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# A universal space for normal bundles of $\boldsymbol{n}$-manifolds 

E. H. Brown, Jr, and F. P. Peterson ${ }^{1}$

## §1. Introduction

In [3] the authors gave a simple criterion for deciding whether a polynomial in Stiefel-Whitney classes is zero on the normal bundles of all smooth $n$-manifolds. The ideal of relations among Stiefel-Whitney classes for all $n$-manifolds, $I_{n} \subset$ $H^{*}(B O)$ was defined by

$$
I_{n}=\left\{w \in H^{*}(B O) \mid w\left(\nu_{M^{n}}\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \mathbf{M}^{n}\right\}
$$

where $\mathrm{M}^{n}$ denotes a smooth $n$-manifold and $\nu_{M}$ is its stable normal bundle. Let $\Phi: H^{*}(B O) \simeq H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$ be the Thom isomorphism and for $w \in H^{*}(B O)$, define $w S q^{i}$ to be $\Phi^{-1}\left(\chi\left(S q^{i}\right) \Phi(w)\right)$. It was shown that $I_{n}$ consists of all $Z_{2}$-linear combinations of elements of the form $w S q^{i}$ where $2 i>n-|w|(|w|=$ dimension of $w)$.

In this paper we give a stronger version of this result, namely:

THEOREM 1. There is a space $B O / I_{n}$ and a map $\pi: B O / I_{n} \rightarrow B O$ such that
(a) If M is a smooth, compact $n$-manifold and $h: M \rightarrow B O$ classifies $\nu_{M}$, then there is a map $\bar{h}: M \rightarrow B O / I_{n}$ such that $\pi \bar{h} \simeq h$.
(b) The following sequence is exact.

$$
0 \longrightarrow I_{n} \subset H^{*}(B O) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} H^{*}\left(B O / I_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Theorem 1 shows that $B O / I_{n}$ is a universal space for normal bundles of $n$ manifolds in that stably, every such bundle is induced from the bundle over $B O / I_{n}$ and $B O / I_{n}$ is the space with the smallest cohomology having this property.

Our original result on $I_{n}$ suggested the possibility of defining higher order characteristic classes, that is, one could form a space $B$ over $B O$ by killing the

[^0]elements of $I_{n}$. Then an element of $H^{*}(B)$ might give a "new" characteristic class for $n$-manifolds. For example, with $n=4$ or 5 , the relation
$$
\left(S q^{2}+w_{1} \cup S q^{1}+w_{2} U\right)\left(v_{3}\right)=v_{3} S q^{2}=\left(1 S q^{3}\right) S q^{2}=0
$$
where $v_{3}$ is the $W u$ class, gives a class in $H^{4}(B)$ which is not a polynomial in Stiefel-Whitney classes. Theorem 1 shows that on an $n$-manifold this "new" class will be a polynomial in Stiefel-Whitney classes modulo indeterminacy.

The spaces $B O / I_{n}$ are also related to the conjecture that any smooth $n$ manifold immerses in $R^{2 n-\alpha(n)}$ where $\alpha(n)$ is the number of ones in the dyadic expansion of $n$. Since this conjecture is equivalent to the normal bundle map $h: \mathbf{M}^{n} \rightarrow B O$ lifting to $B O_{n-\alpha(n)}([9])$, the following is a stronger form of the conjecture:

CONJECTURE. $\pi: B O / I_{n} \rightarrow B O$ lifts to $B O_{n-\alpha(n)}$.
Using our proof of Theorem 1, our results in [4] can be restated in the following way which gives some plausibility to the above conjecture.

THEOREM 2. If $\zeta$ is the stable universal bundle over $\mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{MO}$ is its Thom spectrum, $\mathrm{MO} / I_{n}$ is the Thom spectrum of $\pi^{*} \zeta$ and $\mathrm{MO}(n-\alpha(n))$ is the Thom spectrum of the universal bundle over $B O_{n-\alpha(n)}$, then $\mathrm{MO} / \mathrm{I}_{n}$ lifts to $\mathrm{MO}(n-a(n))$.

This paper is organized as follows: In $\S 2$ we give a detailed outline of the proof of Theorem 1 setting forth most of the notation and describing the various technical problems arising in the construction of $B O / I_{n}$. Then in Sections 3, 4, 5, and 6 we prove the various lemmas stated in $\S 2$. Throughout the remainder of this paper $n$ is a fixed positive integer.

## §2. Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1

All cohomology will be with $Z_{2}$ coefficients, $A$ will be the mod two Steenrod algebra and $\chi: A \rightarrow A$ will be the canonical antiautomorphism. The semi-tensor product of $A$ and $H^{*}(B O)$ ([6]) will be denoted by $A(B O)$, that is, $A(B O)=$ $A \otimes H^{*}(B O)$ with the algebra structure defined by

$$
(a \otimes u)(b \otimes v)=\sum a b_{i}^{\prime} \otimes\left(\chi\left(b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) u\right) v
$$

where $b \rightarrow \sum b_{i}^{\prime} \otimes b_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ under the diagonal of $A$. We denote $a \otimes u$ by $a \circ u$.

By a spectrum $Y$, we will mean a collection of spaces $Y_{q}$ and maps $g_{q}: S Y_{q} \rightarrow$ $Y_{q+1}$. If $X$ and $Y$ are spectra, a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $p$ will be a collection of homotopy classes $f_{q} \in\left[X_{q}, Y_{q+p}\right]$ compatible with the maps $g_{q}$. If $\xi$ is a real $k$-plane bundle, $T(\xi)$ will denote its Thom spectrum, i.e., $T(\xi)_{q}=S^{q-k}$ (Thom space of $\xi$ ). Thus the Thom class is in $H^{0}(T(\xi))$. If $\xi$ is a vector bundle over $B$, $\Phi: H^{*}(B) \approx H^{*}(T(\xi))$ will be the Thom isomorphism. We make $H^{*}(T(\xi))$ into an $A(B O)$ module as follows: Let $h: B \rightarrow B O$ classify $\xi$. If $u \in H^{*}(T((\xi)), w \in$ $H^{*}(B O)$ and $a \in A,(a \circ w) u=a\left(h^{*}(w) u\right)$. One easily checks that $\Phi\left(I_{n}\right) \subset$ $H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$ is an $A(B O)$ submodule.

We begin by constructing an $A$-free, acyclic resolution of $\Phi\left(I_{n}\right)$. In [3] the following was proved:

THEOREM 2.1. If $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ is an A basis for $H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$, then $\Phi\left(I_{n}\right)$ is the A module generated by

$$
\left\{\chi\left(S q^{i}\right) u_{i}\left|2 j>n-\left|u_{i}\right|\right\}\right.
$$

For a partition $\omega=\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{l}\right\}$ let $s_{\omega} \in H^{*}(B O)$ be the usual class ([17]) associated with the symmetric function $\sum t_{1}^{j_{1}} t_{2}^{j_{2}} \cdots t_{1}^{j_{1}}$. For each partition $\omega$ let $\omega_{r}$ be the partition consisting of odd integers $j$, one for each $j 2^{r} \in \omega$. Let

$$
u_{\omega}=\prod_{r} s_{\omega_{r}}^{2 r}
$$

Since

$$
u_{\omega}=s_{\omega}+\sum s_{\omega^{\prime}}
$$

where $\omega^{\prime}$ has fewer entries than $\omega$ and $\left\{s_{\omega}\right\}$ is a basis for $H^{*}(B O),\left\{u_{\omega}\right\}$ is also a basis for $H^{*}(B O)$. Also $\left\{\Phi\left(u_{\omega}\right) \mid 2^{i}-1 \notin \omega\right\}$ is an $A$ basis for $H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$ since $\left\{\Phi\left(s_{\omega}\right) \mid 2^{i}-1 \notin \omega\right\}$ is.

In [2] an $A$-free acyclic resolution of $A / A\left\{\chi\left(S q^{i}\right) \mid i>h\right\}$ was constructed. Combining these resolutions with 2.1 and the $\Phi\left(u_{\omega}\right)$ basis, we obtain the following resolution of $\Phi\left(I_{n}\right)$.

Let $\Lambda$ be the graded free associative algebra over $Z_{2}$ with unit generated by $\lambda_{i}$, $i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{i}\right|=i$, modulo the relations: If $2 i<j$

$$
\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}=\sum\binom{s-1}{2 s-(j-2 i)} \lambda_{i+s} \lambda_{j-s}
$$

If $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{l}\right)$, let $\lambda_{I}=\lambda_{i_{1}} \lambda_{i_{2}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{i}}, l(I)=l, t(I)=i_{l}$, and $\lambda_{()}=1$. We define $I$
to be admissible if $2 i_{i} \geqq i_{j+1}$. As we will see in $\S 3,\left\{\lambda_{I} \mid I\right.$ admissible $\}$ is a $Z_{2}$ basis for $\Lambda$. Let $\left\{\lambda^{I} \mid I\right.$ admissible $\}$ be the dual basis of $\Lambda^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda, Z_{2}\right)$.

Let $U_{1}$ be the vector space over $Z_{2}$ with basis the symbols $\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}$ where $I$ is admissible, $2^{i}-1 \notin \omega, l(I)=l$ and $2(t(I)+1)>n-\left|u_{\omega}\right|$. Grade $U_{l}$ by $\left|\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right|=$ $\left|\lambda^{I}\right|+\left|u_{\omega}\right|$. Let $d: A \otimes U_{l} \rightarrow A \otimes U_{l-1}$ be the $A$ linear map defined by

$$
d\left(1 \otimes \lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right)=\sum \lambda^{I}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{J}\right) \chi\left(S q^{i}\right) \otimes \lambda^{J} u_{\omega}
$$

where the sum ranges over all $j$ and admissible $J$. Note by 2.2 , if $\lambda^{I}\left(\lambda_{i} \lambda_{J}\right) \neq 0$, $t(J) \geqq t(I)$ and hence $d$ is well defined. Let $\eta: A \otimes U_{0} \rightarrow H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$ be given by $\boldsymbol{\eta}\left(a \otimes \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{()} \boldsymbol{u}_{\omega}\right)=a \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(u_{\omega}\right)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. The following sequence is exact:

$$
\longrightarrow A \otimes U_{l} \xrightarrow{d} A \otimes U_{l-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A \otimes U_{0}
$$

and

$$
\Phi\left(I_{n}\right)=\eta\left(\text { image }\left(d: A \otimes U_{1} \rightarrow A \otimes U_{0}\right)\right)
$$

We prove 2.3 in $\S 3$.
For a graded vector space $V$ over $Z_{2}$, let $K(V)$ denote the EilenbergMacLane spectrum such that $\pi_{*}(K(V))=V^{*}$ and $H^{*}(K(V))=A \otimes V$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. There is a sequence of $\Omega$-spectra $X_{l}, l=0,1,2, \ldots$ and maps $\alpha_{l}: X_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(U_{l}\right)$ of degree +1 such that
(i) $X_{0}=K\left(U_{0}\right)$
(ii) $X_{l}$ is the fibration over $X_{l-1}$ induced by $\alpha_{l}$ from the contractible fibration over $K\left(U_{l}\right)$.
(iii) If $i: K\left(U_{l}\right) \rightarrow X_{l}$ is the inclusion of the fibre of $X_{l} \rightarrow X_{l-1},\left(\alpha_{l+1} i\right)^{*}=$ $d: A \otimes U_{l+1} \rightarrow A \otimes U_{l}$.
(iv) If M is a smooth n-manifold, $\nu$ is its normal bundle, $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{MO} \rightarrow K\left(U_{0}\right)$ realizes $\eta$ and $h: T(\nu) \rightarrow \mathrm{MO}$ comes from the classifying map of $\nu$, then any lifting of $\mathrm{gh}: \mathrm{T}(\nu) \rightarrow X_{0}$ to $X_{l-1}$ lifts to $X_{l}$.

Since the $X_{l}$ 's are constructed from an acyclic complex,
$\lim H^{*}\left(X_{l}\right) \approx \operatorname{Coker}\left(d: A \otimes U_{1} \rightarrow A \otimes U_{0}\right) \approx H^{*}(\mathrm{MO}) / \Phi\left(I_{n}\right)$.
To construct $B O / I_{n}$ we essentially construct a tower of spaces

$$
\rightarrow B_{l} \rightarrow B_{l-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_{0}=B O
$$

with fibres Eilenberg-MacLane spaces, such that if $T_{l}=T\left(\zeta_{l}\right)$ where $\zeta_{l} \rightarrow B_{l}$ is the pull back of the universal bundle over $B O$, then $T_{l}=X_{l}$ in dimensions $\leqq n$. We can then, more or less, define $B O / I_{n}=\lim B_{l}$.

We recall how the cohomology of a Thom space of a vector bundle changes, in a stable range, when a cohomology class in the base is killed. Suppose $g: B \rightarrow B O$ is a map such that $g_{*}: \pi_{q}(B) \approx \pi_{q}(B O)$ for $2 q \leqq n, V$ is a graded vector space with $V_{q}=0$ for $2 q \leqq n$ and $p: B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ is the fibration induced by a map $\gamma: B \rightarrow$ $K(V)_{1}\left(K(V)=\left\{K(V)_{q}\right\}\right)$. Let $T=T\left(g^{*} \zeta\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T\left(p^{*} g^{*} \zeta\right)$. Viewing $B^{\prime} \subset B$ as the fibre of $\gamma, \gamma$ factors as $B \xrightarrow{j} B / B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\prime}} K(V)_{1}$. Let

$$
\Psi:(A(B O) \otimes V)^{q} \rightarrow H^{q+1}\left(T / T^{\prime}\right)
$$

be given by $\Psi(a \circ u \otimes v)=a\left(u \Phi\left(\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(v_{1}\right)\right)\right)$ where $v_{1} \in H^{*}\left(K(V)_{1}\right)$ is the element corresponding to $v \in V$ and $\Phi$ is the relative Thom isomorphism. In §6 we show that $\Psi$ is an isomorphism for $q \leqq n$. (An equivalent form of this was proved in [1].) Combining this with the exact sequence of the pair ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) we obtain an exact sequence,

$$
\rightarrow H^{a}(T) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(A(B O) \otimes V)^{a} \rightarrow H^{q+1}(T) \rightarrow
$$

for $q \leqq n$.
The cohomology of $X_{l}$ and $X_{l-1}$ are related by the Serre exact sequence,

$$
\rightarrow H^{q}\left(X_{l-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{a}\left(X_{l}\right) \rightarrow\left(A \otimes U_{l}\right)^{q} \rightarrow H^{q+1}\left(X_{l-1}\right) \rightarrow .
$$

Thus if we have constructed $B_{l-1}$ such that $T_{l-1}=X_{l-1}$ in dimensions $\leqq n$ and we wish to construct $B_{l}$, we should take $B=B_{l-1}$ in the above and choose $V_{l}$ so that $A(B O) \otimes V_{l}=A \otimes U_{l}$ as $A$ modules. Our main algebraic result asserts that this is possible. Let

$$
V_{l}=\left\{\lambda^{I} u_{\omega} \in U_{l} \mid \omega_{r}=\{ \} \quad \text { for } \quad r \geqq l\right\}
$$

PROPOSITION 2.5. There are $A$ linear isomorphisms $\theta: A \otimes U_{l} \rightarrow A(B O) \otimes$ $V_{l}$ and $A(B O)$ linear maps $d: A(B O) \otimes V_{l} \rightarrow A(B O) \otimes V_{l-1}, \quad l>1$ and $d: A(B O) \otimes V_{1} \rightarrow H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$ such that the following diagram is commutative:


Furthermore, if $u \in V_{l} \subset U_{l}$, then $\theta(1 \otimes u)=1 \otimes u$.
The construction of spaces $B_{l}$ can now be made, modulo technical problems, using 2.5. Given $B_{l-1}$ and $f_{l-1}: T_{l-1} \rightarrow X_{l-1}$, the $k$-invariant $\beta_{l}: B_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(V_{l}\right)_{1}$ is defined by:

$$
\Phi \beta_{l}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right)=f_{l-1}^{*} \alpha_{l}^{*}(v)
$$

where $\alpha_{l}: X_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(U_{l}\right)$ is the $k$-invariant for $X_{l}, v \in V$ and $v_{1} \in H^{*}\left(K(V)_{1}\right)$ corresponds to $v$. If M is an $n$-manifold and $h: M \rightarrow B O$ classifies its normal bundle, 2.4(iv) shows that any lifting of $h$ to $B_{l-1}$ lifts to $B_{l}$. The $A(B O)$ linearity of $d$ allows one (more or less) to construct $f_{l}: T_{l} \rightarrow X_{l}$. Actually, this straightforward procedure is marred by two technical details which we now describe.

Let $s=[n / 2]$. To form $B_{1}$ from $B O$, one kills, among other things, the Wu class $v_{s+1}$, i.e. $d \lambda^{s}=\chi\left(S q^{s+1}\right) U=v_{s+1} U$, where the $U$ is the Thom class. The map $\Psi$ is zero on

$$
\sum_{j>0}\left(S q^{j} \circ v_{s+1-j}\right) \otimes \lambda^{s} \in\left(A(B O) \otimes V_{1}\right)^{2 s+1}
$$

As a result, there is a class $x \in H^{2 s+1}\left(X_{1}\right)$ which goes to zero in $H^{2 s+1}\left(T_{1}\right)$. The class $x$ is killed in going from $X_{1}$ to $X_{2}$. Hence if one were to follow the recipe given by 2.5 , one would kill a class in $B_{1}$ which is already zero and thus produce a class in $H^{2 s}\left(B_{2}\right)$ not coming from $H^{2 s}\left(X_{2}\right)$. To avoid this, we omit a basis element from $V_{2}$. This same phenomena occurs in dimension $2 s+2$ so we omit some more elements from $V_{2}$ and $V_{3}$. Namely, let $\bar{V}_{l} \subset V_{l}$ be spanned by $\lambda^{I} u_{\omega} \in V_{l}$ except $\lambda^{0,0} w_{s}^{2}, \lambda^{0,-1} w_{s+1}^{2}, \lambda^{-1,-2} w_{s+2}^{2}$ and for $s$ odd, $\lambda^{-1,-2,-4} w_{1}^{4} w_{s}^{2}\left(w_{s}=u_{(1,1, \ldots, 1)}\right)$.

In §3 we define a certain $A(B O)$ linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
r: A(B O) \otimes V_{l} \rightarrow A(B O) \otimes \bar{V}_{l} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $r \mid A(B O) \otimes \bar{V}_{l}$ is the identity. We then use $r \theta$ in place of $\theta$ in our construction of $B_{l}$.

The second difficulty arises in the following fashion. Again suppose we have $B_{l-1}$ and $f_{l-1}: T_{l-1} \rightarrow X_{l-1}$ and we construct $B_{l}$ using $\bar{V}_{l}$ instead of $V_{l}$ as above. Let $g_{l}: T_{l-1} / T_{l} \rightarrow K\left(U_{l}\right)$ be the map such that $g_{l}^{*}(u)=\Psi r \theta(u)$ for $u \in U_{l}$. In order to construct $f_{l}: T_{l} \rightarrow X_{l}$ we need commutativity of the diagram


We can only prove that this diagram commutes in dimensions $\leqq 2 s+1$. To correct for this we relabel $B_{l}$ above, $B_{l}^{\prime}$ and we form $B_{l}$ from $B_{l}^{\prime}$ by killing the obstructions to commutativity as follows:

Define $\Delta=\Delta\left(f_{l-1}\right): U_{l} \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l-1}\right)$ by

$$
\Delta(u)=f_{l-1}^{*} \alpha_{l}^{*} u-\sum x_{i} f_{l-1}^{*} \alpha_{l}^{*} u_{i}
$$

where $r \theta(u)=\sum x_{i} u_{i}, x_{i} \in A(B O), u_{i} \in \bar{V}_{l}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
j^{*} g_{l}^{*}(u) & =j^{*} \Psi r \theta(u)=j^{*} \Psi\left(\sum x_{i} u_{i_{1}}\right)=\sum x_{i} j^{*} \Phi\left(\left(\beta_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(u_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum x_{i} \Phi\left(\beta_{l}^{*}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)=\sum x_{i} f_{l-1}^{*} \alpha_{l}^{*}\left(u_{i}\right)=\Delta(u)+f_{l-1}^{*} \alpha_{l}^{*}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Delta$ is the deviation from commutativity of our diagram above. Let $W_{l}=U_{l} /$ ker $\Delta$. We kill $\Phi^{-1}(\Delta(W))$ in $B_{\mathrm{l}}^{\prime}$ to form $B_{\mathrm{l}}$.

To recapitulate, we inductively construct a sequence of spaces $B_{l}$, stable vector bundles $\zeta_{l}$ over $B_{l}$ and maps $f_{l}: T_{l}=T\left(\zeta_{l}\right) \rightarrow X_{l}$ such that $\Delta\left(f_{l}\right)=0$. We take $B_{0}=B O, \zeta_{0}=\zeta$ the universal bundle and $f_{0}$ the map such that $f_{0}^{*}\left(u_{\omega}\right)=\Phi\left(u_{\omega}\right)$ for $u_{\omega} \in U_{0} .\left(X_{0}=K\left(U_{0}\right)\right.$.) Referring to $2.5, f_{0}^{*}=\eta, \alpha_{1}^{*}=d$ and $\Delta\left(f_{0}\right)=\eta d-d \theta=0$. Suppose $B_{l-1}, \zeta_{l-1}$ and $f_{l-1}$ have been defined and $\Delta\left(f_{l-1}\right)=0$. Let $p^{\prime}: B_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow B_{l-1}$ be the fibration induced by $\beta_{l}: B_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(\bar{V}_{l}\right)_{1}$ where $\beta_{l}$ is defined by

$$
\Phi\left(\beta_{l}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right)\right)=f_{l-1}^{*} \alpha_{l}^{*}(v)
$$

for $v \in \bar{V}_{l} \subset U_{l}$ and $v_{1} \in H^{*}\left(K\left(\bar{V}_{l}\right)_{1}\right)$ the element corresponding to $v$. Let $\zeta_{l}^{\prime}=$ $\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{*} \zeta_{l-1}$ and $T_{l}^{\prime}=T\left(\zeta_{l}^{\prime}\right)$.

Viewing $B_{l}^{\prime} \subset B_{l-1}$ as the fibre of $\beta_{l}, \beta_{l}$ factors through $\beta_{l}^{\prime} . B_{l-1} / B_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow K\left(\bar{V}_{l}\right)_{1}$. Let $\Psi: A(B O) \otimes \bar{V}_{l} \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l-1} / T_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ be the $A(B O)$ linear map such that $\Psi(v)=$ $\Phi\left(\left(\beta_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(v_{1}\right)\right)$ for $v \in \bar{V}_{l}$. Let $\theta$ be as in $2.5, r$ as in 2.6 , and let $g_{l}^{\prime}: T_{l-1} / T_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow K\left(U_{l}\right)$ be defined by $\left(g_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*}(u)=\Psi r \theta(u)$. Since $\Delta\left(f_{l-1}\right)=0$, there is a map $f_{l}^{\prime}$ making a commutative diagram


Let $\Delta\left(f_{l}^{\prime}\right): U_{l+1} \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l}\right)$ be given by $\Delta\left(f_{l}^{\prime}\right)(u)=\left(f_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \alpha_{l+1}^{*} u+\sum x_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{*} \alpha_{l+1}^{*} u_{i}$ where $r \theta u=\sum x_{i} u_{i}$. Let $W_{l+1}=U_{l+1} / \operatorname{ker} \Delta\left(f_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ and let $p: B_{l} \rightarrow B_{l}^{\prime}$ be the fibration induced
by $\gamma_{l}: B_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow K\left(W_{l+1}\right)_{1}$ where $\Phi\left(\gamma_{l}^{*} u_{1}\right)=\Delta\left(f_{l}^{\prime}\right)(u)$ for $u \in W_{l+1}$. Finally let $\zeta_{l}=$ $p^{*} \zeta_{l}^{\prime}$ and $f_{l}=f_{l}^{\prime} T(p)$. Then $\Delta\left(f_{l}\right)=T(p)^{*} \Delta\left(f_{l}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and the inductive step is complete.

In §5 we prove:

LEMMA 2.7. If $l \geqq 3$ and $q \leqq n, f_{l}^{*}: H^{q}\left(X_{l}\right) \approx H^{a}\left(T\left(\zeta_{l}\right)\right)$. Furthermore, if $M$ is a smooth n-manifold and $h: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow B_{0}=B O$ classifies its normal bundle, then any lifting of $h$ to $B_{l-1}$ lifts to $B_{l}$.

We next examine $H^{*}\left(B_{l}\right)$ for $l$ large.

LEMMA 2.8. If $l \geqq n, \dot{V}_{l}^{q}=U_{i}^{q}=0$ for $q<n-1, W_{l}^{q}=0$ for $q \leqq n$ and
$V_{l}^{n-1}=U_{l}^{n-1}=\left\{\lambda^{(0,0, \ldots, 0)} u_{\omega} \mid u_{\omega} \in U_{0}^{n-1}\right\}$. Furthermore,
$\Phi\left(\beta_{l}^{*}\left(\lambda^{(0, \ldots, 0)} u_{\omega}\right)\right)=\delta_{l} \tilde{u}_{\omega}$
$\tilde{u}_{\omega} \in H^{*}\left(T_{l-1} ; Z_{2 l}\right), u_{\omega} U \in H^{*}\left(T_{l-1}\right)$ is the mod two reduction of $\tilde{u}_{\omega}$ and $\delta_{l}$ is the Bockstein associated with $Z_{2} \rightarrow Z_{2 l+1} \rightarrow Z_{2 l}$.
Thus for $l \geqq n$,

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
H^{q}\left(B_{l}\right) \approx H^{q}(B O) / I_{n}^{a} & q<n \\
H^{n}\left(B_{l}\right) / \Phi^{-1}\left\{\delta_{l+1} \tilde{u}_{\omega}\right\} \approx H^{n}(B O) / I_{n}^{n} &
\end{array}
$$

We form $B_{\infty}$ from $B_{l}, l \geqq n$, by killing classes $\Phi^{-1}\left(\delta^{l+1} \tilde{u}_{\omega}\right) \in H^{n+1}\left(B_{l} ; Z_{\tau}\right)$ where $Z_{\tau}$ denotes twisted integer coefficients, twisted by $w_{1}, \Phi: H^{*}\left(B_{l} ; Z_{\tau}\right) \approx$ $H^{*}\left(T\left(\zeta_{l}\right) ; Z\right)$ is the Thom isomorphism and $\delta^{l}$ is the Bockstein associated with $Z \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z_{2^{l}}$. Let $\tilde{B}_{l}$ be the two sheeted cover of $\tilde{B}_{l}$ defined by $w_{1}$. The classes $\Phi^{-1}\left(\delta^{l+1} \tilde{u}_{\omega}\right)$ may be represented by $Z_{2^{-}}$equivariant maps $x_{\omega}: \tilde{B}_{l} \rightarrow K(Z, n)$ where $K(Z, n)$ has the action defined by the nontrivial action of $Z_{2}$ on $Z$. Let $\tilde{B}_{\infty}$ be the fibration over $\tilde{B}_{l}$ induced by

$$
x=\prod x_{\omega}: \tilde{B}_{l} \rightarrow \prod K(Z, n)
$$

Since $x$ is $Z_{2}$-equivariant, $Z_{2}$ acts freely on $\tilde{B}_{\infty}$. Let $B_{\infty}=\tilde{B}_{\infty} / Z_{2}$. The map $B_{\infty}=\tilde{B}_{\infty} / Z_{2} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{l} / Z_{2}=B_{1}$ has fibre $\Pi K(Z, n)$. With $Z_{2}$ coefficients, $\pi_{1}\left(B_{l}\right)$ acts trivially on the cohomology of the fibre. The Serre spectral sequences, with $Z_{2}$ coefficients has its usual, nonlocal coefficient form and the usual argument shows
that in dimensions $\leqq n$,

$$
H^{*}\left(B O_{\infty}\right)=H^{*}\left(B_{l}\right) /\left\{\Phi^{-1}\left(\delta^{l+1} \tilde{u}_{\omega}\right)\right\}
$$

Thus for $q \leqq n$

$$
0 \rightarrow I_{n}^{q} \rightarrow H^{q}(B O) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(B_{\infty}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Also if $M$ is an $n$-manifold and $h: M \rightarrow B$ is covered by a bundle map $\mathrm{g}: \nu \rightarrow \zeta_{l}, T(g)^{*}\left(\delta^{l+1} \tilde{u}_{\omega}\right)=\delta^{l+1} T\left(g^{*}\right)\left(\tilde{u}_{l}\right)=0$ since the top homology class of $T(\nu)$ is spherical. Therefore, $h$ lifts to $B_{\infty}$.

Finally, assume $B_{\infty}$ is a $C W$ complex and let

$$
B O / I_{n}=B_{\infty}^{n} \cup e_{1}^{n+1} \cup e_{2}^{n+1} \cdots e_{m}^{n+1}
$$

where $e_{i}^{n+1}$ is attached by $f_{i} \mid S^{n}, f_{i}:\left(D^{n+1}, S^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(B^{n+1}, B^{n}\right)$ and $\left[f_{i}\right] \in$ $\pi_{n+1}\left(B_{\infty}^{n+1}, B_{\infty}^{n}\right)$ give a $Z_{2}$-basis for the image of

$$
\pi_{n+1}\left(B_{\infty}^{n+1}, B_{\infty}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\rho} H_{n+1}\left(B_{\infty}^{n+1}, B_{\infty}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial^{*}} H_{n}\left(B_{\infty}^{n}, B_{\infty}^{n-1}\right)
$$

The maps $f_{i}$ give an extension of $B_{\infty}^{n} \subset B_{\infty}, f: B O / I_{n} \rightarrow B_{\infty}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{*}: H^{q}\left(B_{\infty}\right) \approx H^{a}\left(B O / I_{n}\right) \text { for } q \leqq n \\
& H^{q}\left(B O / I_{n}\right)=H^{q}(B O) / I_{n}=0 \text { for } q>n
\end{aligned}
$$

Also any map of an $n$-manifold into $B_{\infty}$ is homotopic to a map factoring through $f$. The proof of Theorem 1 is thus complete, modulo the lemmas and propositions of this section.

## §3. Proofs of 2.3, 2.5, and 2.6

Let $\Lambda_{l}^{k}$ be the $Z_{2}$-subspace of $\Lambda^{*}$ generated by $\lambda^{I}$ with $l(I)=l, t(I) \geqq k$, and $I$ admissible. Let

$$
d: A \otimes \Lambda_{l}^{k} \rightarrow A \otimes A_{l-1}^{k}
$$

be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(1 \otimes \lambda^{I}\right)=\sum \lambda^{I}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{J}\right) \chi\left(S q^{j+1}\right) \otimes \lambda^{J} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all $j$ and admissible $J$. Proposition 2.3 follows from 2.1 and 3.2(ii) below:

## PROPOSITION 3.2.

(i) $\left\{\lambda_{I} \mid I\right.$ admissible $\}$ is a $Z_{2}$-basis for $\Lambda$.
(ii) The following is exact:

$$
\longrightarrow A \otimes \Lambda_{l}^{k} \xrightarrow{d} A \otimes \Lambda_{l-1}^{k} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A \otimes A_{0}^{k} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A / A\left\{\chi\left(S q^{i}\right) \mid i>k\right\}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\left(a \otimes \lambda^{()}\right)=\{a\}$.
(iii) If $I$ and $J$ are admissible, $l(I)=l, l(J)=l-1$, and $I_{l}=\left(1,2,4, \ldots, 2^{l-1}\right)$, then $\lambda^{I+r I_{I}}\left(\lambda_{j+r} \lambda_{J+2 r I_{t-1}}\right)=\lambda^{I}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{J}\right)$.

Proof. For any sequence $T=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ and integer $r$, let $h^{r}\left(\lambda_{T}\right)=\lambda_{T+r I_{l}}$. Extending linearly, $h^{r}$ gives a well defined map $h^{r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ since for any element of $\Lambda$ of the form $\alpha=\lambda_{I_{1}} \beta \lambda_{I_{2}}$ where $\beta$ is a relation for $\Lambda$ as in $2.2, h^{r}(\alpha)$ also has this form. Since $h^{r} h^{-r}$ is the identity, $h^{r}$ is an isomorphism for all r. Furthermore, $h^{r}\left(\lambda_{I}\right)$ is admissible if and only if $\lambda_{I}$ is admissible.

Let $\bar{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$ be the subalgebra generated by $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots$ In [8] it is proved that $\left\{\lambda_{I} \mid I\right.$ admissible $\}$ is a basis for $\bar{\Lambda}$. For any $\lambda_{I}, h^{r}\left(\lambda_{I}\right) \in \bar{\Lambda}$ for $r$ sufficiently large. Thus $\left\{\lambda_{I} \mid I\right.$ admissible $\}$ is a basis for $\Lambda$.

In [2], 3.2(ii) was proved for $k \geqq 0$. From 2.2 one sees that $\lambda_{-1} \lambda_{-1}=0$ and if $t(J) \geqq 0, \lambda_{-1} \lambda_{J}$ is a sum involving $\lambda_{J^{\prime}}$ 's with $t\left(J^{\prime}\right)>0$ and $\lambda_{J} \lambda_{-1}$. Suppose $J_{1}=$ $\left(j_{i}, \ldots, j_{m}\right), J_{2}=\left(j_{m+1}, \ldots, j_{l}\right)$ and $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l}\right)$ are admissible with $J_{1}$ or $J_{2}$ possibly the empty sequence ( ). Define $\lambda^{J_{1}} \lambda^{J_{2}}=\lambda^{J}$. Suppose $j_{m} \geqq 0$ and $j_{m+1}<-1$. Then 3.1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\lambda^{J_{1}} \lambda^{-1} \lambda^{J_{2}}\right) & =\left(d \lambda^{J_{1}}\right) \lambda^{-1} \lambda^{L_{2}}+\lambda^{J_{1}} \lambda^{J_{2}} \\
d\left(\lambda^{J_{1}} \lambda^{J_{2}}\right) & =\left(d \lambda^{J_{1}}\right) \lambda^{J_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
D\left(\lambda^{J_{1}} \lambda^{J_{2}}\right)=\lambda^{J_{1}} \lambda^{-1} \lambda^{J_{2}}, D\left(\lambda^{J_{1}} \lambda^{-1} \lambda^{J_{2}}\right)=0 .
$$

Then for $k<0, D: A \otimes \Lambda_{l}^{k} \rightarrow A \otimes \Lambda_{l+1}^{k}$ satisfies $d D+D d=$ identity. Therefore 3.2(ii) holds for $k<0$.

Finally we prove 3.2 (iii). Note that if $I$ is admissible, $I+r I_{l}$ is admissible and if $\left(h^{r}\right)^{*}: \Lambda^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda^{*}$ is the dual of $h^{r}, h^{r},\left(h^{r}\right)^{*} \lambda^{I}=\lambda^{I-r I_{i}}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{I}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{J}\right) & =\left(h^{r}\right)^{*}\left(\lambda^{I+r I_{I}}\right)\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{J}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{I+r I_{I}}\left(h^{r}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{J}\right)\right)=\lambda^{I+r I_{I}}\left(\lambda_{j+r} \lambda_{J+2 r I_{l-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of 2.5. Let $C_{l}=A \otimes U_{l}, D_{l}=A(B O) \otimes V_{l}, l>0$, and $D_{0}=H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$. Denote $a \otimes u \in C_{l}$ by $a u$ and $a \circ v \otimes w \in D_{l}, l>0$, by $(a \circ v) w$. We filter $C_{l}$ and $D_{l}$ as follows: $F_{q}\left(C_{l}\right)$ is spanned by $a \lambda^{I} u_{l}$ with $\left|u_{\omega}\right| \leqq q$ and $F_{q}\left(D_{l}\right), l>0$, is spanned by all $a \circ v \lambda^{I} u_{l}$ with $\left|u_{\omega}\right|+2^{l}|v| \leqq q . F_{q}\left(D_{0}\right)$ is spanned by all $a u_{\omega}$ where $a \in A$, $u_{\omega} \in U_{0}=\left\{u_{\omega} \mid 2^{i}-1 \notin \omega\right\}$ and $\left|u_{\omega}\right| \leqq q$.

The chain complex $\left(C_{l}, d\right)$ is a direct sum of chain complexes of the form described in 3.2 , indexed by the $u_{\omega} \in U_{0}$. Hence $d$ is filtration preserving and:
(3.3) The following is exact.

$$
\longrightarrow F_{q}\left(C_{l}\right) \xrightarrow{d} F_{q}\left(C_{l-1}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{q}\left(C_{0}\right)
$$

Using induction on $l$ we define $A$ linear maps $\theta: C_{l} \rightarrow D_{l}$ and $A(B O)$ linear maps $d: D_{l} \rightarrow D_{l-1}$ such that
(i) $\theta$ is an isomorphism and $\theta: C_{0} \rightarrow D_{0}$ is given by $\theta\left(a \otimes u_{\omega}\right)=a \Phi\left(u_{\omega}\right) \in$ $H^{*}(\mathrm{MO}), u_{\omega} \in U_{0}$.
(ii) $d \theta=\theta d$
(iii) If $u \in V_{l} \subset U_{l}, \theta(u)=u$
(iv) $\theta\left(F_{q}\left(C_{l}\right)\right)=F_{q}\left(D_{l}\right)$
(v) Suppose $\lambda^{I} u_{\omega} \in U_{l}$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be the partitions

$$
\alpha=\bigcup_{r<l} 2^{r} \omega_{r}, \quad \beta=\bigcup_{r \geq l} 2^{r-l} \omega_{r}
$$

Note $u_{\omega}=u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}^{2 l}$. Then $\theta$ satisfies

$$
\theta\left(\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right)=u_{\beta} \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha} \quad \bmod \quad F_{\left|u_{\omega}\right|-1}\left(D_{l}\right)
$$

where $I^{\prime}=I+\left|u_{\beta}\right| I_{l}$.
Note that Proposition 2.5 consists of statements (i), (ii), and (iii) above.
For $l=0, \theta$ is defined by (i) and $d=0$ on $D_{0}$.
Suppose $\theta$ and $d$ have been defined on $C_{k}$ and $D_{k} k<l$, and satisfy (i)-(v). Define $d=d_{D}: D_{l} \rightarrow D_{l-1}$ to be the $A(B O)$ linear map such that for $u \in V_{l}$,
$d_{D}(u)=\theta\left(d_{C} u\right)$. We next define $\theta: C_{l} \rightarrow D_{l}$. Suppose $\lambda^{I} u_{\omega} \in U_{l}$ and $u_{\omega}=u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}^{2^{l}}$ as in (v). If $u_{\beta}=1, \lambda^{I} u_{\omega} \in V_{l}$ and we define $\theta\left(\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right)=\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}$. In this case (i) $-(\mathrm{v})$ are satisfied. Suppose $u_{\beta} \neq 1$. Let

$$
X=\theta\left(d\left(\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right)\right)+u_{\beta} \theta\left(d \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}\right)
$$

where $I^{\prime}=I+\left|u_{\beta}\right| I_{l}$. By induction, $\theta d=d \theta$ on $C_{l-1}$ and hence $\partial X=0$. We show that $X \in F_{p-1}\left(D_{l}\right)$ where $p=\left|u_{\omega}\right|$. Decompose $u_{\alpha}$ into $u_{\alpha_{1}} u_{\alpha_{2}}^{2 l-1}$ as in (v).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(d \lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right) & =\sum \lambda^{I}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{K}\right) \chi\left(S q^{i+1}\right) \theta\left(\lambda^{K} u_{\omega}\right) \\
& =\sum \lambda^{I}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{K}\right)\left(\chi\left(S q^{j+1}\right) \circ u_{\alpha_{2}} u_{\beta}^{2}\right) \lambda^{K^{\prime}} u_{\alpha_{1}} \bmod F_{p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K^{\prime}=K+\left|u_{\alpha_{2}} u_{\beta}^{2}\right| I_{l-1}$. On the other hand,

$$
u_{\beta} \theta\left(d \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}\right)=\sum \lambda^{I^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{J}\right) u_{\beta} \chi\left(S q^{j+1}\right) \theta\left(\lambda^{J} u_{\alpha}\right)
$$

In $A(B O)$,

$$
u_{\beta} \chi\left(S q^{i+1}\right)=\chi\left(S q^{i-q+1}\right) \circ u_{\beta}^{2}+\sum_{k<q} \chi\left(S q^{i-k+1}\right) \circ S q^{k} u_{\beta}
$$

where $q=\left|u_{\beta}\right|$.

$$
\theta\left(\lambda^{J} u_{\alpha}\right)=u_{\alpha_{2}} \lambda^{J^{\prime}} u_{\alpha_{1}} \bmod F_{\left|u_{\alpha}\right|-1}
$$

where $J^{\prime}=J+\left|u_{\alpha_{2}}\right| I_{l-1}$. If $u \lambda^{I} v$ has filtration less than $\left|u_{\alpha}\right|-1$ and $k<q$, $S q^{k} u_{\beta} u \lambda^{I} v$ has filtration less than $p=\left|u_{\omega}\right|$.

Hence

$$
u_{\beta} \theta\left(d \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}\right)=\sum_{j, J} \lambda^{I^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{J}\right)\left(\chi\left(S q^{j-q+1}\right) \circ u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}^{2}\right) \lambda^{J^{\prime}} u_{\alpha_{1}} \bmod F_{p-1}
$$

In the above sum, replace $j$ by $j+q$ and $J$ by $K+2 q I_{l-1}$. Then

$$
u_{\beta} \theta\left(d \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}\right)=\sum_{j, K} \lambda^{I^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{i+q} \lambda_{K+2 q I_{1-1}}\right) \chi\left(S q^{j+1}\right) \circ u_{\alpha_{2}} u_{\beta}^{2} \lambda^{K^{\prime}} u_{\alpha_{1}} \bmod F_{p-1}
$$

where $K^{\prime}=K+\left|u_{\alpha_{2}} u_{\beta}^{2}\right| I_{l-1}$. But $I^{\prime}=I+q I_{l}$ and hence by 3.2 (iii),

$$
\lambda^{I^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{i+q} \lambda_{K+2 q I_{1-1}}\right)=\lambda^{I}\left(\lambda_{j} \lambda_{K}\right)
$$

Hence $X \in F_{p-1}\left(D_{l}\right)$.

By (iv) there is a $Y \in F_{\mathrm{p}-1}\left(C_{l-1}\right)$ such that $\theta(Y)=X$ and by (i) and (ii), $d Y=0$. Hence for $l>1$, by 3.3 , there is a $Z \in F_{p-1}\left(C_{l}\right)$ such that $d Z=Y$. We verify that there is such a $Z$ for $l=1$ by showing that when $l=1, X \in \Phi\left(I_{n}\right)$. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\chi\left(S q^{i+1}\right) \Phi\left(u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}^{2}\right)+u_{\beta} \chi\left(S q^{i+q+1}\right) \Phi\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j<q} \chi\left(S q^{i+q+1-j}\right) \Phi\left(\left(S q^{i} u_{\beta}\right) u_{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2(i+1)>n-q, q=\left|u_{\beta}\right|$. But then, $2(i+q-j+1)>n-\left|\left(S q^{j} u_{\beta}\right) u_{\alpha}\right|$ and hence $X \in \Phi\left(I_{n}\right)$.

We now define $\theta\left(\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right)$ by induction on $\left|u_{\omega}\right|=$ filtration degree of $\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}$. For $\left|u_{\omega}\right|=0, \theta\left(\lambda^{I} 1\right)=\lambda^{I} 1$. If $\theta$ is defined on $F_{\left|u_{\omega}\right|-1}\left(C_{i}\right)$, let

$$
\theta\left(\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right)=u_{\beta} \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}+\theta(Z)
$$

where $Z, \alpha, \beta$, and $I^{\prime}$ are as above. Then $d \theta(Z)=\theta(d Z)=\theta(Y)=X$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \theta\left(\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right) & =d\left(u_{\beta} \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}\right)+d \theta(Z) \\
& =u_{\beta} \theta\left(d\left(\lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}\right)\right)+X=\theta\left(d\left(\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that elements of the form $u_{\beta} \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}$, as above, together with $F_{p-1}\left(D_{l}\right)$, span $F_{p}\left(D_{l}\right)$ over $A$. Thus $\theta: C_{l} \rightarrow D_{l}$ is an epimorphism. (It is at this point that we use $\lambda^{I}$ where $I$ has negative entries. For each $u_{\beta} \lambda^{I^{\prime}} u_{\alpha} \in H^{*}(B O) V_{l}$ we need $\lambda^{I} u_{\alpha} u_{\beta_{1}}^{21} \in$ $U_{l}$ such that $I^{\prime}=I+\left|u_{l}\right| I_{l}$.) Elements of the form $\lambda^{I} u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}^{2 l}$ are an $A$ basis for $C_{l}$ and elements of the form $u_{\beta} \lambda^{\lambda^{\prime}} u_{\alpha}$ are an $A$ basis for $D_{l}$. Hence $\theta: C_{l} \rightarrow D_{l}$ is an isomorphism and the proof of 2.5 is complete.

Proof of 2.6. Let $v_{i} \in H^{*}(B O)$ be the Wu classes, that is, $\Phi\left(v_{i}\right)=\chi\left(S q^{i}\right) \Phi(1)$ where $\Phi: H^{*}(B O) \rightarrow H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$ is the Thom isomorphism.

LEMMA 3.4.

$$
v_{i}=\sum s_{\omega}
$$

where the sum ranges over all $\omega$ with entries only of the form $2^{i}-1$ and $\left|s_{\omega}\right|=i$.
Proof. We view $H^{*}(B O) \subset Z_{2}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right],\left|t_{i}\right|=1$, and $t_{1} t_{2} \ldots$ as the Thom class. Let $S q=S q^{0}+S q^{1}+\cdots$ and $v=v_{0}+v_{1}+\cdots$. Then

$$
\chi(\mathrm{Sq}) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum t_{i}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right)\left(t_{1} t_{2} \cdots\right) & =\chi(S q)\left(t_{1} t_{2} \cdots\right) \\
& =\prod_{i}\left(\sum_{j} t_{i}^{2 j-1}\right)\left(t_{1} t_{2} \cdots\right)=\left(\sum_{\omega} s_{\omega}\right)\left(t_{1} t_{2} \cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum ranges over $\omega$ with entries only of the form $2^{j}-1$.
Let $x_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in A(B O)$ be given by

$$
x_{1}=\sum_{j>0} S q^{j} \circ v_{s+1-j}, \quad x_{2}=\sum S q^{j} \circ v_{s+2-j}
$$

Recall $s=[n / 2]$ and $n$ is the dimension of the manifolds we are considering. Let $y_{i} \in D_{1}$ be defined by

$$
y_{1}^{1}=x_{1} \lambda^{s}, \quad y_{2}^{1}=x_{2} \lambda^{s}, \quad y_{3}^{1}=v_{s+1} \lambda^{s+1}+v_{s+2} \lambda^{s}+x_{2} \lambda^{s}
$$

LEMMA 3.5. There are elements $y_{i}^{2} \in D_{2}$ such that $d y_{i}^{2}=y_{i}^{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{2}=\lambda^{0,0} v_{s}^{2} \bmod F_{2 s-1} \\
& y_{2}^{2}=\lambda^{0,-1} v_{s+1}^{2} \bmod F_{2 s+1} \\
& y_{3}^{2}=\lambda^{-1,-2} v_{s+2} \bmod F_{2 s+3}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $s$ is odd, there is an element $y_{2}^{3}$ such that $y_{2}^{3}=\left(S q^{1}+w_{1}\right) y_{2}^{2}$ and

$$
y_{2}^{3}=\lambda^{-1,-2,-4} w_{1}^{4} v_{s+2}^{2} \bmod F_{2 s+7}
$$

Proof. We first show that $d y_{i}^{1}=0, d: D_{1} \rightarrow D_{0}=H^{*}(\mathrm{MO})$. Let $U \in H^{0}(\mathrm{MO})$ be the Thom class.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d y_{1}^{1} & =x_{1} d \lambda^{s}=\sum S q^{j}\left(v_{s+1-j} \chi\left(S q^{s+1}\right) U\right)+v_{s+1} \chi\left(S q^{s+1}\right) U \\
& =\left(S q^{s+1} v_{s+1}\right) U+v_{s+1}^{2} U=0 \\
d y_{2}^{1} & =\sum S q^{j}\left(v_{s+2-j} \chi\left(S q^{s+1}\right) U\right) \\
& =\sum S q^{j}\left(v_{s+1} \chi\left(S q^{s+2-j}\right) U\right)=\left(S q^{s+2} v_{s+1}\right) U=0 \\
d y_{3}^{1} & =v_{s+1} \chi\left(S q^{s+2}\right) U+v_{s+2} \chi\left(S q^{s+1}\right) U+d y_{2}^{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We next show that $y_{1}^{2}$ exists. In $A \otimes \Lambda^{*}$ one may easily calculate $d \lambda^{0,0}=S q^{1} \lambda^{0}$.

Hence, by the arguments in the proof of 2.5 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \lambda^{0,0} v_{s}^{2} & =\theta\left(d \lambda^{0,0} v_{s}^{2}\right)=\theta\left(S q^{1} \lambda^{0} v_{s}^{2}\right) \\
& =S q^{1} \circ v_{s} \lambda^{s} \bmod F_{2 s-1} \\
& =\sum_{j>0} S q^{j} \circ v_{s+1-j} \lambda^{s} \bmod F_{2 s-1}=y_{1}^{1} \bmod F_{2 s-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $u=d \lambda^{0,0} v_{s}^{2}+y_{1}^{1} \in F_{2 s-1}$ and $d u=0$. Therefore there is a $z \in F_{2 s-1}\left(D_{2}\right)$ such that $d z=u$. Let $y_{1}^{2}=\lambda^{0,0} v_{s}^{2}+z$. The existence of $y_{2}^{2}, y_{3}^{2}$, and $y_{3}^{3}$ are proven in an analogous fashion.

We now define $r: A(B O) \otimes V_{l} \rightarrow A(B O) \otimes \bar{V}_{l}$. For $l \neq 2$ and $l \neq 3$, $s$ odd, $\bar{V}_{l}=V_{l}$ and $r$ is the identity; $\bar{V}_{l} \subset V_{l}$ and $r \mid A(B O) \otimes \bar{V}_{l}$ is the identity. $\bar{V}_{2}$ is formed from $V_{2}$ by omitting the basis elements $\lambda^{0,0} w_{s}^{2}, \lambda^{0,-1} w_{s+1}^{2}$ and $\lambda^{-1,-2} w_{s+2}^{2}$. By 3.4, $v_{i}$ involves $w_{i}=s_{(1,1, \ldots, 1)}$ when $v_{i}$ is expressed in the $u_{\omega}$ basis. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r\left(\lambda^{0,0} w_{s}^{2}\right)=y_{1}^{2}-\lambda^{0,0} w_{s}^{2} \\
& r\left(\lambda^{0,-1} w_{s+1}^{2}\right)=y_{2}^{2}-\lambda^{0,-1} w_{s+1}^{2} \\
& r\left(\lambda^{-1,-2} w_{s+2}^{2}\right)=y_{2}^{3}-\lambda^{-1,-2} w_{s+2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $r$ on $A(B O) \otimes V_{3}$ analogously. Then $r\left(y_{i}^{2}\right)=r\left(y_{2}^{3}\right)=0$.
We conclude this section with an algebraic lemma about the $y_{j}^{i \text { 's. }}$. Let $L_{l} \subset$ $A(B O) \otimes V_{l}$ be defined as follows: $L_{l}=0$ for $l=0, l=3$ and $s$ even, and $l>3$.

$$
L_{1}=A(B O)\left(\left\{y_{i}^{1}\right\}+S_{1}\right)
$$

where $S_{1}=\left\{v_{3} S q^{2} \lambda^{2}\right\}$ when $s=2$ and $S_{1}=0$ for $s \neq 2$.

$$
L_{2}=A(B O)\left(\left\{y_{i}^{2}\right\}+S\right)
$$

where $S_{2}=\left\{v_{3} \lambda^{1,2}\right\}$ when $s=2$ and $S_{2}=0, s \neq 2$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{3}=A(B O)\left\{y_{3}^{2}\right\} \\
\left(d\left(v_{3} \lambda^{1,2}\right)=v_{3} S q^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

LEMMA 3.6. $d\left(L_{l}\right) \subset L_{l-1}, r\left(L_{l}\right)=0$ for $l>1$ and the sequence

$$
\longrightarrow L_{l} \xrightarrow{d} L_{l-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow L_{0}
$$

is exact at $L_{l}^{a}$ for all $l$ and $q \leqq 2 s+2$.

Proof. The first part of 3.6 is clear from the definition of $L_{l}$. One easily checks that if $x \in A(B O),|x| \leqq 1$ and $d\left(x y_{2}^{3}\right)=0$, then $x=0$ and therefore $d: L_{3}^{q} \rightarrow L_{2}^{q+1}$ is an injection for $q \leqq 2 s+2$. $d: L_{2} \rightarrow L_{1}$ is clearly onto. To check exactness at $L_{2}^{q}$, $q \leqq 2 s+2$ one must verify that if $y=x_{1} y_{1}^{1}+x_{2} y_{2}^{1}+x_{3} y_{3}^{1}+x_{4} v_{3} S q^{2} \lambda^{2}=0, x_{i} \in$ $A(B O)$ and $|y| \leqq 2 s+3$, then $x_{1}=x_{3}=x_{4}=0$ and $x_{2}=0$ or $s$ is odd and $x_{2}=$ $S q^{1}+w_{1}$. This is a tedious but straightforward calculation, made somewhat simpler by the following observation. Let

$$
F: A(B O) \otimes\left\{\lambda^{s}\right\} \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathrm{MO} \wedge K\left(Z_{2}, N\right)\right)
$$

be given by

$$
F\left(a \circ u \lambda^{s}\right)=a\left(u \chi\left(S q^{s+1}\right) U \otimes \iota_{N}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(y_{1}^{1}\right)=v_{s+1} U \otimes \iota_{N}+U \otimes S q^{s+1} \iota_{N} \\
& F\left(y_{2}^{1}\right)=U \otimes S q^{s+2} \iota_{N} \\
& F\left(v_{3} S q^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)=v_{3}^{2} U \otimes S q^{2} \iota_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

We leave the details to the reader.

## §4. Proofs of 2.4 and 2.8

Let $\left\{\mathrm{A} \otimes \Lambda_{l}^{k}, d\right\}$ be the chain complex described in Proposition 3.2.

PROPOSITION 4.1. For each integer $k$, there are $\Omega$-spectra $Y_{l}=Y_{l}(k)$ and maps $\rho_{l}=\rho_{l}(k): Y_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(\Lambda_{l}^{k}\right)$ of degree one, $l=0,1,2, \ldots$ such that
(i) $Y_{0}=K\left(\Lambda_{0}^{k}\right)$. $Y_{l}$ is a fibration over $Y_{l-1}$ induced by $\rho_{l}$ from the contractible fibration over $K\left(\Lambda_{l}^{k}\right)$.
(ii) If $i: K\left(\Lambda_{l-1}^{k}\right) \rightarrow Y_{l-1}$ is the inclusion of the fibre,

$$
\left(\rho_{l} i\right)^{*}=d: A \otimes \Lambda_{l}^{k} \rightarrow A \otimes \Lambda_{l-1}^{k}
$$

where $d$ is as in 3.2.
(iii) If M is a smooth, compact $n$-manifold and $\nu$ is its normal bundle, then

$$
\left[T(\nu), Y_{l}\right]_{p} \rightarrow\left[T(\nu), Y_{l-1}\right]_{p}
$$

is an epimorphism for $p<2 k+2$.
(iv) Suppose $k=0$ Let $I(l, 0)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ have length $l$.

$$
\rho_{l}^{*} \lambda^{I(l, 0)}=\delta_{l} \tilde{l}
$$

where $\iota \in H^{0}\left(Y_{l-1} ; Z_{2 l}\right)$, in reduced modulo two is the generator $\iota \in H^{0}\left(Y_{l-1}\right) \approx Z_{2}$ and $\delta_{l}$ is the Bockstein associated to $Z_{2} \rightarrow Z_{2 l+1} \rightarrow Z_{2 l}$.

Proof. For $k \geqq 0,4.1(i)$, (ii), and (iii) were proved in [5]. For $k<0,\left\{A \otimes \Lambda_{l}^{k}, d\right\}$ is a free acyclic resolution of the zero $A$ module so that the existence of $Y_{l}$ and $\rho_{l}$ easily follow by induction on $l$. If M is as in (iii), $v: T(\nu) \rightarrow Y_{l-1}$ has degree $p$, $p<2 k+2$ and $k<0$, then $\left|\left(\rho_{l} v\right)^{*}\left(\lambda^{I}\right)\right|>n$ and (iii) follows.

Finally we prove (iv). The formula for $d$ in 3.1 shows that $d \lambda^{I(l, 0)}=S q^{1} \lambda^{I(l-1,0)}$ The complex,

$$
\longrightarrow A \otimes\left\{\lambda^{I(l, 0)}\right\} \xrightarrow{d} A \otimes\left\{\lambda^{I(I-1,0)}\right\} \longrightarrow \cdots A \otimes\left\{\lambda^{I(0,0)}\right\}
$$

is realized by the tower

$$
\rightarrow K\left(Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow K\left(Z_{2} l-1\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

with $k$-invariants, $\delta_{l}: K\left(Z_{2 l}\right) \rightarrow K\left(Z_{2}\right)$. Except for $\lambda^{I(l, 0)}$, the generators of $\Lambda_{l}^{0}$ have dimension $>0$ and hence kill classes of dimension $>1$. Thus $Y_{1}=K\left(Z_{2 l+1}\right)$ in dimensions $\leqq 1$. Therefore (iv) holds.

Proof of 2.4: We wish to realize the complex $\left\{A \otimes U_{l}, d\right\}$ by a tower of spectra, $X_{l}$. Let $Y_{l}(k)$ and $\rho_{l}(k)$ be as in 4.1. For a spectrum $Z$, let $S Z$ denote the shift suspension, i.e., $(S Z)_{q}=Z_{a+1}$. Define $X_{l}$ and $\alpha_{l}: X_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(Y_{l}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{l}=\prod_{u_{\omega} \in U_{0}} S^{\left|u_{\omega}\right|} Y_{l}\left(\left[\left(n-\left|u_{\omega}\right|\right) / 2\right]\right) \\
& \alpha_{l}=\prod S^{\left|u_{\omega}\right|} \rho_{l}\left(\left[\left(n-\left|u_{\omega}\right|\right) / 2\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $\alpha_{l}$ takes $X_{l-1}$ into $K\left(U_{l}\right)$ since

$$
\prod s^{k} K\left(\Lambda_{i}^{k}\right)=K\left(U_{l}\right)
$$

where $k$ ranges over $\left[\left(n-\left|u_{\omega}\right| / 2\right],\left|u_{\omega}\right| \in U_{0}\right.$. Proposition 2.4 now follows directly from 4.1.

Proof of 2.8: Using induction on $l$, one easily proves that if $I$ is admissible and $l=l(I)$,

$$
\left|\lambda^{I}\right| \geqq 2 t(I)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{l}}\right)
$$

Suppose $l \geqq n$ and $\lambda^{I} u_{\omega} \in U_{l}$. Then $2(t(I)+1)>n-\left|u_{\omega}\right|$. Therefore

$$
\left|\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right| \geqq 2 t(I)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{l}}\right)+\left|u_{\omega}\right| \geqq n-1-\frac{n-\left|u_{\omega}\right|-1}{2^{l}}>n-2
$$

Also if $\left|u_{\omega}\right|>n-1,\left|\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right|>n-1$. If $\left|u_{\omega}\right|<n-1, t(I) \geqq 1$ and hence $\left|\lambda^{I}\right| \geqq l \geqq n$. Therefore $U_{l}^{q}=0$ for $q<n-1$ and $U_{l}^{n-1}=\left\{\lambda^{I(l, 0)} u_{\omega} \mid u_{\omega} \in U_{0}^{n-1}\right\}$ since $\lambda^{I(l, 0)}$ is the only $\lambda^{I}$ with $t(I) \geq 0$ and $\left|\lambda^{I}\right|=0$. If $r>l$ and $\omega_{r} \neq\{ \},\left|u_{\omega}\right| \geq\left|u_{\omega_{r}}^{2^{r}}\right| \geq 2^{r}>n$. Hence $V_{l}^{q}=U_{l}^{q}$ for $q \leqq n-1$.

By the definition of $\beta_{l}: B_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(V_{l}\right)$,

$$
\Phi\left(\beta_{l}^{*}\left(\lambda^{I(l, 0)} u_{\omega}\right)\right)=f_{l-1}^{*} \alpha_{l}^{*}\left(\lambda^{I(l, 0)} u_{\omega}\right)
$$

By 4.1(iv) $\alpha_{\imath}^{*}\left(\lambda^{I(l, 0)} u_{\omega}\right)=\delta_{\imath} \tilde{\imath}$ where $\tilde{\imath} \in H^{*}\left(X_{l-1} ; Z_{2^{\imath}}\right)$ comes from the factor of $X_{l-1}, Y\left(\left[n-\left|u_{\omega}\right| / 2\right]\right)$. Since the diagram

commutes, $\tilde{u}=f_{0-1}^{*} \tilde{\imath}$ reduced modulo two is $p_{1}^{*} f_{0}^{*} u_{\omega}=p_{1}^{*} u_{\omega} U_{0}=u_{\omega} U_{l-1}$, where $U_{l}$ is the Thom class of $T_{l}$ and the proof of 2.8 is complete.

## §5. Proof of 2.7

If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are graded groups and $h: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ is a homomorphism of degree $i$, we will say that $h$ is $k$ connected if $h: G_{1}^{a} \rightarrow G_{2}^{a+i}$ is an epimorphism for $q<k$ and a monomorphism if $q \leqq k$. We will say that a sequence of graded groups and homorphisms,

$$
\cdots \rightarrow G_{l} \rightarrow G_{l-1} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

is $k$-exact if

$$
G_{l+1}^{a-i} \rightarrow G_{l}^{a} \rightarrow G_{l-1}^{a+j}
$$

is exact for all $l$ and $q \leqq k$.
In $\S 3$ we constructed isomorphisms $\theta: A \otimes U_{l} \rightarrow A(B O) \otimes V_{l}$ and a subcomplex $\left\{L_{l}, d\right\} \subset\left\{A(B O) \otimes V_{l}, d\right\}$ such that

$$
\longrightarrow L_{l} \xrightarrow{d} L_{l-1} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \longrightarrow L_{0}=0
$$

is $2 s+2$ exact, $s=[n / 2]$. In $\S 4$ we constructed a tower of fibrations $\rightarrow X_{l} \rightarrow$ $X_{l-1} \rightarrow$ with $k$-invariants $\alpha_{l}: X_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(U_{l}\right)$ associated to the complex $\left\{A \otimes U_{l}, d\right\}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{H}^{*}\left(K\left(U_{l}\right)\right)=H^{*}\left(K\left(U_{l}\right)\right) / \theta^{-1}\left(L_{l}\right) \\
& \bar{H}^{*}\left(X_{l}\right)=H^{*}\left(X_{l}\right) / \alpha_{l-1}^{*} \theta^{-1}\left(L_{l-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## LEMMA 5.1: The maps

$$
K\left(U_{l}\right) \xrightarrow{i} X_{l} \xrightarrow{p} X_{l-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{l}} K\left(U_{l}\right)
$$

induce a $2 s+2$-exact sequence

$$
\rightarrow \bar{H}^{*}(K(U)) \rightarrow \bar{H}^{*}\left(X_{l-1}\right) \rightarrow \bar{H}^{*}\left(X_{l}\right) \rightarrow
$$

Proof: Let $E_{l}$ be the kernel of

$$
H^{*}\left(X_{l}\right) \rightarrow \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} H^{*}\left(X_{k}\right)
$$

Then $H^{*}\left(X_{l}\right) \approx H^{*}(\mathrm{MO}) / \Phi\left(I_{n}\right) \oplus E_{l}$ and $E_{l}$ and $A \otimes U_{l}$ are related by the diagram

where the $\bar{\alpha}_{l}$ and $\bar{i}_{l}$ are defined by $\alpha_{l}^{*}$ and $i_{l}^{*}$ and each pair of composable arrows is exact. Dividing $A \otimes U_{l}$ and $E_{l-1}$ by $\theta^{-1}\left(L_{l}\right)$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{l} \theta^{-1}\left(L_{l-1}\right)$, respectively, produces the same type of diagram with exactness replaced by $2 s+2$-exactness. The desired result then follows.

In §2 we defined maps

$$
g_{l}^{\prime}: K\left(U_{l}\right) \rightarrow T_{l-1} / T_{l}^{\prime}
$$

In §6 we prove:
LEMMA 5.2. The map $g_{1}^{\prime}$ induces a $2 s+2$-connected map

$$
F_{l}: \bar{H}^{*}\left(K\left(U_{l}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l-1} / T_{l}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $l \geqq 1$.
Proof of 2.7: We first prove 2.7(ii). Suppose $M$ is a smooth $n$-manifold, $h: M \rightarrow B_{0}=B O$ classifies $\nu$, the normal bundle of $M$ and $\tilde{h}: M \rightarrow B_{l-1}$ is a lifting of $h$. Let $T(\tilde{h}): T(\nu) \rightarrow T_{l-1}$ denote the associated Thom space map. Then $f_{l-1} T(\tilde{h}): T(\nu) \rightarrow X_{l-1}$ is a lifting of $f_{0} T(h): T(\nu) \rightarrow X_{0}$ and hence by 2.4(iv), $f_{l-1} T(\tilde{h})$ lifts to $X_{l}$ and therefore $\alpha_{l} f_{l-1} T(\tilde{h})=0$. Thus for $v \in \bar{V}_{l}$

$$
\Phi h^{*} \beta_{l}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right)=T(\tilde{h})^{*} \Phi\left(\beta_{l}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right)\right)=T(\tilde{h})^{*} f_{l-1}^{*} \alpha_{l}^{*}(v)=0
$$

Thus $\beta_{l} \tilde{h}=0$ and $\tilde{h}$ lifts to $h^{\prime}: M \rightarrow B_{l}^{\prime}$
If $u \in U_{l+1}, \bar{u}=\{u\} \in W_{l+1}=U_{l+1} /$ ker $\Delta$ and $\nu \theta(u)=\sum x_{i} u_{i}, x_{i} \in A(B O)$ and $u_{i} \in V_{l+1}$, then

$$
\Phi\left(\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{*} \gamma_{l}^{*}\left(\bar{u}_{1}\right)\right)=T\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{*} \Phi\left(\gamma_{l}^{*} \bar{u}_{1}\right)=T\left(h^{\prime}\right) \Delta(u)
$$

Recall,

$$
\Delta(u)=\left(f_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \alpha_{l+1}^{*} u-\sum x_{i}\left(f_{\imath+1}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \alpha^{*} u_{i}
$$

But $T\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is $A(B O)$ linear and $\alpha_{l+1} f^{\prime} T\left(h^{\prime}\right)=0$ as above. Thus $T\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{*} \Delta(u)=0$ and hence $\gamma_{l} h^{\prime}=0$. Therefore $h^{\prime}$ lifts to $B_{l}$ and the proof of 2.7(ii) is complete. We note for further reference:

LEMMA 5.3: $T\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{*} \Delta(u)=0$ for $u \in U_{l+1}$.
LEMMA 5.4. If $\delta^{*}: H^{*}\left(T_{i}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l-1} / T_{i}^{\prime}\right), \delta^{*} \Delta(u)=0$ for $u \in U_{l+1}$.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram:


Recall, $g_{0}^{\prime}$ realizes $\Psi r \theta, \quad i^{*} \alpha_{l+1}^{*}=d$ and $\Psi, r$, and $d: A(B O) \otimes V_{l-1} \rightarrow$ $A(B O) \otimes V_{l-1}$ are $A(B O)$ linear. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{*} \Delta(u) & =\delta^{*}\left(\left(f_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \alpha_{\alpha+1}^{*} u+\sum x_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{*} \alpha_{l+1}^{*} u_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(g_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*} i^{*} \alpha_{l+1}^{*} u+\sum x_{i}\left(g_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*} i^{*} \alpha_{l+1}^{*} u_{i} \\
& =\Psi r \theta d u+\sum x_{i} \Psi r \theta d u_{i}=\Psi r d \theta u+\sum \Psi r d x_{i} \theta\left(u_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r \theta(u)=\sum x_{i} u_{i}, x_{i} \in A(B O)$ and $u_{i} \in V_{l+1}$. But for $v \in V_{l+1}, \theta(v)=v$. Thus

$$
\sum x_{i} \theta\left(u_{i}\right)=\sum x_{i} u_{i}=r \theta u=\theta u+z
$$

where $\quad z \in L_{l+1}$. Furthermore $d z \in L_{l}$. Hence $\delta^{*} \Delta(u)=\Psi r d z=\Psi r \theta \theta^{-1} d z=$ $\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{*} \theta^{-1} d z$.

But by 5.2, $\theta^{-1}\left(L_{l}\right)$ is the kernel of $\left(g_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$.
We now prove that $f_{l}$ induces a $2 s+2$-connected map $\bar{f}_{l}: \bar{H}\left(X_{l}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l}\right)$ by induction on $l \geqq 0$. We first show that $\bar{f}_{l}$ is well defined.

$$
\bar{H}^{*}\left(X_{l}\right)=H^{*}\left(X_{l}\right) / \alpha_{l+1}^{*}\left(\theta^{-1}\left(L_{l+1}\right)\right)
$$

From the commutative diagram:

we see that

$$
f_{l}^{*} \alpha_{l+1}^{*}\left(\theta^{-1}\left(L_{l+1}\right)\right)=j^{*}\left(g_{+1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(\theta^{-1}\left(L_{l+1}\right)\right)
$$

By 5.2, $\theta^{-1}\left(L_{l+1}\right)$ is in the kernel of $\left(g_{1+1}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$.

Since $f_{0}^{*}$ is an isomorphism, $\bar{f}_{0}=f_{0}^{*}$ and $\bar{f}_{0}$ is an isomorphism.
Suppose $\bar{f}_{l-1}$ is $2 s+2$ connected. If $u \in U_{l+1}, \Delta(u) \in H^{q}\left(T_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ pulls back to $H^{a}\left(T_{l-1}\right)$ since, by $5.4, \delta^{*} \Delta(u)=0$ and it pulls back to $H^{q}\left(X_{l-1}\right)$ if $q<2 s+2$, that is, if $|u|<2 s+1, \Delta(u)=\left(f_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*} p^{*} x$ where $p: X_{l} \rightarrow X_{l-1}$. But since the $X_{l}$ 's are constructed from an acyclic complex, image $p^{*}=$ image $\left(H^{*}\left(X_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(X_{l}\right)\right)$. Therefore image $\left(f_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*} p^{*}=$ image $\left(H^{*}\left(T_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right)=H^{*}(M O) / \Phi\left(I_{n}\right)$. But by 5.3, $\Delta(u)$ is zero on all $n$-manifolds. Hence $\Delta(u)=0$ and we have shown that $W_{l+1}^{q}=\left(U_{l+1} / \operatorname{ker} \Delta\right)^{q}=0$ for $q<2 s+1$. Therefore $H^{a}\left(B_{l}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{a}\left(B_{l}\right)$ is an isomorphism for $q \leqq 2 s+2$ since $B_{l}$ is a fibration over $B_{i-1}^{\prime}$ induced by $\gamma_{l}: B_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $K\left(W_{l+1}\right)_{1}$. Then $H^{q}\left(T_{l}^{\prime} / T_{l}\right)=H^{a}\left(B_{l}^{\prime}, B_{l}\right)=0$ for $q<2 s+2$ and hence

$$
H^{*}\left(T_{l-1} / T_{l}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l-1} / T_{l}\right)
$$

is $(2 s+2)$-connected. Let $g_{l}$ be the composition

$$
T_{l-1} / T_{l} \longrightarrow T_{l-1} / T_{l}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{8_{l}} K\left(U_{l}\right)
$$

and let $\bar{g}_{l}: \bar{H}^{*}\left(K\left(U_{l}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(T_{l-1} / T_{l}\right)$ be induced by $g_{l}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{g}}_{l}$ is $(2 s+2)$ connected by 5.2. Consider the commutative diagram:


A five lemma argument and the fact that $\bar{f}_{l-1}$ and $\bar{g}_{l}$ are $(2 s+2)$-connected shows that $\bar{f}_{l}$ is $2 s+2$-connected.

Since $L_{l}=0$ for $l>3, \quad \bar{H}^{*}\left(X_{l}\right)=H^{*}\left(X_{l}\right) \quad$ for $\quad l \geqq 3$ and therefore $f_{l}^{*}: H^{q}\left(X_{l}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(T_{l}\right)$ is an isomorphism for $q \leqq n<2 s+2$. This completes the proof of 2.7.

## §6. Proof of 5.2

## LEMMA 6.1.

$$
H^{a}\left(B_{l-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(B_{l}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism for $l>1$ and $q \leqq s+1$. For $l=1$ it is an epimorphism for $q \leqq s+1$ and $v_{s+1}, w_{1} v_{s+1}, S q^{1} v_{s+1}$ and $v_{s+2}$ generate the kernel for $q \leqq s+2$.

Proof. As we saw in the proof of 2.8 , if $\lambda^{I} u_{\omega} \in V_{l}, \quad\left|\lambda^{I} u_{\omega}\right| \geqq$ $(n-1)-\left(n-\left|u_{\omega}\right|-1\right) / 2^{l}$. Hence the lowest dimensional element in $V_{l}$ is of the form $\lambda^{I}$ with $t(I)=s$. For such an $I,\left|\lambda^{I}\right| \geqq s+2$ except for $l=1$ or $l=2$ and $s=1$ and 2. The space $B_{l}^{\prime}$ is a fibration over $B_{l-1}$ induced by $\beta_{l}: B_{l-1} \rightarrow K\left(V_{l}\right)_{1}$ and for $l>1, K\left(V_{l}\right)_{1}$ is $s+2$ connected except when $l=2$ and $s=1$ or 2 . For $s=1$ or 2 , the lowest dimensional elements in $V_{2}$ are $\lambda^{1,1}$ and $\lambda^{1,2}$ respectively; $d \lambda^{1,1} \neq 0$ and $d \lambda^{1,2} \neq 0$ so these elements kill nonzero classes in $B_{1}$. Thus for $l>1, H^{q}\left(B_{l-1}\right) \approx$ $H^{q}\left(B_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ for $q \leqq s+1$.

Suppose $l=1$. From 3.1 one sees that $d \lambda^{i}=\chi\left(\operatorname{Sq}^{i+1}\right) U=\Phi\left(v_{i+1}\right)$ where $U$ is the Thom class and $v_{i+1}$ is the Wu class. Hence $\beta_{1}: B_{0} \rightarrow K\left(V_{1}\right)_{1}$ takes $\lambda^{i}$ into $v_{i+1}$. One easily checks that $V_{1}^{q}=0$ for $q<s, V_{1}^{s}=\left\{\lambda^{s}\right\}$ and $V_{1}^{s+1}=\left\{\lambda^{s+1}\right\}$. The remainder of 6.1 now follows by a simple Serre spectral sequence argument.

Let $K_{l}=K\left(V_{l}\right)_{1}$. Viewing $\beta_{l}: B_{l-1} \rightarrow K_{l}$ as a fibre map with fibre $B_{l}^{\prime}$, consider the pair of fibrations $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ :

where $p_{1}$ is defined by $\beta_{l}, p_{2}$ is projection on the second factor and $c=i d \times p$. Note $c$ is a fibre preserving map so we may use it to compare the Serre spectral sequences of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$.

LEMMA 6.2. For $l>1, c^{*}: H^{q}\left(B_{l-1} \times K_{l}, B_{l-1} \times\left\{{ }^{*}\right\}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(B_{l-1}, B_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism for $q \leqq 2 s+3$. For $l=1, c^{*}$ is an epimorphism for $q \leqq 2 s+2$ and for $q \leqq 2 s+3$ the kernel is generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{s+1} \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}+1 \otimes\left(\lambda_{1}^{s}\right)^{2} \\
& v_{s+1} \otimes S q^{1} \lambda_{1}^{s}+1 \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s} S q^{1} \lambda_{1}^{s} \\
& v_{s+1} \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s+1}+1 \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s} \lambda_{1}^{s+1} \\
& w_{1} v_{s+1} \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}+w_{1} \otimes\left(\lambda_{1}^{s}\right)^{2} \\
& S q^{1} v_{s+1} \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}+1 \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s} S q^{1} \lambda_{1}^{s} \\
& v_{s+2} \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}+1 \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s} \lambda_{1}^{s+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $E_{r}^{p, q}$ and $\bar{E}_{r}^{p, q}$ denote the Serre spectral sequences for $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{2}^{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}=H^{\mathrm{p}}\left(K_{l},{ }^{*}\right) \otimes H^{q}\left(B_{l-1}\right) \\
& \bar{E}_{2}^{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}=H^{p}\left(K_{l}, *\right) \otimes H^{q}\left(B^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As we saw above, for $l>1, K_{l}$ is $s+2$ connected and $H^{q}\left(B_{l-1}\right) \approx H^{q}\left(B_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ for $q \leqq s+1$. Therefore $c$ induces an isomorphism at the $E_{2}$ level for $p+q \leqq 2 s+3$ and the differentials are trivial for $p_{2}$ because it is a product fibration. This proves 6.2 for $l>1$.

For $l=1,6.2$ is true at the $E_{2}$ level with the first summands in the above list of elements as a basis for the kernel; the second summands are of lower filtration. The same is true at the $E_{\infty}$ level, so to complete the proof, we must show that these elements are in the kernel of $c^{*}$.

Under the map $H^{*}\left(B_{0}, B_{1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(B_{0}\right), c^{*}\left(1 \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}\right)$ goes to $v_{s+1}$. Hence

$$
c^{*}\left(v_{s+1} \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}+1 \otimes\left(\lambda_{1}^{s}\right)^{2}\right)=v_{s+1} c^{*}\left(1 \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}\right)+c^{*}\left(1 \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}\right)^{2}=0
$$

(If $j: X \subset(X, A)$ and $x \in H^{*}(X, A), x^{2}=\left(j^{*} x\right) x$.) The same argument applies to the other five elements.

Let

$$
\phi:\left(A(B O) \otimes \bar{V}_{l}\right)^{q} \rightarrow H^{q+1}\left(T_{l-1} \wedge K_{l}\right)
$$

be defined by

$$
\phi((a \otimes w) u)=a\left(w U \otimes u_{1}\right)
$$

where $U$ is the Thom class, $a \in A, w \in H^{*}(B O)$ and $u \in \bar{V}_{l}$.
LEMMA 6.3. For $q \leq 2 s+1, \phi$ is an epimorphism. For $q \leq 2 s+2$ the kernel of $\phi$ is zero for $l>1$ and $(l, s) \neq(2,2)$, is $\left\{v_{3} \lambda^{1,2}\right\}$ for $(l, s)=(2,2)$ and is $\left\{\left(\sum S q^{i} \circ v_{s+2-i}\right) \lambda^{s}\right\}$ for $l=1$.

Proof. Let $\mu, \mu^{\prime}: A(B O) \rightarrow A(B O)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(a \circ w)=\sum a_{i}^{\prime} \circ w s\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \mu^{\prime}(a \circ w)=\sum a_{i}^{\prime} \circ w \chi\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(Recall, wa is defined by (wa/U= $\boldsymbol{w}(a)(w U)$.)
Where $a \rightarrow \sum a_{i}^{\prime} \otimes a_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ in the diagonal in $A$. Then $\mu \mu^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime} \mu=$ identity and thus $\mu$ is a $Z_{2}$-isomorphism. Let $\phi^{\prime}=\phi(\mu \otimes i d)$. Then

$$
\phi^{\prime}((a \circ w) u)=\sum a_{i}^{\prime}\left(\chi\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)(w U) \otimes u_{1}\right)=w U \otimes a u_{1}
$$

Let $\lambda^{I}$ be the lowest dimensional element in $\bar{V}_{l} ;\left|\lambda^{I}\right|>s$ for $l=1$. The lowest
dimensional element in $H^{*}\left(T_{l-1} \wedge K_{l}\right)$ not in the image of $\phi^{\prime}$ is $U \otimes\left(\lambda_{1}^{I} \cup S q^{i} \lambda_{1}^{I}\right)$, an element of dimension $\geqq 2 s+3$. Hence $\phi$ is an epimorphism for $q<2 s+2$. The lowest dimensional elements in the kernel of $\phi^{\prime}$ are $1 \circ v_{s+1} \lambda_{1}^{I}$ or $\left(S q^{m} \circ 1\right) \lambda_{1}^{I}$ where $m=\left|\lambda_{1}^{I}\right|+1$. For $l>2,(l, s) \neq(2,2), \lambda_{1}^{I}>s+1$ and hence these elements occur in dimensions $>2 s+3$. For $(l, s)=(2,2), \phi\left(v_{3} \lambda^{1,2}\right)=\phi^{\prime}\left(v_{3} \lambda^{1,2}\right)=0$. For $l=1$

$$
0=\phi^{\prime}\left(\left(S q^{s+2} \circ 1\right) \lambda^{s}\right)=\phi\left(\left(\sum S q^{i} \circ v_{s+2-i}\right) \lambda^{s}\right)
$$

This proves the last part of 6.3.
Proof of 5.2: We must show that

$$
\left(g_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*}=\Psi r \theta:\left(A \otimes U_{l}\right)^{q} \rightarrow H^{q+1}\left(T_{l-1} / T_{l}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is an epimorphism for $q \leqq 2 s+2$ and $\left(L_{l}\right)^{q}$ is the kernel for $q \leqq 2 s+2$. By $2.5, \theta$ is an isomorphism. Let $\phi$ be the map in 6.3 and $c$ the map in 6.2. Lifting $c$ to the Thom space level we obtain a map

$$
T(c): T_{l-1} / T_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow T_{l-1} \wedge K_{l}
$$

Furthermore $\Psi=T(c)^{*}$. Thus by 6.2 and $6.3, \Psi$ is an epimorphism for $q \leq 2 s+1$ and since $r$ is an epimorphism, $\left(g_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is an epimorphism for $q \leq 2 s+1$. For $l>1$ and $(l, s) \neq(2,2), T(c)^{*}$ and $\phi$ are monomorphisms for $q \leq 2 s+2$ and $L_{l}^{q}$ is the kernel of $r$. When $(l, s)=(2,2) r\left(L_{l}\right)=\left\{v_{3} \lambda^{1,2}\right\}$. This completes the proof of 5.2 for $l>1$.

Suppose $l=1$. Then $r=$ identity. We wish to show that $L_{1}=\phi^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ker} T(c)^{*}\right)$. In 6.2 a basis for ker $c^{*}$ was given for $q \leq 2 s+2$. Since image $\phi=$ image $\phi^{\prime}$ cannot involve cup products (except squares) in $H^{*}\left(K_{l}\right)$, the above basis shows that the following is a basis for image $\phi \cap \operatorname{ker} T(c)^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{s+1} U \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}+U \otimes S q^{s+1} \lambda_{1}^{s} \\
& w_{1} v_{s+1} U \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}+w_{1} U \otimes S q^{s+1} \lambda_{1}^{s} \\
& v_{s+1} U \otimes S q^{1} \lambda_{1}^{s}+\left(S q^{1} v_{s+1}\right) U \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s} \\
& v_{s+1} U \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s+2}+v_{s+2} U \otimes \lambda_{1}^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus a basis for $\phi^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ker} c^{*}\right)$ is $\phi^{-1}$ of these elements and $\left(\sum S q^{i} \circ v_{s+2-i}\right) \lambda^{s}$ from the kernel of $\phi$. A simple calculation shows that these elements form a basis for $L_{1}^{q}, q \leq 2 s+2$, completing the proof of 5.2.
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