cdots & A \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} A & -\varepsilon A' & \cdots & \varepsilon A' \\ \vdots & & & \\ A & \cdots & \cdots & A \end{pmatrix} \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove a result which relates an Alexander matrix of  $k$  to one for  $k_n$ . Recall that an Alexander matrix  $M(t)$  of  $k$  is a matrix over  $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  which presents  $H_q(\tilde{K})$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module; that is, there is an exact sequence of  $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -modules

$$F \xrightarrow{M(t)} G \longrightarrow H_q(\tilde{K})$$

where  $F$  and  $G$  are free  $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -modules.

**PROPOSITION 1.5.** *Let  $M(t)$  be a square Alexander matrix for the knot  $k$ ; then  $M(t^n)$  is an Alexander matrix for  $k_n$ .*

*Proof.* We can describe the  $\mathbf{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$ -module structure of  $H_q(\tilde{K}_n)$  in the following way. Let  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  be  $n$  copies of the  $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module  $H_q(\tilde{K})$ . Then for  $1 \leq i < n$ ,  $u: L_i \rightarrow L_{i+1}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -isomorphism, and  $u: L_n \rightarrow L_1$  is defined so that  $u^n: L_1 \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow L_1$  coincides with  $t: L_1 \rightarrow L_1$ . Thus a presentation matrix for  $H_q(\tilde{K}_n)$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$ -module is

$$\begin{pmatrix} M(u^n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & M(u^n) \\ uI & -I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & uI & -I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -I \\ -u^n I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & uI \end{pmatrix}.$$

Two elementary row operations give

$$\begin{pmatrix} M(u^n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & M(u^n) \\ u^{n-1}M(u^n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ uI & -I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & uI & -I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -u^{n-1}I & 0 & \cdots & uI & 0 \\ -u^n I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & uI \end{pmatrix}.$$

We eliminate the final row and column to give

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} M(u^n) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M(u^n) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M(u^n) \\ u^{n-1}M(u^n) & 0 & 0 \\ uI & -I & 0 \\ 0 & uI & -I \\ 0 & 0 & -I \\ -u^{n-1}I & 0 & uI \end{array} \right].$$

Now subtract  $u^{n-1}$  times the first row from the  $n^{\text{th}}$  to obtain a row of zeros, which may be eliminated. Continuing in this way we eventually arrive at the matrix  $M(u^n)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.6.** *The knot  $k_n$  depends only on  $k$  and  $n$ , and not upon the choice of Seifert matrix  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an  $r \times r$  matrix, and let  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}, (1-t)^{-1}]$ , a subring of the field  $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ , the field of rational functions in one variable over the rationals. According to Trotter's viewpoint [T1],  $k$  gives rise to an  $\varepsilon$ -symmetric bilinear form  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $\mathbf{Q}^r$  represented by the matrix  $S$ , and a  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  contained in  $\mathbf{Q}^r$  where the action of  $t$  is represented by  $T$ . A choice of Seifert matrix corresponds to an admissible lattice contained in  $M$  (see [T1] for definitions). Although our construction is given in terms of the matrices  $S$  and  $T$ , it is clear that it could be phrased in terms of  $M$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ , and hence that it does not depend upon the choice of  $A$ .

Alternatively, one can use the formula of Proposition 1.4 to show that if  $A$  is  $S$ -equivalent to  $A_1$ , then  $B$  is  $S$ -equivalent to  $B_1$ .  $\square$

## 2. Knots having distinct $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -actions, $n$ odd

In this section we shall show that for many odd integers  $n$ , there exist simple  $(4q+1)$ -knots ( $q \geq 1$ ),  $k$  and  $l$ , such that  $\#_1^n k = \#_1^n l$  but  $k_n \neq l_n$ .

Let  $\lambda_m(t)$  denote the  $m^{\text{th}}$  cyclotomic polynomial, where  $m$  is not a prime

power. Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$  root of unity,  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$  and  $F = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ , the fixed field of  $K$  under complex conjugation. Let  $h_K$  denote the class number of  $K$ ,  $h_F$  that of  $F$ , and  $h_- = h_K/h_F$ . According to the work of Bayer [Ba: Example 6.2], the number of distinct simple  $(4q+1)$ -knots ( $q \geq 1$ ) with Alexander polynomial  $\lambda_m(t)$  is  $h_- 2^d$  if  $m = 2p^\alpha$  and  $h_- 2^{d-1}$  otherwise, where  $2d = [K:\mathbf{Q}]$ . The factor  $h_-$  represents the number of isomorphism classes of  $\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -modules supporting a Blanchfield pairing [Ba: Corollary 1.3], and the factor  $2^d(2^{d-1})$  represents the number of non-isometric pairings which a given module supports. Note that Bayer's work is couched in terms of pairings on  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$ -modules which are hermitian with respect to complex conjugation ( $t \rightarrow t^{-1}$  becomes  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta^{-1} = \bar{\zeta}$ ), and we shall adopt this viewpoint.

Let  $U$  be the group of units of (the ring of integers of)  $K$ ,  $U_0$  the group of units of  $F$ , and  $N: K \rightarrow F$  the norm. If  $I$  is a principal ideal, then let  $\langle u \rangle$  denote the hermitian form  $h$  on  $I$  given by  $h(a, b) = ua\bar{b}$ . As in [Ba: Prop. 2.1], the set of isometry classes of unimodular hermitian forms on a given ideal (not necessarily principal) is in one-one correspondence with  $U_0/N(U)$ .

Now suppose that  $h_-$  has a factor  $n > 1$ , where  $n$  is odd and  $(m, n) = 1$ . Let  $a$  be an ideal of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$  admitting a non-singular hermitian form  $h$ , with  $a$  being of order  $n$  in  $\ker N: C_K \rightarrow C_F$ . Then  $\perp_1^n(a, h)$  has determinant  $\langle u \rangle$  for some  $u \in U_0/N(U)$ ; see [Ba: Definition 1.9] for the definition of determinant. Since the order of  $U_0/N(U)$  is  $2^d$  or  $2^{d-1}$ , and  $n$  is odd, there exists  $v \in U_0/N(U)$  such that  $v^n = u$ . Then  $\perp_1^n\langle v \rangle$  has determinant  $\langle v^n \rangle = \langle u \rangle$ .

Set  $K = (a, h) \perp (a, -h)$ ,  $L = \langle v \rangle \perp \langle -v \rangle$ . Then  $\perp_1^n K$ ,  $\perp_1^n L$  are indefinite and have the same rank, signatures and determinant. Hence by [Ba: Corollary 4.10] they are isometric. But  $K$  is not isometric to  $L$ , for the determinant of  $K$  is  $(a^2, \alpha)$ , and  $a^2$  is non-zero in  $\ker N: C_K \rightarrow C_F$  since  $n$  is odd.

In fact, if  $k, l$  are the simple  $(4q+1)$ -knots corresponding to  $K, L$  respectively, we can show that  $k_n \neq l_n$ . For let  $M(t)$  be an Alexander matrix of  $k$ , so that by Proposition 1.5  $M(t^n)$  is an Alexander matrix of  $k_n$ . The work of Fox and Smythe [F-S] enables us to obtain a row ideal class from the matrix  $M(\zeta)$ , and the work of Hillman [H: Chap. III, Theorem 12] identifies this with the ideal  $a^2$  in the determinant of  $K$ . But the Alexander polynomial of  $k_n$  is  $\lambda_m(t^n)$ , which has  $\lambda_m(t)$  as one of its factors since  $(m, n) = 1$ . Let  $\tau$  be a primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$  root of unity such that  $\tau^n = \zeta$ . Setting  $t = \tau$  in the Alexander matrix  $M(t^n)$ , we obtain  $M(\tau^n) = M(\zeta)$ , and hence obtain a Fox-Smythe invariant  $a^2$  again. In the case of  $l_n$ , these ideal invariants are all trivial, hence  $k_n \neq l_n$ .

Taking the  $n$ -fold branched cyclic covers of  $k_n, l_n$  we obtain respectively the knots  $\#_1^n k, \#_1^n l$ . Since  $\perp_1^n K$  is isometric to  $\perp_1^n L$ , we have  $\#_1^n k = \#_1^n l$ .

Many examples may be obtained from the tables in [Sch].

For the case of  $(4q-1)$ -knots,  $q \geq 1$ , and  $m \neq 2p'$ ,  $p'$ , where  $p$  is a prime, then as in [Ba: §5],  $\zeta - \zeta^{-1}$  is a unit and so we can multiply all the pairings above by  $\zeta - \zeta^{-1}$  to obtain skew-hermitian pairings. The argument then goes through as before. We are grateful to Dr. Bayer for pointing out this extension to the case of  $(4q-1)$ -knots.

### 3. Number theory

This section deals with some results from algebraic number theory, which will be used in the next section to deal with the case  $n = 2$ .

Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field,  $R = \text{int}(K)$  its ring of integers,  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  the  $p$ -adic integers,  $R_p = R \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ ,  $K_p = K \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ ,  $U(R) = \prod_p R_p^\times$  and  $J(K) = U(R) \cdot \prod_p K_p^\times$ , where  $\prod$  denotes the direct sum.  $K^\times$  is considered as a subgroup of  $J(K)$  under the "diagonal" map. If  $C(K)$  denotes the ideal class group of  $K$ , then we have  $C(K) \cong J(K)/U(R) \cdot K^\times$  an isomorphism which is natural with respect to ring extensions.

Now suppose that  $L$  is an algebraic number field,  $\Gamma$  a group of automorphisms of  $L$ ,  $S = \text{int}(L)$ ,  $K = L^\Gamma$  the subfield of  $L$  fixed under  $\Gamma$ , and  $R = \text{int}(K) = S^\Gamma$ .

LEMMA 3.1.  $\ker [C(R) \rightarrow C(S)] \cong \ker [H^1(\Gamma, S^\times) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, U(S))]$ , where the first map is induced by ring extension, the second by the "diagonal" map  $S^\times \rightarrow U(S)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U(S) \cdot L^\times \rightarrow J(L) \rightarrow C(S) \rightarrow 0,$$

Since  $J(L)^\Gamma = J(K)$ , we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & U(R) \cdot K^\times & \rightarrow & J(K) & \rightarrow & C(R) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & (U(S) \cdot L^\times)^\Gamma & \rightarrow & J(L)^\Gamma & \rightarrow & C(S)^\Gamma & \end{array}$$

Applying the Snake Lemma [Bass: p. 26] we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker [C(R) \rightarrow C(S)] &= \ker [C(R) \rightarrow C(S)^\Gamma] \\ &\cong \text{coker} [U(R) \cdot K^\times \rightarrow (U(S) \cdot L^\times)^\Gamma]. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^\times \rightarrow U(S) \oplus L^\times \rightarrow U(S) \cdot L^\times \rightarrow 0$$

where the first map is  $s \mapsto (s, s^{-1})$ . From cohomology theory we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^\times \rightarrow U(R) \oplus K^\times \rightarrow (U(S) \cdot L^\times)^\Gamma \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, S^\times) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, U(S)) \oplus H^1(\Gamma, L^\times).$$

Since by Hilbert 90,  $H^1(\Gamma, L^\times) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coker} [U(R) \oplus K^\times \rightarrow (U(S) \cdot L^\times)^\Gamma] &= \text{coker} [U(R) \cdot K^\times \rightarrow (U(S) \cdot L^\times)^\Gamma] \\ &\cong \ker [H^1(\Gamma, S^\times) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, U(S))], \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

Now let

$$L = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-123}, \sqrt{-31}) \quad S = \text{int}(L)$$

$$K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-123}) \quad \Gamma = \text{Gal}(L/K), \quad R = \text{int}(K)$$

$$K' = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{3813}) \quad \Gamma' = \text{Gal}(L/K') \quad R' = \text{int}(K').$$

The action of the non-trivial elements of  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  will be denoted respectively by  $\bar{\phantom{x}}, \bar{\phantom{x}}'$ . Our immediate purpose is to show that  $C(R) \rightarrow C(S)$  is injective.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *The fundamental unit of  $R'$  is  $v = 247 + 4\sqrt{3813}$ .*

*Proof.* Certainly  $247^2 - 16 \cdot 3813 = 1$ , so  $v$  is a unit of  $R'$ . If  $v$  is not the fundamental unit, then there exist positive integers  $a, b, c, d$  such that  $(a + b\sqrt{N})(c + d\sqrt{N}) = 4v$ , where  $N = 3813$ . Thus

$$ac + bdN + (ad + bc)\sqrt{N} = 4(247 + 4\sqrt{N}).$$

But  $ac + bdN \geq N > 4 \cdot 247$ , so this is impossible.  $\square$

By the Dirichlet Unit Theorem,  $\text{rank}(S^\times) = 1$  and  $S^\times = \langle \pm 1 \rangle \times \langle u \rangle$  for some  $u$  (a fundamental unit).

LEMMA 3.3.  $S$  has  $u = \sqrt{-123} + 2\sqrt{-31}$  as a fundamental unit.

*Proof.* Note that  $u\bar{u} = v$ , so  $u \in S^\times$ . If  $u = \pm w^n$  for some  $w \in S$  ( $\pm 1$  are the only units of finite order) then  $w\bar{w} \in R'^\times$  and so  $w\bar{w} = \pm v^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . But then  $(v^m)^n = \pm v$  whence  $m = n = \pm 1$ . Hence the result.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.4.  $H^1(\Gamma, S^\times) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, S_{31}^\times)$  is injective, and hence so is  $H^1(\Gamma, S^\times) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, U(S))$ .

*Proof.* For an abelian  $\Gamma$ -group  $A$  we use the representation

$$H^1(\Gamma, A) \cong \frac{\{a \in A : a\bar{a} = 1\}}{\{a/\bar{a} : a \in A\}}.$$

This representation is natural with respect to extension of  $A$ . Now

$$u\bar{u} = (\sqrt{-123} + 2\sqrt{-31})(\sqrt{-123} - 2\sqrt{-31}) = -123 + 124 = 1,$$

and  $u/\bar{u} = u^2/u\bar{u} = u^2$  so

$$H^1(\Gamma, S^\times) = S^\times / \langle u^2 \rangle = \{(1), (-1), (u), (-u)\}.$$

We must show that none of  $-1$ ,  $u$ ,  $-u$  is of the form  $s/\bar{s}$  for some  $s \in S_{31}^\times$ .

If for some  $s \in S_{31}^\times$ ,  $s/\bar{s} = -1$ , then  $s = -\bar{s}$  and so  $s = r\sqrt{-31}$  for some  $r \in R_{31}$ . Hence  $s$  is not a unit.

If for some  $s \in S_{31}^\times$ ,  $s/\bar{s} = u$ , then, as  $S_{31} = R_{31}[u]$ ,  $s = a + bu$  with  $a, b \in R_{31}$ , and so  $a + bu = (a + b\bar{u})u = au + b$ . Hence  $a = b$  and  $s = a(1 + u)$ . As  $s$  is a unit,  $N_{L/\mathbf{Q}}(s)$  is also a unit. But  $N_{L/\mathbf{Q}}(s) = (a\bar{a})^2(1 + u)(1 + \bar{u})(1 + \bar{u})(1 + \bar{\bar{u}}) = (a\bar{a})^2 \cdot 16.31$  and this is not a unit in  $\mathbf{Z}_{31}$ .

A similar argument disposes of the possibility  $s/\bar{s} = -u$  and the result is proved.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* We can now see that the primes of  $S$  above 31 are principal. By our calculation above,  $N_{L/\mathbf{Q}}((1 + u)/2) = 31$  and so, since  $(1 + u)/2$  is integral ( $N_{L/K}((1 + u)/2) = (1 + \sqrt{-123})/2 \in R$ ),  $((1 + u)/2)_S$  is a prime of  $S$  above 31. But  $L/\mathbf{Q}$  is galois so all the primes of  $S$  above 31 are conjugate and hence principal. In fact,  $(31)_S = ((1 + u)/2)_S^2((1 - u)/2)_S^2$ .

PROPOSITION 3.6.  $(3, \sqrt{-123})_{S[1/31]}$  is not principal.

*Proof.*  $(3, \sqrt{-123})_R$  is clearly not principal, for the equation  $a^2 + 123b^2 = 12$  has no solutions over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $C(R) \rightarrow C(S)$  is injective by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4,  $(3, \sqrt{-123})_S$  is not principal.

In passing from  $C(S)$  to  $C(S[1/31])$  we kill off the ideal classes represented by ideals dividing  $(31)_S$ ; since by Remark 3.5 these ideals are all principal, the map  $C(S) \rightarrow C(S[1/31])$  is injective. The result follows.  $\square$

#### 4. The case $n = 2$

In this section we construct two simple  $(4q+1)$ -knots  $k$  and  $l$  such that  $k + k = l + l$  but  $k_2 \neq l_2$ .

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $\Delta(t) = 31t^2 - 61t + 31$ . Then  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-123}, \sqrt{-31})$  is a splitting field for  $\Delta(t^2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  be a root of  $\Delta(t^2)$ ; then we can take  $\tau^2 = (61 + \sqrt{-123})/62$ , so that  $\Delta(t)$  splits in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-123})$ . Now  $31\tau^2 = (61 + \sqrt{-123})/2 = -[(1 - \sqrt{-123})/2]^2$ , and so  $\tau = (1 - \sqrt{-123})/2\sqrt{-31}$ . Hence  $\tau \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-123}, \sqrt{-31})$ . But the conjugates of  $\tau$  are  $\tau, -\tau, \bar{\tau} = 1/\tau$  and  $-1/\tau$ , so  $\Delta(t^2)$  splits in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-123}, \sqrt{-31})$ .  $\square$

Let  $J$  denote the ideal  $(3, \sqrt{-123})$  over the ring  $\mathbf{Z}[\tau^2, \tau^{-2}] = R[1/31]$  in the notation of Section 3. Note that  $J = \bar{J}$  and  $J\bar{J} = (3)$ , where  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  here denotes complex conjugation. Hence we can define a non-singular hermitian form  $b: J \times J \rightarrow R[1/31]$  by  $b(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha\bar{\beta}/3$ . Let  $(J \oplus J, B)$  denote the orthogonal direct sum  $(J, b) \perp (J, b)$ , and set

$$\begin{aligned} e &= ((6 + \sqrt{-123})/31, (51 + \sqrt{-123})/31) \\ f &= ((51 - \sqrt{-123})/31, (-6 + \sqrt{-123})/31). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked that  $B(e, e) = B(f, f) = 1$  and that  $B(e, f) = 0$ . Hence  $(J, b) \perp (J, b) \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle 1 \rangle$ .

Let  $k$  be the simple  $(4q+1)$ -knot ( $q \geq 1$ ) represented by  $(J, b)$  and  $l$  the corresponding knot represented by  $\langle 1 \rangle$ . Then  $k + k = l + l$ , but since  $J$  is a non-principal ideal by Proposition 3.6,  $k \neq l$ . Let  $M(t)$  be a square Alexander matrix for  $k$ ; then by Proposition 1.5,  $M(t^2)$  is an Alexander matrix for  $k_2$ . The Fox–Smythe row ideal class of  $k_2$  is obtained from the matrix  $M(\tau^2)$  over the ring  $\mathbf{Z}[\tau, \tau^{-1}] = S[1/31]$ , and by [H: Chap. III, Theorem 12] this is the ideal  $J_{S[1/31]}$ . By Proposition 3.6, this ideal is non-principal. Since the corresponding invariant for  $l_2$  is trivial, we have  $k_2 \neq l_2$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [Bass] H. BASS. *Algebraic K-theory*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc. (1968).  
[Ba] E. BAYER. *Unimodular hermitian and skewhermitian forms*. Jour. Algebra (to appear).



- [B] R. C. BLANCHFIELD. *Intersection theory of manifolds with operators with applications to knot theory*. Annals of Math. 65 (1957), 340–356.
- [F–S] R. H. FOX and N. SMYTHE. *An ideal class invariant of knots*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 707–709.
- [G] C. MCA. GORDON. *On the higher-dimensional Smith conjecture*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 29 (1974), 98–110.
- [H] J. A. HILLMAN. *Alexander ideals*. Springer Lecture Notes 895.
- [K] C. KEARTON. *Classification of simple knots by Blanchfield duality*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 952–955.
- [L] J. LEVINE. *An algebraic classification of some knots of codimension two*. Comment. Math. Helv. 45 (1970), 185–198.
- [Sch] G. SCHRUTKA V. RECHTENSTAMM. *Tabelle der (relativ-) Klassenzahlen von Kreiskörpern*. Abh. Deutsch Akad. Wiss. Berlin 1964, Math. Nat. Kl. Nr 2.
- [T1] H. F. TROTTER. *On S-equivalence classes of Seifert matrices*. Invent. math. 20 (1973), 173–207.
- [T2] ——. *Knot modules and Seifert matrices*. Knot Theory, ed. J.-C. Hausmann, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 685 (1978), Springer-Verlag.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Durham*  
*Durham, DH1 3LE, England.*

Received September 23, 1981

*Note added in proof:* The second author has recently shown that for any integer  $n$  there is an integer  $m$ , prime to  $n$  and not a prime power, such that, if  $\zeta$  is an  $m$ th root of 1, there is an ideal class in  $C_{Q[\zeta]}$  of order  $n$  with norm 1 in  $C_{Q[\zeta+\zeta^{-1}]}$ . Thus the results of section 2 are valid for any odd  $n$ .