

Computation of Lojasiewicz Exponent of $\dots(x, y)$

Autor(en): **Kuo, Tzee-Char**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **49 (1974)**

PDF erstellt am: **29.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-37989>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Computation of Lojasiewicz Exponent of $f(x, y)^1$

TZEE-CHAR KUO

Let $f(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $f(0)=0$, be a real analytic function defined near 0.

First, suppose $f(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$. Then Lojasiewicz asserts that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \geq \varepsilon |x|^\alpha, \quad x \text{ near } 0.$$

Geometrically, this says that the graph of $y = f(x)$ lies above the bowl-like graph of $y = \varepsilon |x|^\alpha$.

For general $f(x)$, let V_f denote the variety $f(x)=0$ in \mathbf{R}^n , then Lojasiewicz ([2], p. 85; [3]) asserts that

$$|f(x)| \geq \varepsilon d(x, V_f)^\alpha, \quad x \text{ near } 0,$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the usual distance in \mathbf{R}^n .

This inequality, known as the Lojasiewicz inequality, is of fundamental importance in singularities theory.

PROBLEM. Determine the smallest value of α in the Lojasiewicz inequality.

For instance, to determine the smallest integer r such that in the Taylor expansion of f near 0, all terms of degree $> r$ can be omitted without changing the local topological type of f (i.e. the r -jet $j^{(r)}(f)$ is C^0 -sufficient) amounts to determining α for $|\text{Grad } f(x)|$ ([1], Theorem 0), where $\text{Grad } f(x) \neq 0$ for $x \neq 0$.

Let \mathbf{R}_+^2 denote the upper half plane $\{(x, y): y \geq 0\}$; \mathbf{R}_-^2 the lower half plane $y \leq 0$.

All points are understood to be in a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0.

§1. The Results

Let $f(x, y)$ be a real analytic function of two real variables with $f(0, 0)=0$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that the initial form of the Taylor expansion of f is not divisible by y (this amounts to saying that the x -axis is not a tangent of $f(x, y)=0$ at 0). Then, by Puiseux's Theorem ([4], p. 98), f can be factored (near 0)

¹⁾ The purpose of this paper is to give a complete solution of the Problem for functions $f(x, y)$ of two real variables. Our solution depends heavily on the use of Puiseux's Theorem.

into a product

$$f(x, y) = a \prod_{i=1}^m (x - z_i^*) \quad a \neq 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where $m = O(f)$, the order of f , and each z_i^* is a fractional power series in y with order $O(z_i^*) \geq 1$.

EXAMPLE (1.2). $f(x, y) = x(x^2 + y^3 - y^4)$.

Roots are $z_1^* = 0$, $z_2^*, z_3^* = \pm iy^{3/2}(1 - \frac{1}{2}y + \dots)$ with $O(z_1^*) = \infty$, $O(z_2^*) = O(z_3^*) = 3/2$.

SOME DEFINITIONS. (i) Each z_i^* in (1.1) is called a root of f . A fractional power series is *real* if all coefficients are real. For a non-real z^* , $x = z^*$ has no locus in \mathbf{R}_+^2 . This is because for $y > 0$, all fractional powers of y are real numbers, and so z^* is not a real number. In \mathbf{R}_-^2 , however, $x = z^*$ may, or may not, have locus. For the roots z_2^*, z_3^* in Example (1.2), there are loci in \mathbf{R}_-^2 , the two arcs of a cusp. For the roots of $x^2 + y^4 = 0$, there is no locus in either half plane (except the single point 0).

(ii) Let $z^* = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i y^{n_i}$ $a_i \neq 0$, $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ be a given non-real series, a_s its first non real coefficient. We define the *real springboard* of z^* to be

$$z^*(t) = \sum_{i \leq s-1} a_i y^{n_i} + t y^{n_s}$$

where t is a generic real number.

(1.3) Call n_s the complex order of z^* .

Now we put

$$e(f, z^*) = O(f(z^*(t), y))$$

and

$$\delta(f, z^*) = \begin{cases} \max \{O(z^*(t) - z_i^*)\}, & \text{where } z_i^* \text{ runs through all real roots} \\ 1 & \text{if there is no real root.} \end{cases}$$

EXAMPLE (1.4). For $f(x, y)$ as in Example (1.2),

$$e(f, z_2^*) = O(t(t^2 + 1)y^{9/2} + \dots) = 9/2$$

for generic values of t . Notice that for some special value of t , such as $t = 0$, $O(f(z_2^*(t), y)) > 9/2$.

We may call $e(f, z^*)$ the *climbing-exponent* of f along z^* and $\delta(f, z^*)$ the *distance-exponent* of f along z^* .

THEOREM. *The number*

$$L_+(f) = \max_j \left\{ \frac{e(f, z_j^*)}{\delta(f, z_j^*)}, O(f) \right\}$$

where j runs through all indices for which z_j^* is a non real root, has the property that in any given sufficiently small compact neighborhood U of 0 , there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(L_+) \quad |f(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon d((x, y), V_f)^{L_+(f)}, \quad (x, y) \in U \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the usual distance in \mathbb{R}^2 , V_f the real curve $f(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, $L_+(f)$ is the smallest number with this property.

We put $L(f) \equiv \max\{L_+(f), L_-(f)\}$, where $L_-(f) = L_+(f(x, -y))$, and call $L(f)$ the Lojasiewicz exponent of f .

COROLLARY 1. *The Lojasiewicz exponent $L(f)$ is the smallest number having the property that in any given sufficiently small compact neighborhood U of 0 in \mathbb{R}^2 , there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$(L) \quad |f(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon d((x, y), V_f)^{L(f)}, \quad (x, y) \in U.$$

COROLLARY 2. *If all roots of $f(x, y)$ (respectively $f(x, -y)$) are real, then $L_+(f) = O(f)$ (respectively $L_-(f) = O(f)$).*

COROLLARY 3. *If all roots of $f(x, y)$ are non-real, then $L_+(f) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{e(f, z_i^*)\}$.*

EXAMPLE. $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^3$. Both roots of $f(x, y)$ are non-real, $L_+(f) = 3$. Both roots of $f(x, -y)$ are real, $L_-(f) = 2$. Hence $L(f) = 3$.

COROLLARY 4. $L(f), L_+(f), L_-(f)$ are rational numbers.

§2. Proof of Theorem

Notations. For two real-valued functions $A(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ and $B(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ defined for (x_1, \dots, x_n) in a domain D in \mathbb{R}^n with $0 \in \bar{D} - D$, we write

$$A \gtrsim B \tag{2.1}$$

if there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that $kA \geq B$ for all (x_1, \dots, x_n) in D near 0 . If

$A \gtrsim B \gtrsim A$, then we write $A \sim B$; this is the case if, and only if A/B lies between two positive constants, x near 0.

For a fractional power series y^* in y , and for $d > 0$, $w > 0$, a *horn neighborhood* of y^* of degree d and width w is the point set

$$H_d(y^*; w) = \{(x, y) : |x - \bar{y}^*| \leq w |y|^d\},$$

where \bar{y}^* is y^* with all terms of degree $> d$ omitted. We often write $H_d(y^*)$ instead of $H_d(y^*; w)$. This is a horn-shaped set with vertex 0, containing the point set $x - y^* = 0$, except the origin, in its interior. The definition given here is slightly different from that in [1] in that this new horn neighborhood is the closure of the old one; in particular, the origin is contained in the new but not in the old.

$$\text{For } (x, y) \in H_d(y^*; w), |x - y^*| > w |y|^d. \quad (2.2)$$

For two fractional power series y^*, z^* let $H(y^*, z^*)$ denote the horn neighborhood $H_d(y^*; w)$ where $d = O(y^* - z^*)$, w a sufficiently small number. Call $H(y^*, z^*)$ the horn neighborhood of y^* against z^* .

$$H(y^*, z^*) \cap H(z^*, y^*) = \{0\}. \quad (2.3)$$

LEMMA (2.4). *Let z^* be a given fractional power series (in y). For a finite set of fractional power series $\{y_1^*, \dots, y_s^*\}$ and $d > 0$, there is a finite subset $\Sigma = \Sigma_d(y_1^*, \dots, y_s^*)$ of \mathbf{R} such that for $t \notin \Sigma$,*

$$O((z^* + ty^d) - y_i^*) \leq d, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s. \quad (2.5)$$

The case $s = 1$ is quite obvious, the rest of the proof is by an easy induction on s .

Now consider all real springboards $z_i^*(t_i)$ of the non-real roots z_i^* of f . Let d_i denote the complex order (see (1.3)) of z_i^* . By a repeated application of Lemma (2.4), we can choose specified real values for t_i in $z^*(t_i)$ such that

$$O(z_i^*(t_i) - z^*) \leq d_i, \quad O(z_i^*(t_i) - z_j^*(t_j)) \leq d_i \quad i \neq j, \quad (2.6)$$

where z^* is any real root.

EXAMPLE (2.7). $f(x, y) = (x - y^2)(x^2 + y^4)^2$, $z_1^* = y^2$, $z_2^* = z_3^* = iy^2$, $z_4^* = z_5^* = -iy^2$.

We may choose any values for t_i , $2 \leq i \leq 5$, provided that $t_i \neq 1$, and $t_i \neq t_j$ for $i \neq j$. Note that $t_2 = t_3$ or $t_4 = t_5$ are not allowed.

LEMMA (2.8). *Let $y^* = z^*(t)$ be the real springboard of a non-real fractional*

power series z^* with complex order $\leq d$. Then for $(x, y) \notin H_d(y^*; w)$, $(x, y) \in R_+^2$,

$$|x - y^*| \sim |x - z^*|. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Let c be the first non real coefficient of z^* . First, suppose $d = O(y^* - z^*) =$ complex order of z^* . Then

$$|x - z^*| \leq |x - y^*| + |y^* - z^*| \leq |x - y^*| + 2|c - t| |y|^d.$$

By (2.2), $|x - y^*| > w|y|^d$, hence

$$|x - z^*| \leq |x - y^*| + (2|c - t|/w) |x - y^*|.$$

Hence

$$|x - z^*| \lesssim |x - y^*|.$$

Now,

$$|x - y^*| \leq |x - z^*| + |z^* - y^*| \leq |x - z^*| + 2|c - t| |y|^d.$$

For $y > 0$, y^d is real, while cy^d is non-real. Hence

$$|x - z^*| \geq \frac{1}{2}|c'| |y|^d,$$

where $c' = \text{Im}(c)$, and so,

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y^*| &\leq |x - z^*| + (4|c - t|/|c'|) |x - z^*|, \\ |x - y^*| &\lesssim |x - z^*|, \quad \text{proving Lemma (2.8).} \end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose $d < O(y^* - z^*)$. Then

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} |y^* - z^*| |y|^{-d} = 0.$$

Again, (2.9) follows from the triangle inequalities

$$|x - y^*| \leq |x - z^*| + |z^* - y^*|$$

and

$$|x - z^*| \leq |x - y^*| + |y^* - z^*|.$$

From now on, z_1^*, \dots, z_m^* denote the m roots of f (with multiplicity), and let y_1^*, \dots, y_m^* denote the real roots and the springboards of non-real roots, satisfying (2.6).

That is, $y_i^* = z_i^*$ if z_i^* is real, and $y_i^* = z_i^*(t_i)$ if z_i^* is not real. All fractional power series are understood to be in y with order ≥ 1 . All points (x, y) are understood to be in \mathbf{R}_+^2 .

Thus $H_d(y^*; w) \cap \mathbf{R}_+^2$ will be written simply as $H_d(y^*; w)$.

Let \mathfrak{F} denote the family of horn neighborhoods of the y_i^* 's against one another: $\mathfrak{F} = \{H(y_i^*, y_j^*): i \neq j\}$.

We divide a neighborhood of 0 (in \mathbf{R}_+^2) into regions of three types. *Type 1*: Regions which are the smallest members of \mathfrak{F} . *Type 2*: Those of type $H - \bigcup_\alpha H_\alpha$, $H, H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$, where H_α runs through all members of \mathfrak{F} contained in H . *Type 3*: The complements of the union of all members of \mathfrak{F} .

Inequality (L_+) will be established in regions of each type.

For a real fractional power series w^* , let V_{w^*} denote the point set $x - w^* = 0$.

LEMMA (2.10). *Let y^* be a real fractional power series. Then*

$$d((x, y), V_{y^*}) \sim |x - y^*|. \quad (2.11)$$

This is obvious.

LEMMA (2.12). *Let y^*, z^* be two real fractional power series. Then for $(x, y) \notin H(y^*, z^*)$,*

$$d((x, y), V_{y^*}) \gtrsim d((x, y), V_{z^*}). \quad (2.13)$$

Consequently, for $(x, y) \notin H(y^*, z^*) \cup H(z^*, y^*)$,

$$d((x, y), V_{y^*}) \sim d((x, y), V_{z^*}). \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. To show (2.13), let us first consider the special case $y^* = 0$, whence V_{y^*} is the y -axis. Write $z^* = ay^d + \dots$, $a \neq 0$. Now, by (2.11), $d((x, y), V_{y^*}) \sim x$, $d((x, y), V_{z^*}) \sim |x - (ay^d + \dots)|$. For $(x, y) \notin H(y^*, z^*) = H_d(y^*)$, where $d = O(y^* - z^*)$, $|x| > w|y|^d$ by (2.2). Hence

$$|(x - (ay^d + \dots))/x| = |1 - (ay^d + \dots)/x| \leq 1 + 2|a| |y|^d/w |y|^d = 1 + 2|a|/w,$$

and (2.13) follows.

For the general case, we can perform a C^1 -coordinate transformation

$$X = x - y^*, \quad Y = y \quad (2.15)$$

(this transformation is C^1 since $O(y^*) \geq 1$). Then the general case is reduced to the above special case.

LEMMA (2.16). Let y^*, z^* be two real fractional series. Then for $(x, y) \in H(y^*, z^*)$,

$$d((x, y), V_{z^*}) \sim |y|^\alpha, \quad \alpha = O(y^* - z^*). \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. By (2.3), (2.2),

$$|x - z^*| > w|y|^\alpha.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} H(y^*, z^*) &= H_\alpha(y^*), \\ |x - z^*| &\leq |x - y^*| + |y^* - z^*| \\ &\leq w|y|^\alpha + |y^* - z^*| \sim |y|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.17) follows.

Type 1. Consider a smallest member H of \mathfrak{F} . Say $H = H(y_i^*, y_h^*)$.

First, suppose y_i^* is the real springboard of a non-real root z_i^* , $y_i^* = z_i^*(t_i)$.

Let d_i denote the complex order of z_i^* [(1.3)].

We claim that $H = H_{d_i}(y_i^*)$. Indeed, the complex conjugate \bar{z}_i^* of z_i^* is another root of f , since f is real. Say $\bar{z}_i^* = z_k^*$. Now $O(z_k^* - z_i^*) = O(y_k^* - y_i^*) = d_i$, where $y_k^* = z_k^*(t_k)$, and so $H_{d_i}(y_i^*) = H(y_i^*, y_k^*)$ is a member of \mathfrak{F} . Since H is a smallest member, we must have $H \subset H_{d_i}(y_i^*)$. By (2.6), $H_{d_i}(y_i^*)$ is a smallest member of \mathfrak{F} ; hence $H = H_{d_i}(y_i^*)$.

Now, for $(x, y) \in H$, $|x - z_i^*| \sim |y|^{d_i}$; moreover, for any $j \neq i$, $|x - y_j^*| \sim |y|^{\alpha_j}$, where $\alpha_j = O(y_j^* - y_i^*)$, by (2.17). If y_j^* , $j \neq i$, is the real springboard of a non-real root z_j^* , then we have $|x - z_j^*| \sim |x - y_j^*|$, by Lemma (2.8). Hence

$$|f(x, y)| = \prod_j |x - z_j^*| \sim |y|^{e(f, y^*)}, \quad (2.18)$$

since $e(f, y_i^*) = d_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j$.

Now, V_f is defined by $\prod_j (x - y_j^*) = 0$ where y_j^* runs through all real roots. Hence

$$d((x, y), V_f) = \min_j \{d((x, y), V_{y_j^*})\}.$$

For $(x, y) \in H = H_{d_i}(y_i^*)$,

$$d((x, y), V_{y_j^*}) \sim |x - y_j^*| \sim |y|^{\alpha_j}$$

where

$$\alpha_j = O(y_i^* - y_j^*) = O(y_i^* - z_j^*)$$

(See (2.6)). Hence

$$d((x, y), V_f) \sim |y|^{\delta(f, y^*)}. \quad (2.19)$$

By (2.18), (2.19), we have, for $(x, y) \in H_{d_i}(y_i^*)$

$$|f(x, y)| \sim d((x, y), V_f)^{\gamma_i} \quad (2.20)$$

where $\gamma_i = e(f, y_i^*)/\delta(f, y_i^*)$.

Next, suppose y_i^* is a real root. As y_i^* can be a multiple root, let μ denote its multiplicity. Hence

$$|f(x, y)| \sim |x - y_i^*|^\mu \prod_j |x - z_j^*|, \quad (2.21)$$

where z_j^* runs through all roots other than y_i^* . For $(x, y) \in H = H(y_i^*, y_h^*)$, we claim that

$$d((x, y), V_f) \sim d((x, y), V_{y_i^*}) \sim |x - y_i^*|. \quad (2.22)$$

Indeed, since H is a smallest member, H is contained in $H(y_i^*, y_s^*)$, and is therefore disjoint from $H(y_s^*, y_i^*)$, where y_s^* is any real root other than y_i^* . Then the first \sim of (2.22) follows from (2.13), the second \sim follows from (2.11). Moreover, for $(x, y) \in H$, and for $j \neq i$,

$$|x - z_j^*| \sim |x - y_j^*| \gtrsim |x - y_i^*|, \quad (2.23)$$

the first relation follows from Lemma (2.8), and the last relation follows from (2.13). Now, by (2.21), (2.23) and (2.22),

$$|f(x, y)| \gtrsim |x - y_i^*|^m \sim d((x, y), V_f)^m, \quad m = O(f). \quad (2.24)$$

Remark. We may not replace \gtrsim by \sim in (2.24). See Example (3.6) in §3. However, for (x, y) in a sector $S_\eta = \{(x, y) : |y| \leq \eta|x|\}$ we do have

$$|f(x, y)| \sim d((x, y), V_f)^m. \quad (2.25)$$

In fact, since the initial form of f is not divisible by y , for $(x, y) \in S_\eta$, η sufficiently small,

$$|f(x, y)| \sim \varrho^m, \quad \varrho = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} \sim |x|,$$

and

$$d((x, y), V_f) \sim |x| \sim \varrho.$$

Hence we have (2.25).

Type 2. The region is of the form $H - \bigcup_\alpha H_\alpha$. Collect all y_i^* for which $V_{y_i^*} \subset H$. By permutting the indices, if necessary, we may assume they are y_1^*, \dots, y_k^* . Then

$V_{y_q^*} \not\subset H$, $q > k$. For $i \leq k$, $V_{y_i^*} \subset H$, then $H = H_{d_i}(y_i^*)$ for some d_i . Hence for $q > k$, $H(y_q^*, y_i^*) \cap H = \{0\}$.

First, suppose none of the y_i^* 's, $1 \leq i \leq k$, is a real root. Choose a fixed y_i^* , say y_1^* . For any j , $1 < j \leq k$, $H(y_1^*, y_j^*)$ and $H(y_j^*, y_1^*)$ are disjoint proper subsets of H . Hence, by (2.11), (2.14),

$$|x - y_1^*| \sim |x - y_j^*|, \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in H - \bigcup_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}.$$

By (2.2),

$$|x - y_1^*| \gtrsim |y|^{\alpha_j}, \quad \alpha_j = O(y_1^* - y_j^*), \quad 1 < j \leq k.$$

Moreover, by (2.6),

$$O(y_1^* - y_j^*) = O(y_1^* - z_j^*) \quad 1 < j \leq k.$$

Hence, by Lemma (2.8),

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |x - z_i^*| \sim \prod_{i=1}^k |x - y_i^*| \gtrsim |y|^{\alpha},$$

where $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k O(y_1^* - z_i^*)$.

Now, for any $q > k$, $H(y_q^*, y_1^*) \cap H = \{0\}$. Hence for $(x, y) \in H$,

$$|x - z_q^*| \sim |x - y_q^*| \geq |y|^{\alpha_q}, \quad \alpha_q = O(y_1^* - y_q^*) = O(y_1^* - z_q^*).$$

Thus, for $(x, y) \in H$,

$$|f(x, y)| \gtrsim |y|^{e(f, y_1^*)}. \quad (2.26)$$

We now show that

$$d((x, y), V_f) \sim |y|^{\delta(f, y_1^*)} \quad (2.27)$$

and then (L_+) follows.

In case f has no real root, $V_f = \{0\}$, $\delta(f, y_1^*) = 1$ and (2.27) is obvious.

Now let y_s^* , $s > k$, be any real root. We claim that $H \subset H(y_1^*, y_s^*)$. Indeed, H is a horn neighborhood of y_1^* , say of degree d_1 . If $d_1 < O(y_1^* - y_s^*)$, then we would have $V_{y_s^*} \subset H$, a contradiction. Therefore $d_1 \geq O(y_1^* - y_s^*)$, $H \subset H(y_1^*, y_s^*)$. By Lemma (2.16),

$$d((x, y), V_{y_s^*}) \sim |y|^{\alpha_s}, \quad \alpha_s = O(y_1^* - y_s^*). \quad (2.28)$$

Since y_s^* is any real root, (2.27) follows.

Next, suppose some y_i^* , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is a real root. Say $i = 1$. By (2.11) and (2.14),

$$|x - y_1^*| \sim |x - y_j^*| \quad 2 \leq j \leq k.$$

For $q > k$,

$$|x - y_q^*| \gtrsim |x - y_1^*|$$

by (2.13). Therefore,

$$|f(x, y)| = \prod_{j=1}^m |x - z_j^*| \sim \prod_j |x - y_j^*| \gtrsim |x - y_1^*|^m \sim d((x, y), V_f)^m.$$

We have again established (L_+) in this case.

Type 3. First, suppose f has at least one real root. Say y_1^* is a real root. For $(x, y) \notin \bigcup_{i,j} H(y_i^*, y_j^*)$,

$$|x - y_i^*| \sim |x - y_j^*|, \quad \text{for all } i, j. \quad (2.29)$$

Moreover,

$$|f(x, y)| = \prod_i |x - z_i^*| \sim \prod_i |x - y_i^*| \sim |x - y_1^*|^m.$$

Now,

$$d((x, y), V_f) \sim \min_i \{|x - y_i^*|\} \sim |x - y_1^*|$$

by (2.29), where y_i^* runs through all real roots.

Therefore we have

$$|f(x, y)| \sim d((x, y), V_f)^m. \quad (2.30)$$

Finally, suppose there is no real root. We still have (2.29). Since $(x, y) \notin H(y_i^*, y_j^*)$ for all i, j ,

$$|x - y_i^*| \gtrsim |y|^{\alpha_j} \quad \text{where } \alpha_j = O(y_i^* - y_j^*), \quad j \neq i.$$

Hence

$$|f(x, y)| \gtrsim |y|^{e(y^*, f)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Again, we have proved (L_+) .

To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to show that $L_+(f)$ is the smallest number having the property (L_+) . This follows from (2.20) and (2.25).

Proof of the Corollaries. Corollary 1 follows immediately. Corollary 2 is obvious. Corollary 4 follows from Puiseux's Theorem; the exponents of the roots z_i^* are rational numbers (with a same denominator). Corollary 3 follows from the fact that $O(z_i^*) \geq 1$ for all i , and hence $e(f, z_i^*) \geq O(f)$.

§3. Illustrative Examples

For two arcs $\gamma: x = y^*$, $\beta: x = z^*$, call $d(\gamma, \beta) = O(y^* - z^*)$ the degree of contact of γ and β .

For a real arc $\gamma: x = y^*$, the Lojasiewicz exponent of f along γ , $l_f(\gamma)$, is defined by

$$|f(y^*, y)| \sim d((y^*, y), V_f)^{l_f(\gamma)}.$$

In particular, if $f(x, y)$ is positive definite, then

$$f(y^*, y) \sim |y|^{l_f(\gamma)}.$$

EXAMPLE (3.1). $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^{10}$. Both roots are non-real. The real springboards of the roots are $\gamma_i: x = t_i y^5$, $i = 1, 2$.

For any real arc β ,

$$l_f(\beta) = \begin{cases} 10 & \text{if } d(\gamma_i, \beta) \geq 5 \\ 2d(\gamma_i, \beta) & \text{if } d(\gamma_i, \beta) \leq 5. \end{cases}$$

(3.2). As β varies so that $d(\gamma_i, \beta)$ increases, $l_f(\beta)$ increases.

The maximal value of $l_f(\beta)$ is 10 and is taken when $d(\gamma_i, \beta) \geq 5$.

Observe that $L(f) = 10$ by Corollary 1.

A phenomenon similar to (3.2) appears in the next example.

EXAMPLE (3.3). $f(x, y) = (x^2 + y^{10})((x - y^3)^2 + y^{40})$.

Consider the real springboard $\gamma_1: x = ty^5$, arising from the first factor, we have $l_f(\gamma_1) = 16$. Let us perturb γ_1 to $\beta: x = ty^5 + (sy^d + \text{terms of degree} > d)$, where $s \neq 0$, $|s|$ small. For $d = d(\gamma_1, \beta)$ varies in the range $1 \leq d < \infty$,

$$l_f(\beta) = \begin{cases} 16 & \text{if } d \geq 5 \\ 2d + 6 & \text{if } 5 \geq d \geq 3 \\ 4d & \text{if } 3 \geq d \end{cases}$$

(3.4). As $d(\gamma_1, \beta)$ increases, $l_f(\beta)$ increases.

Observe that $l_f(\gamma_1) = 16$ is a maximal value, which is reached when $d \geq 5$.

Now consider $\gamma_2: x = y^3 + ty^{20}$, the real springboard of a root of the second factor.

For any $\beta : x = (y^3 + ty^{20}) + (sy^d + \dots)$, we have

$$l_f(\beta) = \begin{cases} 46 & \text{if } d \geq 20 \\ 6 + 2d & \text{if } 20 \geq d \geq 3 \\ 4d & \text{if } 3 \geq d \end{cases}$$

(3.5). Again, as $d = d(\gamma_2, \beta)$ increases, $l_f(\beta)$ increases.

Observe that $l_f(\gamma_2) = 46$ is a maximal value. Also, by Corollary 1, $L(f) = 46$.

When $f(x, y)$ has real roots, the way l_f varies near a real root is quite different from that near a non-real root as in the last two examples.

EXAMPLE (3.6). $f(x, y) = (x - y^2)(x^4 + y^{10})$.

Consider the value of $l_f(\beta)$, where

$$\beta : x = y^2 + (sy^d + \dots), \quad s \neq 0.$$

We have, along β ,

$$|f(x, y)| = \begin{cases} |y|^{d+10} & d \geq 5/2 \\ |y|^{5d} & 5/2 \geq d, \end{cases}$$

$$d((x, y), V_f) \sim |y|^d.$$

Hence

$$l_f(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 + 10/d & d \geq 5/2 \\ 5 & 5/2 \geq d. \end{cases}$$

Now observe that in contrast with (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5), $l_f(\beta)$ decreases as d increases.

The maximal value of l_f over arcs of type β is 5. Note that $O(f) = 5$. However, the maximal value of l_f near a real springboard of either root of the second factor is 12; and $L(f) = 12$.

In this example, $e(f, \gamma_i^*)/\delta(f, \gamma_i^*) = 12 > O(f) = 5$.

It is not true, however, that for general f , $e/\delta \geq O(f)$.

EXAMPLE (3.7). $f(x, y) = x(x - y^q)(x^2 + y^{2p})$, $p > q$. Then $e = p + q + 2p$, $\delta = p$, $O(f) = 4$, and $e/\delta < O(f)$.

To close this section, we give an example due to Lojasiewicz, which shows that for a polynomial f of degree n , one can have $L(f) > n$.

EXAMPLE (3.8). ([2], p. 85).

$$f(x, y) = x^{2n} + (x - y^n)^2 = (x - y^n + ix^n)(x - y^n - ix^n).$$

The roots are $z_1^*, z_2^* : x = y^n \pm iy^{n^2} + \dots$. We have $e(f, z_i^*) = 2n^2 = L(f)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] KUO, T. C., *A Complete Determination of C^0 -Sufficiency in $J^r(2, 1)$* , Invent. Math. 8 (1969), 226–235.
- [2] LOJASIEWICZ, S., *Ensembles Semi-Analytiques*, Memo. I.H.E.S. (1965).
- [3] MALGRANGE, B., *Ideals of Differentiable Functions*, Oxford Univ. Press (1966).
- [4] WALKER, R., *Algebraic Curves*, Dover (1962).

*Departement of Mathematics
New Asia College
The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Shatin, Kowloon, Hong Kong*

Received September 25, 1973