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# Classification of simple knots by Levine pairings 

by Sadayoshi Kojima

## §1. Introduction

An $n$-knot will be a smooth oriented submanifold $K$ of $S^{n+2}$, where $K$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$. By the Alexander duality theorem, the complement $X=$ $S^{n+2}-K$ is a homology circle. Abelianization defines an epimorphism $\varepsilon: \pi_{1}(X) \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{Z}$, and the covering space $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ associated to $\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon \subset \pi_{1}(X)$ is the universal abelian covering of $X$. The group of covering translations of $\tilde{X}$ is infinite cyclic, generated by $t$, and this defines a unique module structure on $H_{q}(\tilde{X}: \mathbf{Z})$ over the ring $\Lambda=\mathbf{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$. We will use the notation $A_{q}=H_{q}(\tilde{X})$ and refer to it as the $q$-th Alexander module of $K$.

An $n$-knot $K$ is simple if $\tilde{X}$ is [( $n-1) / 2]$-connected. In this paper, we shall study a classification of some simple knots. If $n=2 q-1$, there is a linking form $\langle\rangle:, A_{q} \times A_{q}-Q(\Lambda) / \Lambda$ satisfying the $(-1)^{q+1}$-Hermitian property, where $Q(\Lambda)$ is the quotient field of $\Lambda$. Moreover the isotopy class of the knot $K$ is completely determined by $\left(A_{q},\langle\rangle,\right)$ when $K$ is simple, [K1], [L2], [T]. In case $n=2 q$, $J$. Levine has defined a more obscure linking form [,] on the $\mathbf{Z}$-torsion submodule $T_{q}$ of $A_{q}$ with values in $\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$, satisfying the $(-1)^{\mathbf{q + 1}}$-symmetric property. A simple $2 q$-knot $K$ is odd-finite if $\pi_{q}(X)$ is 2 -torsion free and finite. The main result is

THEOREM 1. If $K_{0}, K_{1}$ are odd finite simple $2 q$-knots with isometric Levine pairings and $q \geq 4$, then $K_{0}$ is isotopic to $K_{1}$.

Remark 1. A consequence of $[B \& L]$ is that a simple $2 q-\mathrm{knot}$ is fibered if and only if $\pi_{q}(X)$ is finitely generated. In particular, any finite simple knot is fibered.

Remark 2. Some results are known about the classification of evendimensional simple knots, [K2], [Ko]. They say that the isotopy class of a knot is in general not determined by the pairing structure on the Alexander module if $\pi_{q}(X)$ is infinite.

In §4, we shall state some geometrical properties of knots in case that $\pi_{q}(X)$ is finitely generated and 2-torsion free. Classification in Theorem 2 is established by summing up Theorem 1 and [Ko].

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor J. Levine for introducing him to this problem with a letter. He also would like to thank Professor M. Kato and Professor C. Kearton for many useful comments.

## §2. Levine pairings

We recall the definition of the Levine pairing, [L3], [L4]. The duality theorem of [ $M$ ] implies the isomorphism of right $\Lambda$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}_{q}(\tilde{X}) \approx H_{e}^{n+2-q}(\tilde{X}, \partial \tilde{X}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{H}_{q}(\tilde{X})$ denotes the right $\Lambda$-module defined from the original left $\Lambda$ structure by the usual means. $H_{e}^{*}(\tilde{X}, \partial \tilde{X})$ is the homology of the cochain complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(C_{*}(\tilde{X}, \partial \tilde{X}), \Lambda\right)$. Then there is an exact sequence for $0 \leq q \leq n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{2}\left(A_{n-q}, \Lambda\right) \rightarrow \bar{A}_{q} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{1}\left(A_{n+1-q}, \Lambda\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from the isomorphism (1), the trivial nature of $\partial \tilde{X}$, the universal coefficient spectral sequence, the homological dimension of $\Lambda$, and the fact that $A_{q}$ is a $\Lambda$-torsion module. For an Alexander module $A_{i}, \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{2}\left(A_{i}, \Lambda\right)$ is a $\mathbf{Z}$-torsion module and depends only on $T_{i}$, while $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{1}\left(A_{i}, \Lambda\right)$ is $\mathbf{Z}$-torsion free and depends only on $A_{i} / T_{i}$; therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}_{q} \approx \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{2}\left(T_{n-q}, \Lambda\right) \text { for } 0 \leq q \leq n . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any finite $\Lambda$-module $T$, there is a canonical isomorphism of $\Lambda$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{2}(T, \Lambda) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{z}}(T, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact $T_{n-q}$ is finite, so that one derives the Levine pairing by combining the isomorphism (3), (4):

$$
[,]: T_{q} \times T_{n-q}-\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}
$$

In case $n=2 q$, it satisfies the following four properties:
a) $\mathbf{Z}$-linear: $[m \alpha, \beta]=[\alpha, m \beta]=m[\alpha, \beta]$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \alpha, \beta \in T_{q}$
b) conjugate self-adjoint: $[\lambda \alpha, \beta]=[\alpha, \bar{\lambda} \beta]$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$
c) non-singular: the adjoint to [,] is bijective as a homomorphism: $T_{q} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(T_{q}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\right)$
d) $(-1)^{q+1}$-symmetric: $[\alpha, \beta]=(-1)^{q+1}[\beta, \alpha]$.

Remark 3. This is an almost complete algebraic characterization of the Levine pairing. In fact, for any pairing [, ] on $T_{q}$ satisfying a), b), c) and d), there exists a $2 q$-knot with the Levine pairing [,] provided $q \geq 2,[L 3],[L 4]$.

We shall now give an alternative description of Levine pairings by making use of the $\tau$-Seifert form defined by M. A. Gutiérrez.

A Seifert manifold $V$ of a $2 q$-knot $K$ is a smooth oriented submanifold of $S^{2 q+2}$ which is bounded by $K$. Writing $\tau$ for the torsion subgroup, we define the $\tau$-intersection pairing (classically called the linking number) $I: \tau H_{q}(V) \otimes$ $\tau H_{q}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ and the $\tau$-linking pairing $L: \tau H_{q}(V) \otimes \tau H_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-V\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ as follows. Let $\alpha \in \tau H_{q}(V)$ have order $d$. Represent $\alpha$ by a $q$-chain $\xi$, and let $\zeta$ be a $(q+1)$-chain such that $\partial \zeta=d \cdot \xi$. Then if $\beta \in \tau H_{q}(V)$ is represented by a $q$-chain $\eta$, we have $I(\alpha, \beta)=\operatorname{Int}(\zeta, \eta) / d(\bmod 1)$, where $\operatorname{Int}($,$) is the usual intersection$ number in $V$. And if $\gamma \in \tau H_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-V\right)$ is represented by a $q$-chain $\mu$ with $\partial \rho=\mu$ for some $(q+1)$-chain $\rho$ in $S^{2 q+2}$, we have $L(\alpha, \gamma)=\operatorname{Int}(\zeta, \rho) / d(\bmod 1)$, where Int (,) is now the intersection number in $S^{2 a+2}$. Then the $\tau$-Seifert form of $V$

$$
\theta: \tau H_{q}(V) \otimes \tau H_{q}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}
$$

is defined by letting $\theta(\alpha \otimes \beta)$ be the $\tau$-linking number $L\left(\alpha, \beta_{+}\right)$where $\beta_{+}$is the translate in the positive normal direction off $V$ of the cycle $\beta$.

A finite abelian group $G$ splits as the direct sum of its Sylow subgroups. Since these are clearly orthogonal with respect to any form on $G$, the whole problem splits also geometrically. This refers to arguments given later in the paper. Now, we assume that $\tau H_{q}(V)$ is a $p$-group throughout this paper. Let $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ be a basis of $\tau H_{q}(V)$ having order $p_{i}$ with elementary divisor $p_{1}\left|p_{2}\right| \cdots \mid p_{k}$ and $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k} \in$ $\tau H_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-V\right)$ be the Alexander dual basis of $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$. The embeddings $\kappa, \iota: V \rightarrow$ $S^{2 q+2}-V$ are defined by $\pm \varepsilon$-pushes. Then, for the maps $\kappa_{*}, \iota_{*}: \tau H_{q}(V) \rightarrow$ $\tau H_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-V\right)$ induced by $\kappa$, $\iota$, we shall give the matrix presentations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\kappa_{*} \alpha_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i j}^{+} \beta_{i} & j=1,2, \ldots, k \\
\iota_{*} \alpha_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i j}^{-} \beta_{i} & j=1,2, \ldots, k
\end{array}
$$

Indeed, the matrices $M_{ \pm}=\left(m_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)$are not uniquely determined as integral entries,
but are uniquely determined modulo $\Delta$, where $\Delta=P \cdot J$,


And if $M_{\theta}=\left(p_{i} \cdot \theta\left(\alpha_{i} \otimes \alpha_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right)$, then $M_{+}=M_{\theta}(\bmod \Delta)$ and $M_{-}=M_{\theta}^{\prime}(\bmod \Delta)$ where $M_{\theta}^{\prime}=P \cdot{ }^{t} M_{\theta} \cdot P^{-1}$. The result of $[G]$ is

PROPOSITION 1 (M. A. Gutiérrez). A presentation matrix of $T_{q}$ is given by $\left(t \cdot M_{\theta}+(-1)^{a+1} M_{\theta}^{\prime}, P\right)$. More precisely, there is an exact sequence
$\stackrel{2 k}{\oplus} \Lambda \xrightarrow{d} \oplus^{k} \Lambda \longrightarrow T_{q} \longrightarrow 0$
such that a matrix presentation of $d$ with respect to suitable bases is given by the above.

In general, the $\tau$-Seifert form of $V$ may be singular. But in our case, if $V$ is minimal, its Seifert form will be non-singular. Indeed, recall that a Seifert manifold is minimal if $\kappa_{*}, \iota_{*}: H_{i}(V) \rightarrow H_{i}\left(S^{2 q+2}-V\right)$ are injective for all $i$. The following is then implicit in [L1];

PROPOSITION 2 (J. Levine). Let $K$ be a simple $n-k n o t$ and $n \geq 4$. Then there exists a minimal Seifert manifold for K. Moreover, we can choose it as being simply connected.

Let now $K$ be a finite simple $2 q$-knot and let $V$ be a minimal Seifert manifold for $K$. Then $H_{q}(V)$ is finite, otherwise $H_{q}(\tilde{V})$ would not be. As $H_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-V\right)$ is abstractly isomorphic to $H_{q}(V)$ and as $\kappa_{*}$ and $\iota_{*}$ are injective, they are necessarily isomorphisms. This implies immediately that the $\tau$-Seifert form is non-singular.

Therefore there is an inverse isomorphism $\kappa_{*}^{-1} . H_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-V\right) \rightarrow H_{q}(V)$. Let $M_{\theta}^{-1}$ be the representation matrix of $\kappa_{*}^{-1}$ with respect to bases $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$, then $M_{\theta} \cdot M_{\theta}^{-1}=M_{\theta}^{-1} \cdot M_{\theta}=E(\bmod \Delta)$ where $E$ is the identity matrix. The square matrix $I_{V}=\left(I\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)\right)$ with entries in $\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ is the $\tau$-intersection matrix. By Proposition 1 , an element of $T_{q}$ can be represented by a column vector of $\oplus^{k} \Lambda$. The quotient form $[,]_{\theta}$ on $T_{q}$ determined by $\theta$ with values in $\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ is defined by letting

$$
[\alpha, \beta]_{\theta}=\text { the constant term of }{ }^{t} \bar{u} \cdot \tilde{U}_{\theta} \cdot v \quad(\bmod 1)
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are representatives of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Here $\tilde{U}_{\theta}$ is the square matrix with entries in $\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\left[\left[t, t^{-1}\right]\right]$ defined as follows: let $U_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ be the $\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$-matrix $U_{\theta}=$ ${ }^{t} \boldsymbol{M}_{\theta}^{*} \cdot I_{V} \cdot M_{\theta}^{*}$, where $\boldsymbol{M}_{\theta}^{*}=\left(M_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ be the $\mathbf{Z}$-matrix $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}=(-1)^{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{M}_{\theta}^{\prime} \cdot M_{\theta}^{-1}$ $(\bmod \Delta)$. Then $\tilde{U}_{\theta}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t^{n} \cdot\left({ }^{t} A_{\theta}\right)^{n} \cdot U_{\theta}$.

We recall the formula [G]:

$$
\theta(\alpha \otimes \beta)+(-1)^{q+1} \theta(\beta \otimes \alpha)=-I(\alpha, \beta) \quad(\bmod 1)
$$

So that $M_{\theta}+(-1)^{q+1} M_{\theta}^{\prime}=-P \cdot I_{V}(\bmod \Delta)$. This implies that the quotient form $[,]_{\theta}$ is well defined and satisfies the four properties a), b), c) and d). And because of Levine's observation, it coincides with the Levine pairing [,] of $K$ (see[L3], Proposition 7.1).

Remark 4. The above computation method of the Levine pairing is essentially due to the minimality of a Seifert manifold. And the simplicity of the knot, the existence of a fibration and the finiteness of $\pi_{q}(X)$ are inessential.

## §3. Proof of Theorem 1

From now on, we shall prove Theorem 1 in three steps. The first is devoted to show that an isometry of Levine pairings induces an isometry of $\tau$-Seifert forms. And in the next step, we construct an isotopy between Seifert manifolds when $q$ is odd. The case $q$ even is studied in the last.
3.1. Now, let $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ be odd finite simple $2 q$-knots with isometric Levine pairings. $V$ and $W$ are minimal, simply connected Seifert manifolds of $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$, and $\theta, \eta$ are their $\tau$-Seifert forms.

LEMMA 1. If the quotient form $[,]_{\theta}$ is isometric to $[,]_{\eta}$, then $\theta$ is isometric to $\eta$.
Proof. Let $T_{q}$ and $T_{q}^{\prime}$ be $q$-th Alexander modules of $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$. Then by assumption, there is a $\Lambda$-isomorphism $f: T_{q} \rightarrow T_{q}^{\prime}$ such that $[\alpha, \beta]_{\theta}=[f(\alpha), f(\beta)]_{\eta}$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in T_{q}$. For some bases $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$, $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $T_{q}, T_{q}^{\prime}, f$ is of the form $f\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\Sigma a_{i j} \alpha_{j}^{\prime}$. Since it can be regarded as a group isomorphism: $H_{q}(V) \rightarrow H_{q}(W)$, $\left\{f\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{q}(W)$. If $M_{\eta}$ is a representative matrix of $\eta$ with respect to the basis $\left\{f\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right\}$, then $U_{\theta}=U_{n}$ and $A_{\theta}=A_{n}$ because $f$ is a $\Lambda$-isomorphism and an isometry. We recall that $M_{\theta}+(-1)^{q+1} M_{\theta}^{\prime}=-P \cdot I_{V}(\bmod \Delta)$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\theta} & =E+P \cdot I_{V} \cdot M_{\theta}^{-1} \quad(\bmod \Delta) \\
& =E+(-1)^{q} M_{\theta} \cdot P \cdot U_{\theta} \cdot A_{\theta} \quad(\bmod \Delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $A_{n}=E+(-1)^{a} M_{n} \cdot P \cdot U_{n} \cdot A_{n}(\bmod \Delta)$, thus we obtain the identity $M_{\theta} \cdot P \cdot U_{\theta} \cdot A_{\theta}=M_{\eta} \cdot P \cdot U_{\eta} \cdot A_{\eta}(\bmod \Delta)$. Now, $A_{\theta}$ is invertible in the sense of modulo $\Delta$. And so is $P \cdot U_{\theta}$ because the $\tau$-intersection form of $V$ is non-singular. Therefore we have that $M_{\theta}=M_{n}$. This implies that $f: H_{q}(V) \rightarrow H_{q}(W)$ is an isometry, completing the proof.

Remark 5. In the argument above, simplicity, oddness and finiteness of knots are not essential, but minimality of $V$ is.

In the following, we shall constract the isotopy between Seifert manifolds $V$ and $W$, by virtue of the dimensional condition $q \geq 4$. Indeed, if $q \geq 4$ almost all our arguments are in the metastable range and in that range homotopy implies isotopy by Haefliger's theorem [H].
3.2. Now we assume that $q$ is odd. Then the $\tau$-intersection form of $V$ is symmetric and hence diagonal because $H_{q}(V)$ has no 2-torsion. Namely there is a basis $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ of $H_{q}(V)$ such that $I\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} c_{i} / p_{i}$, where $c_{i}$ is an integer co-prime to $p_{i}$, and $\delta_{i j}$ is Kronecker's delta. A decomposition of $V$ can be obtained as follows. Let $A_{j}=S^{q} \times D^{q+1} \cup_{f_{i}} h$ where $h$ is a $(q+1)$-handle and $f_{i}$ is the attaching map of $h$, i.e., $f_{j}: \partial D^{q+1} \times D^{q} \rightarrow \partial\left(S^{q} \times D^{q+1}\right)$. The homotopy group $\pi_{q}\left(S^{q} \times \partial D^{q+1}\right)$ is a free abelian group generated by two elements $x=S^{q} \times\{p t\}$ and $y=\{p t\} \times \partial D^{q+1}$. And the homotopy class of $f_{j} \mid \partial D^{a+1} \times\{0\}$ is $p_{j} x+q_{j} y$, where $q_{j}=c_{j}\left(\bmod p_{j}\right)$. The manifold $A_{j}$ is fundamental for $V$. In fact, it follows from the high connectivity and the parallelizability of $V$ that it is diffeomorphic to the boundary connected sum $\vdash_{j=1}^{k} A_{j}$ (see [W]). The Seifert manifold $W$ also admits a similar decomposition. More precisely, there is a basis $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $H_{q}(W)$ such that $\theta\left(\alpha_{i} \otimes \alpha_{j}\right)=$ $\eta\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ because $\theta$ is isometric to $\eta$. Then $W$ has a decomposition $\vdash_{j=1}^{k} A_{j}^{\prime}$ associated to the basis $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$.

We next show how to isotopically deform $A_{j}$ onto $A_{j}^{\prime}$ in $S^{2 a+2}$. For this reason, a number of preliminaries are necessary.

A $q$-annulus will be a smooth oriented submanifold $R$ of $S^{2 q+2}$, where $R$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{q} \times D^{q+1}$. A $q$-annulus has a trivial disc bundle structure on $S^{a}=S^{a} \times\{0\}$. Let $\nu$ be the positive unit normal field to $R$ on $S^{a}$. Then by the tubular neighborhood theorem, $\boldsymbol{R}$ can be considered as the orthogonal complement of $\nu$ in a normal disc bundle neighborhood of $S^{q}$ in $S^{2 q+2}$. Let $R_{i}=$ $S_{i}^{q} \times D_{i}^{q+1}, i=0,1$, be $q$-annuli. $R_{0}$ and $R_{1}$ are isotopic if there is an ambient isotopy $\chi_{t}$ of $R_{0}$ to $R_{11}$ such that $\chi_{0}=\mathrm{id}, \chi_{1}\left(S_{0}^{q}\right)=S_{1}^{q}$ and $\chi_{1}$ is a bundle map. However, by the unknotting theorem, the tubular neighborhood theorem and the fact $\pi_{q}\left(S^{q+1}\right)=0$, all the $q$-annuli are isotopic if $q \geq 1$. The condition $q$ odd is not necessary for this. Next, we consider a $q$-annulus with a non-zero section $(R, s)$, where $s$ is a section of the associated sphere bundle $\partial R$. We shall say that $\left(R_{0}, s_{0}\right)$
is isotopic to $\left(R_{1}, s_{1}\right)$ if there is an isotopy $\chi_{t}$ of $R_{0}$ to $R_{1}$ such that $\chi_{1} \circ s_{0}=$ $s_{1}{ }^{\circ} \chi_{1} \mid S_{0}^{q}$. Isotopy $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\Omega$, the set of $q$-annuli with a non-zero section. Clearly it is a necessary condition for $\left(R_{i}, s_{i}\right), i=0,1$, to be isotopic that the characteristic class of the normal bundle of $s_{0}$ in $\partial R_{0}$ coincides with that of $s_{1}$. If $q$ is odd, $\neq 1,3,7$, the image of $\partial: \pi_{q}\left(S^{q}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}\left(S O_{q}\right)$ is $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$. So that $\Omega / \sim$ has at least two classes in this case. In fact:

LEMMA 2. There is a bijective map $\phi: \Omega / \sim \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ if $q$ is odd and $q \geq 1$.
Proof. First of all, $\phi$ will be defined as follows. We set $S^{2 a+2}=$ $\left\{\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 q+2}\right) \in R^{2 q+3} \mid x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{2 q+2}^{2}=1\right\}$, the equator $S^{2 q+1}=$ $\left\{x \in S^{2 q+2} \mid x_{2 q+2}=0\right\}$ and $S^{q}=\left\{x \in S^{2 q+2} \mid x_{q+1}=x_{q+2}=\cdots=x_{2 q+2}=0\right\} . R$ is the normal unit disc bundle of $S^{a}$ in $S^{2 a+1}$. For any $q$-annulus $R^{\prime}$, there is an isotopy $\chi_{t}$ of $R^{\prime}$ to $R$. Then $\phi\left(\left(R^{\prime}, s\right)\right)$ is the modulo two reduction of the linking number between $S^{a}$ and $\chi_{1} \circ s \circ \chi_{1}^{-1}\left(S^{q}\right)$ in $S^{2 q+1}$.

We must prove two points: that $\phi$ is well-defined and that it is bijective. Suppose that there is an isotopy $f_{t}$ of $\left(R_{0}, s_{0}\right)$ to ( $\left.R_{1}, s_{1}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left(R_{0}, s_{0}\right)=(R, s)$ where $s$ is a suitable section of $R$. Let $g_{t}$ be the isotopy of $R_{1}$ to $R$ with which we define the number $\phi\left(\left(R_{1}, s_{1}\right)\right)$. Combining these,

$$
\chi_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
f_{2 t} & \text { for } & 0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2 \\
g_{2 t-1} & \text { for } & 1 / 2 \leq t \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

is an isotopy of the $q$-annulus $R$ to itself. Now, the following diagram will be studied.


The framing of $R$ differs from that of $\chi_{1}(R)$ by an element of $\pi_{q}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{q+1}\right)$. But it is null homotopic in $\mathrm{SO}_{\boldsymbol{q + 2}}$. In other words, it is an element of $\mathrm{Ker} i_{*}$. If $q$ is odd, a generator of $\operatorname{Ker} i_{*}$ is mapped to 2 by $p_{*}$, so that the difference between the linking number of $s\left(S^{q}\right)$ and $g_{1} \circ s_{1} \circ g_{1}^{-1}\left(S^{q}\right)$ with $S^{q}$ in $S^{2 q+1}$ is even. Thus $\phi$ is well-defined.

Conversely, we shall suppose that $\phi\left(\left(R_{0}, s_{0}\right)\right)=\phi\left(\left(R_{1}, s_{1}\right)\right)$. Then by using a generator of Ker $i_{*}$, the isotopy between them can be easily constructed. Therefore $\phi$ is bijective. This completes the proof.

Now, we shall define two isotopies which play a key role to deform a $(q+1)$-handle $h$ to $h^{\prime}$. Let a $q$-annulus $R$ be as in Lemma 2 and $N$ be the normal disc bundle of $S^{a}$ in $S^{2 q+2}$. Then we take a trivialization $T: N \rightarrow S^{q} \times D^{q+2}$ such that $p \circ T(R)=D^{q+1}$ where $p$ denotes the projection onto the second factor. Here $\quad D^{a+2}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{a+2}\right) \in R^{a+2} \mid y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+y_{a+2}^{2} \leq 1\right\} \quad$ and $\quad D^{a+1}=$ $\left\{y \in D^{q+2} \mid y_{q+2}=0\right\}$. As was seen before, a $q$-annulus $R$ is the orthogonal complement of a positive unit normal field $\nu$ to $R$ on $S^{q}$ in $N$. When we regard $\nu$ as a map of $S^{q}$ to $\partial D^{a+2}$ by composing $T$ and $p$, it is a constant map to the base point * $=(0,0, \ldots, 0,1)$ of $\partial D^{q+2}$. A homotopical deformation of $\nu$ as a section induces an ambient isotopy of $R$. Let $F_{i}: S^{q} \times I \rightarrow \partial D^{q+2}, i=0,1$, be homotopical deformations of $\nu$ which satisfy two properties.
(1) $F_{i}(x, 0)=F_{i}(x, 1)=F_{i}(b, t)=*$ for each $x \in S^{q}, t \in[0,1]$ and $i=0$, 1 , where $b$ is the base point of $S^{a}$.
This property implies that $F_{i}$ can be considered as a map of $S^{a+1}$ to $\partial D^{a+2}$.
(2) $F_{0}$ is a degree one map of $S^{q+1} . \operatorname{Im} F_{1}$ is included in $S^{q}=\left\{y \in D^{q+2} \mid y_{1}=0\right\}$ and $F_{1}$ represents the non-trivial element of $\pi_{q+1}\left(S^{q}\right) \approx \mathbf{Z}_{2},(q \geq 3)$.

Let $J_{i}$ be the isotopy of $R$ induced by $F_{i}$. In case $q$ is odd, $J_{0}$ is just the one which is induced by a generator of $\operatorname{Ker} i_{*}$.

Coming back to our previous program, we shall isotopically deform $A_{1}$ onto $A_{1}^{\prime}$, provided $q \geq 5$. Using $q$-annuli, $A_{1}=R_{1} \cup_{f_{1}} h_{1}$ and $A_{1}^{\prime}=R_{1}^{\prime} \cup_{f_{1}}, h_{1}^{\prime}$. There always exists an isotopy from $R_{1}$ to $R_{1}^{\prime}$. Then the attaching sphere of $h_{1}$ represents a homotopy class $p_{1} x+q_{1} y \in \pi_{q}\left(\partial R_{1}\right)$. By hypothesis, the attaching sphere of $h_{1}^{\prime}$ represents $p_{1}^{\prime} x+q_{1}^{\prime} y$, where $p_{1}=p_{1}^{\prime}$ and $q_{1} \equiv q_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)$. Since the normal bundle of $f_{1}\left(\partial D^{a+1} \times\{0\}\right)$ is trivial, $\partial\left(\operatorname{Int}\left(p_{1} x, q_{1} y\right)\right)=0$. Here, the intersection number is regarded as an element of $\pi_{q}\left(S^{q}\right)$ and $\partial$ is the boundary map: $\pi_{q}\left(S^{q}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$. If $q$ is odd and $\neq 7$, then the image of $\partial$ is $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$, so that $q_{1}$ is even because $p_{1}$ is odd. Therefore $q_{1} \equiv q_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)$. If $q=7$, this is true by a general argument: namely Lemma 2 shows that the modulo two reduction of $q_{1}$ is the primary obstruction to thickening the core of $h_{1}$ in $S^{2 q+2}$. Now, $q_{1} \equiv q_{1}^{\prime}$ $\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)$ implies that there is an integer $n$ such that $q_{1}+2 n p_{1}=q_{1}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2, there is an isotopy $\left(J_{0}\right)^{n}$ from $\left(R_{1}, x\right)$ to ( $\left.R_{1}, x+2 n y\right)$. Thus it changes the homotopy class of $f_{1}\left(\partial D^{q+1} \times\{0\}\right)$ to $p_{1}(x+2 n y)+q_{1} y=p_{1}^{\prime} x+q_{1}^{\prime} y$. After all, we can isotopically deform $R_{1}$ onto $R_{1}^{\prime}$ so that the attaching sphere of $h_{1}$ coincides with that of $h_{1}^{\prime}$.

Next, we set the manifold $M$ as being $S^{2 a+2}$-interior $N$. Then there is a homotopy exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}\left(M, \tilde{S}^{q}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q}\left(\tilde{S}^{q}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\tilde{S}^{a}$ is the attaching sphere of $h_{1} . M$ has the same homotopy type as $S^{a+1}$, so
that $\pi_{q+1}\left(M, \tilde{S}^{q}\right)$ is free abelian of rank two. And the core $C_{1}\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ of $h_{1}\left(h_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ represents an element $c\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ of $\pi_{q+1}\left(M, \tilde{S}^{q}\right)$. Rounding the edge, $C_{1} \cup C_{1}^{\prime}$ is considered as an immersed $(q+1)$-sphere with some orientation in interior $M$. Then the self-intersection number of it is identified with $p_{1} \cdot\left(c-c^{\prime}\right) \in \pi_{q+1}(\mathbf{M}) \approx \mathbf{Z}$ as an integer. On the other hand, the normal bundle of $C_{1} \cup C_{1}^{\prime}$ is trivial because $C_{1}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ are the core of $(q+1)$-handles. Therefore the self-intersection number of it must be zero. Thus $c$ is in fact the same element as $c^{\prime}$. This shows that $C_{1}$ is isotopically deformed onto $C_{1}^{\prime}$.

Let $\mu\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)$ be a positive unit normal field to $h_{1}\left(h_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ on $C_{1}\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. The $(q+1)$ handle $h_{1}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mu$ in a normal disc bundle of $C_{1}$ in $S^{2 q+2}$. Since $\mu=\mu^{\prime}$ along $\partial C_{1}, \mu$ differs from $\mu^{\prime}$ by an element of $\pi_{q+1}\left(S^{q}\right) \approx \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. If $\mu-\mu^{\prime}=0$, there is a homotopy of $\mu$ to $\mu^{\prime}$ relative to $\partial C_{1}$, so that $h_{1}$ is isotopically deformed to $h_{1}^{\prime}$. If not so, we deform $R_{1}$ with an isotopy $J_{1}$. It does not change the homotopy class of $\partial C_{1}$, but changes the homotopy class of $\mu$ into the other element if $p_{1}$ is odd. And then, for the new field $\mu, \mu-\mu^{\prime}=0$. Thus we obtain the required isotopy from $A_{1}$ to $A_{1}^{\prime}$.

Remark 6. While constructing the above isotopy, we only used the information that $I_{V}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)=I_{W}\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. But in fact, the identity $2 \cdot \theta\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{1}\right)=$ $I_{V}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)$ follows from Gutiérrez's formula, and this implies that the diagonal entry of the $\tau$-Seifert form is completely determined by its self $\tau$-intersection number, if $p_{1}$ is odd.

Next we shall construct the deformation of $A_{2}$ onto $A_{2}^{\prime}$ in $S^{2 q+2}-A_{1}$. The space $S^{2 q+2}-A_{1}$ has the same homotopy type as $A_{1}$, so that $\pi_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-A_{1}\right) \approx \mathbf{Z}_{p_{1}}$. The core of the $q$-annulus $R_{2}\left(R_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ of $A_{2}\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ represents an element $r\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ of $\pi_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-A_{1}\right)$ and $r-r^{\prime}$ can be identified with $\theta\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2}\right)-\eta\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Therefore there is an isotopy from $R_{2}$ to $R_{2}^{\prime}$ by which the attaching sphere of $h_{2}$ coincides with that of $h_{2}^{\prime}$. Put $M=S^{2 q+2}$-interior $A_{1} \vee N$, where $N$ is the normal disc bundle of $\boldsymbol{R}_{2}$ and $\vee$ denotes the one point union. Then there is an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}\left(M, \tilde{S}^{q}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q}\left(\tilde{S}^{q}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\tilde{S}^{q}$ is the attaching sphere of $h_{2}$. I have $\pi_{q+1}\left(A_{1}\right)=0$, because $A_{1} \simeq$ $S^{q} \cup_{p_{1}} D^{q+1}$ and $p_{1}$ is odd (the left distributivity law applies). So $\pi_{q+1}(M) \approx \mathbf{Z}$ and $\pi_{q+1}\left(\mathbf{M}, \tilde{S}^{q}\right) \approx \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. Using the same argument as before we can isotopically deform $h_{2}$ onto $h_{2}^{\prime}$ and so that $A_{2}$ onto $A_{2}^{\prime}$ in $S^{2 q+2}-A_{1}$.

Proceeding inductively, we finally obtain the required isotopy of $V$ to $W$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 in case $q$ is odd.
3.3 Here, we shall give a proof of Theorem 1 when $q$ is even. If so, the
$\tau$-intersection form of $V$ is skew-symmetric and $H_{q}(V)$ has a basis $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2 k}$ such that the order $p_{2 i-1}=p_{2 i}$ and for $i<j$,

$$
I\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}1 / p_{i} & \text { if } i=2 n-1 \text { and } j=2 n \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

A decomposition of $V$ can be obtained as follows. Let a fundamental manifold $B_{i}$ be $S^{a} \times D^{q+1} \sharp S^{q} \times D^{a+1} \cup_{f_{2 j-1}} h \cup_{f_{2}} h$, where $h$ is a ( $q+1$ )-handle and $f_{2 j-1}\left(f_{2 j}\right)$ is an attaching map. Now, $\pi_{q}\left(\partial\left(S^{q} \times D^{q+1} ย S^{q} \times D^{q+1}\right)\right)$ is generated by the natural four elements $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}$ and $y_{2}$ of the first and the second factors. Choose the attaching sphere of $h_{2 j-1}$ (resp. $h_{2 j}$ ) to represent the element $p_{2 j-1} x_{1}+y_{2}$ (resp. $p_{2 i} x_{2}-y_{1}$ ) of $\pi_{q}\left(\partial\left(S^{q} \times D^{q+1} ધ S^{a} \times D^{q+1}\right)\right.$ ). Then $V$ is diffeomorphic to the boundary connected sum $\xi_{j=1}^{k} B_{j}$ (see $[W]$ ).

To prove Theorem 1, we shall consider the fundamental manifold $B_{i}$ from an alternative viewpoint. As a submanifold of $S^{2 q+2}, S^{q} \times D^{q+1} \sqsubset S^{q} \times D^{q+1}$ is just a $q$-annulus $R_{j}$ with a $q$-handle $H_{j}$. Moreover, we may assume that the image of $f_{2 j-1}$ is on $\partial R_{j}$ and the attaching sphere of $H_{j}$ is on the complement $\partial R_{j}-\operatorname{Im} f_{2 j-1}$. After all, $B_{j}=R_{j} \cup H_{j} \cup_{f_{2}-1} h_{2 j-1} \cup_{f_{2}} h_{2 j}$ as a submanifold of $S^{2 q+2}$. The manifold $W$ also admits a similar decomposition $t_{i=1}^{k} B_{j}^{\prime}$ associated to an isometric basis $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1}^{2 k}$.

We next show how to deform $B_{1}$ onto $B_{1}^{\prime}$. As was seen in 3.2, there always exists an isotopy from $R_{1}$ to $R_{1}^{\prime}$. The attaching sphere of $h_{1}$ (resp. $h_{1}^{\prime}$ ) represents a homotopy class $p_{1} x+q_{1} y$ (resp. $p_{1}^{\prime} x+q_{1}^{\prime} y$ ) of $\pi_{q}\left(\partial R_{1}\right)$, where $x=S^{q} \times\{p t\}$ and $y=\{p t\} \times \partial D^{q+1}$. If $q$ is even, the boundary homomorphism $\partial: \pi_{q}\left(S^{q}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{q-1}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{q}\right)$ is injective. Since the normal bundle of the attaching sphere of $h_{1}\left(h_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is trivial, $\partial\left(\operatorname{Int}\left(p_{1} x, q_{1} y\right)\right)=0\left(\operatorname{resp} . \partial\left(\operatorname{Int}\left(p_{1}^{\prime} x, q_{1}^{\prime} y\right)\right)=0\right)$. This implies $q_{1}=q_{1}^{\prime}=0$. Therefore, under this isotopy the image of $f_{1}$ coincides with that of $f_{1}^{\prime}$.

Let $N$ be a normal disc bundle of $R_{1}$ in $S^{2 q+2}$, and put $M=S^{2 q+2}$-interior $N$. Then there is a split exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}\left(M, \tilde{S}^{q}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q}\left(\tilde{S}^{q}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\tilde{S}^{q}$ is the attaching sphere of $h_{1}\left(h_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $u, v$ be a basis of $\pi_{q+1}\left(M, \tilde{S}^{q}\right)$, corresponding to the above splitting. The core $C_{1}\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ of $h_{1}\left(h_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ represents an element $u+q_{1} v\left(u+q_{1}^{\prime} v\right)$ of $\pi_{q+1}\left(M, \tilde{S}^{q}\right)$, where $q_{1} \equiv p_{1} \cdot \theta\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{1}\right)\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)$ $\left(q_{1}^{\prime} \equiv p_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \eta\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\bmod p_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. This shows that there is an integer $n$ such that $q_{1}^{\prime}=q_{1}+n p_{1}$. Then we deform $R_{1}$ by $\left(J_{0}\right)^{n}$, so that it changes the homotopy class of $C_{1}$ into $u+\left(q_{1}+n p_{1}\right) v=u+q_{1}^{\prime} v$. Thus we obtain an isotopy of $R_{1} \cup C_{1}$ to $R_{1}^{\prime} \cup C_{1}^{\prime}$. The rest of the procedure to deform $R_{1} \cup h_{1}$ onto $R_{1}^{\prime} \cup h_{1}^{\prime}$ is the same as in 3.2.

The attaching sphere of $H_{1}$ is on $\partial R_{1}-\operatorname{Im} f_{1}$ and represents an element of
$\pi_{q-1}\left(\partial R_{1}-\operatorname{Im} f_{1}\right) \approx \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}$. It differs from that of $H_{1}^{\prime}$ by $I_{V}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)-I_{W}\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0$. So that we may assume that these coincide. Using the previous decomposition of $B_{1}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, the core $C_{1}\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ of $H_{1}\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is naturally extended to a $q$-sphere $S\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. Let $S_{+}\left(S_{+}^{\prime}\right)$ be the translate in the positive normal direction off $V(W)$ of $S\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. It represents an element of $\pi_{q}\left(S^{2 q+2}-R_{1} \cup h_{1}\right) \approx \mathbf{Z}_{p_{1}}$, and the difference between those is identified with $\theta\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2}\right)-\eta\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Therefore $S_{+}$is isotopic to $S_{+}^{\prime}$ in $S^{2 q+2}-R_{1} \cup h_{1}$, and moreover $C_{1}$ is isotopic to $C_{1}^{\prime}$ keeping $\partial C_{1}$ fixed. Afterward, using the same procedure as the one we have used in deforming $A_{2}$ onto $A_{2}^{\prime}$, we obtain an isotopy of $B_{1}$ to $B_{1}^{\prime}$.

The rest of the proof is done inductively as in the case $q$ odd. Thus we finally obtain the required isotopy of $V$ to $W$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## §4. Addendum

Here, we shall expose without proof some geometrical properties of some fibered knots. By [ $B \& L$ ], a necessary and sufficient condition for a simple $2 q$-knot $K$ to be fibered is that $\pi_{q}(X)$ is finitely generated, where $X$ is the complement of $K$. Throughout this section, a knot $K$ is always fibered. Definitions can be found in our previous article [Ko]. Then our result is

THEOREM 2. Let $K$ be an odd simple fibered $2 q$-knot, and $q \geq 4$. Then the isotopy class of $K$ is completely determined by the first, the second and the $\tau$-Seifert forms.

The crux of the proof is how to choose a basis of $H_{q}(V)$, where $V$ is a minimal Seifert manifold of $K$. Namely, setting $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ as being a basis of $\tau H_{q}(V)$, we can choose a basis $\left\{\beta_{j}\right\}$ of the torsion free part of $H_{q}(V)$ such that they are null-homologous in the complement of the chains $\left\{\zeta_{i}\right\}$ in $S^{2 a+2}$ where $\partial \zeta_{i}=p_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i}$. The reason why we can do this is the non-singularity of the $\tau$-Seifert form of $V$. Choosing a nice decomposition of $V$ with respect to the basis $\left\{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right\}$ of $H_{q}(V)$, we shall use the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 1 and [Ko]. This supplies the proof of Theorem 2 . Now, the following corollaries are immediately obtainable from Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 1. If $a \operatorname{2q-knot} K$ is as above, then there is a unique splitting $K=K_{F} \# K_{T}$ such that $\pi_{q}\left(X_{F}\right)$ is torsion free and $\pi_{q}\left(X_{T}\right)$ is finite, where \# denotes the knot connected sum and $X_{F}\left(X_{T}\right)$ is the complement of a simple knot $K_{F}\left(K_{T}\right)$.

COROLLARY 2. Let $K$ be as above and $p, p^{\prime}: X \rightarrow S^{1}$ be fibrations of it. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $f$ of $S^{2 q+2}$ such that $p^{\prime}=p \circ f \mid X$.
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