

# Geodesics Satisfying General Boundary Conditions

Autor(en): **Grove, Karsten**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **48 (1973)**

PDF erstellt am: **30.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-37162>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## Geodesics Satisfying General Boundary Conditions

by KARSTEN GROVE<sup>1)</sup>

The existence of infinitely many geodesics joining orthogonal two submanifolds  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of a Riemannian manifold  $M$  has been studied in Morse [4] and in Serre [5] under the assumptions  $V_1$  compact and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . Existence of such geodesics is in that case clear. If however  $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  this is not in general the case (see §2). It is the purpose of this paper to examine that situation.

In §1 we shall study geodesics satisfying a general boundary condition. Special cases of this boundary condition are satisfied by  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics, closed geodesics and isometry-invariant geodesics (see Grove [2], [3]). In §2 we concentrate on  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics. A typical result in that section is that if  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are compact and  $M$  is contractible then there exists  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics.

The main tools in our approach are critical point theory on infinite dimensional manifolds and elementary homotopy theory.

### §1. $N$ -Geodesics

Throughout this paper  $M$  will be a connected complete Riemannian manifold and  $N \subset M \times M$  a closed submanifold of  $M \times M$ . We shall say that a geodesic  $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow M$  is a  $N$ -geodesic if it satisfies the boundary condition

$$(\gamma(0), \gamma(1)) \in N \quad \text{and} \quad (\dot{\gamma}(0), -\dot{\gamma}(1)) \text{ is normal to } N, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\dot{\gamma}(t)$  denotes the velocity vector of  $\gamma$  at  $t$  and  $M \times M$  is endowed with the product metric.

EXAMPLES. (1)  $\Delta(M)$ -geodesics are closed geodesics on  $M$ .

(2)  $\text{graph}(A)$ -geodesics,  $A: M \rightarrow M$  an isometry, are  $A$ -invariant geodesics (see [2] and [3]).

(3)  $V_1 \times V_2$ -geodesics are  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics.

Let  $L_1^2(I, M)$  denote the complete Riemannian Hilbertmanifold consisting of absolutely continuous curves  $\sigma: I = [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  with  $\dot{\sigma}$  square integrable (see Flaschel and Klingenberg [1]). From the propositions I.1.4 and I.1.5 of [2] it follows easily that

---

<sup>1)</sup> This work was done under the program "Sonderforschungsbereich Theoretische Mathematik" (SFB 40) at the University of Bonn.

*N*-geodesics are in one to one correspondence with critical points for the energy integral  $E: \Lambda_N(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\sigma \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \|\dot{\sigma}\|^2$ , where  $\Lambda_N(M) = \{\sigma \in L_1^2(I, M) \mid (\sigma(0), \sigma(1)) \in N\}$  is a submanifold of  $L_1^2(I, M)$ . Furthermore  $E: \Lambda_N(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies condition (C) of Palais and Smale if projection on the first,  $P_1(N) \subset M$  or the second factor  $P_2(N) \subset M$  is compact (Theorem I.2.4 of [2]). We shall therefore assume that e.g.  $P_1(N) \subset M$  is compact. To make successful use of critical point theory for Hilbert-manifolds we assume furthermore that  $N \cap \Delta$  is a union of closed submanifolds, – here  $\Delta$  denotes the diagonal  $\Delta(M)$  of  $M$  in  $M \times M$ .

With  $N$  as above we have,

LEMMA 1.2. *If there are no non-trivial N-geodesics on M, then the inclusion*

$$e: N \cap \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda_N(M), \quad e(x, x)(t) = x \quad \forall t \in I$$

*is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof (Sketch).* First we observe that each component of  $N \cap \Delta$  is a compact non-degenerate critical submanifold of  $\Lambda_N(M)$  of index 0. To see this we just note that the Hessian of  $E: \Lambda_N(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  at a constant curve  $\bar{p}: I \rightarrow M$ ,  $\bar{p}(t) = p$  for all  $t \in I$  is given by

$$H(E)_{\bar{p}}(X, Y) = \int_0^1 \langle X'(t), Y'(t) \rangle_p dt$$

for all  $X, Y \in T_{\bar{p}}\Lambda_N(M)$ . From this and  $(X(0), X(1)) \in TN$  for  $X \in T\Lambda_N(M)$  it easily follows that each component of  $N \cap \Delta$  is a non-degenerate critical submanifold of index 0. We can now argue exactly as in the proofs of Corollary II.3 and Lemma II.4 of [2], i.e. by the generalized Morse Lemma and condition (C) prove that there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $N \cap \Delta$  is a strong deformation retract of  $\Lambda_N(M)^\varepsilon := \{\sigma \in \Lambda_N(M) \mid E(\sigma) < \varepsilon\}$ . Assuming that there are no critical values  $> 0$  (no non-trivial *N*-geodesics) we obtain from this, completeness of  $\Lambda_N(M)$  and condition (C) that the inclusion  $e: N \cap \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda_N(M)$  is a weak homotopy equivalence and hence a homotopy equivalence.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 1.3. *If there are no non-trivial N-geodesics on M, then there is an exact sequence of homotopy groups*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{*+1}(N) \xrightarrow{(P_1)_{*+1} - (P_2)_{*+1}} \pi_{*+1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_*(N \cap \Delta) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_*(N), \quad * \geq 0.$$

Exactness at  $\pi_{*-1}(N \cap \Delta)$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall [k] \in \pi_*(M) \exists [g] \in \pi_*(N) \exists [h] \in \pi_*(N, N \cap \Delta) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ [k] = ((P_1)_*([h]) - (P_2)_*([h])) - ((P_1)_*([g]) - (P_2)_*([g])) \quad * \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

(for  $*=1$  read multiplicative).

*Proof.* The inclusion  $\Lambda_N(M) \rightarrow C_N^0(M) := \{f \in C^0(I, M) \mid (f(0), f(1)) \in N\}$  is a homotopy equivalence (Theorem I.1.3 of [2]) so instead of  $\Lambda_N(M)$  we consider  $C_N^0(M)$ . Consider now the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \rightarrow \pi_{*+1}(C_N^0 M, \Omega M) & & & & & \\ & \downarrow P_{*+1} \cong & \searrow \delta & & & & \\ \rightarrow \pi_{*+1}(N) & \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_*(\Omega M) & \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_*(C_N^0 M) & \xrightarrow{P_*} \pi_*(N) & & & \\ & \searrow H \circ \partial & \downarrow H \cong & \uparrow e_* \cong & \nearrow i_* & & \\ & & \pi_{*+1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_*(N \cap \Delta) & & & & \end{array}$$

where the mid-sequence is the exact sequence for the fibration  $P: C_N^0(M) \rightarrow N$ ,  $P(f) = (f(0), f(1))$  with fiber the loop space  $\Omega M$  of  $M$  (Serre [5]), where  $\delta$  is the boundary map in the exact sequence for the pair  $(C_N^0(M), \Omega M)$  and  $H$  is the Hurewicz map. We shall compute the maps in cupic homotopy.

Let  $\alpha: I^q \times I \rightarrow C_N^0(M)$  represent an element  $[\alpha]$  in  $\pi_{*+1}(C_N^0 M, \Omega M)$ . Evaluation of  $\alpha_i: I^q \times \{0\} \rightarrow \Omega M$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  represents  $H \circ \partial[\alpha] = H \circ \partial(P_*[\alpha])$ . From this we see that evaluation of  $\alpha: I^{q+1} \rightarrow C_N^0 M$ , is a homotopy between  $-P_1 \circ P \circ \alpha + \hat{\alpha}_i + P_2 \circ P \circ \alpha$  and the constant map, thus  $H \circ \partial([\beta]) = (P_1)_*([\beta]) - (P_2)_*([\beta])$  for all  $[\beta] = P_*[\alpha] \in \pi_{*+1}(N)$ . Since we assume that there are no non-trivial  $N$ -geodesics  $e_*: \pi_*(N \cap \Delta) \rightarrow \pi_*(C_N^0 M)$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.2 and the lower sequence is the desired sequence.

We will now examine in detail what exactness at  $\pi_*(N \cap \Delta)$  i.e.  $e_*(\ker i_*) = \text{im } j_*$  means.

Let  $[f] \in \pi_q(\Omega M)$  be represented by  $f: I^q \rightarrow \Omega M$ . Since  $j_*([f]) \in \text{im } e_*$  there is a homotopy

$$G_1: I^q \times I \rightarrow C_N^0(M)$$

with  $G_1(\cdot, 0) = j \circ f$  and  $G_1(\cdot, 1): I^q \rightarrow e(N \cap \Delta)$ . Identifying  $e(N \cap \Delta)$  with  $N \cap \Delta$  we get from  $[G_1(\cdot, 1)] \in e_*(\ker i_*)$  a homotopy

$$G_2: I^q \times I \rightarrow N$$

with  $G_2(\cdot, 0) = G_1(\cdot, 1)$  and  $G_2(\cdot, 1) = \text{base point}$ . The homotopies  $P \circ G_1: I^q \times I \rightarrow N$  and  $G_2: I^q \times I \rightarrow N$  combines to an element  $[g] \in \pi_{q+1}(N)$  and  $G_2$  itself represents an element  $[h] \in \pi_{q+1}(N, N \cap \Delta)$ . Evaluation of  $G_1, \hat{G}_1: I^q \times I \times I \rightarrow M$  give rise to a homotopy between  $P_1 \circ g + H(f) - P_2 \circ g: I^{q+1} \rightarrow M$  and  $P_1 \circ h - P_2 \circ h: I^{q+1} \rightarrow M$ , note that  $\hat{G}_1(\cdot, 0, \cdot) = H(f)$  i.e.  $H[f] = (P_{1*+1}[h] - P_{2*+1}[h]) - (P_{1*+1}[g] - P_{2*+1}[g])$ . Q.E.D.

*Remark.* From theorem 1.3 we can derive the following theorems. *If  $M$  is compact there exist closed geodesics on  $M$ . If  $V$  is a compact submanifold of  $M$  and if there are no  $V - V$ -connecting geodesics then the inclusion  $N \rightarrow M$  is a homotopy equivalence.* We can also obtain some partial results from [2] and [3] by this theorem.

## §2. $V_1 - V_2$ -Connecting Geodesics.<sup>2)</sup>

In this paragraph  $N = V_1 \times V_2$ , where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are closed connected submanifold of  $M$ ,  $V_1$  is compact and  $V_1 \cap V_2$  is a union of closed submanifolds of  $M$  (may be of different dimensions). As mentioned in §1 is a  $V_1 \times V_2$ -geodesic  $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) \in V_1$ ,  $\gamma(1) \in V_2$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}(0) \perp V_1$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(1) \perp V_2$ .

We shall derive all our conclusions from the exact sequence of Theorem 1.3, which in the case  $N = V_1 \times V_2$  can be written as,

$$\rightarrow \pi_{*+1}(V_1 \times V_2) \xrightarrow{(i_1)_{*+1} - (i_2)_{*+1}} \pi_{*+1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_*(V_1 \cap V_2) \rightarrow \pi_*(V_1 \times V_2) \quad (2.1)$$

We get immediately

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Suppose that  $\dim(V_1 \cap V_2) = 0$  and that  $\pi_1(M) = 0$  or  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{pt\}$ . If there are no non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M$  then all the homotopy groups of  $M$  are isomorphic to those of  $V_1 \times V_2$ , - in fact  $(i_1)_* - (i_2)_*: \pi_*(V_1 \times V_2) \rightarrow \pi_*(M)$  is an isomorphism.*

The following example illustrates this corollary.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be Riemannian manifolds and let  $M = V \times W$  be endowed with the product metric. For a fixed  $(v, w) \in M$  put  $V_1 = V \times \{w\}$  and  $V_2 = \{v\} \times W$ , then there are no non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M$ . Other immediate consequences of (2.1) are

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *If  $V_1 \cap V_2$  is not connected and  $M$  is 1-connected then there exists non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics.*

---

<sup>2)</sup> Note that  $N$ -geodesics are in 1-1-correspondence with  $N - \Delta(M)$ -connecting geodesics in  $M \times M$  with product metric (see e.g. L. N. Pattersen, On the index theorem, Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963), 271-297).

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Suppose that  $M$  is a  $K(\pi, 1)$  (e.g.  $M$  has negative curvature) and that there are no non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M$ . Then we have:*

(1) *If  $\pi_1(V_1) = \pi_1(V_2) = 0$  then  $\pi_1(V_1 \cap V_2) = 0$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  is finite (impossible if  $M$  has neg. curvature).*

(2) *If  $V_1 \cap V_2$  consists only of isolated points then  $V_1 \times V_2$  is a  $K(\pi', 1)$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Suppose that  $M$  is contractible. Then we have:*

(1) *If  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are compact there exists non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics. ( $V_1 = V_2 = \{pt\}$  not included).*

(2) *If  $V_2$  is contractible and there are no non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M$  then  $V_1 \subset V_2$ .*

*Proof.* (1) From (2.1) we get that

$$i: V_1 \cap V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \times V_2, \quad i(p) = (p, p) \quad p \in V_1 \cap V_2$$

is a homotopy equivalence if there are no non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics. Since now  $V_1 \times V_2$  is compact we get especially that  $\dim(V_1 \cap V_2) = \dim(V_1 \times V_2)$  which is impossible except for the case  $V_1 = V_2 = \text{point}$ .

(2) In the case  $V_2$  contractible we obtain that

$$V_1 \cap V_2 \rightarrow V_1$$

is a homotopy equivalence if there are no non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M$ . By compactness  $\dim(V_1 \cap V_2) = \dim V_1$  which then implies that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = V_1$  or equivalently  $V_1 \subset V_2$ .

*Remark.*  $M = \mathbf{R}^3 \supset \mathbf{R}^2 = V_2 \supset V_1 = S^1$  gives an example of (2) in corollary 2.5. – It is clearly difficult to get more general results from (2.1). In concrete situations however where one knows more about homotopy groups of  $M$ ,  $V_1$ , and  $V_2$  (2.1) is useful in deciding whether there exists  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M$ .

Let us finish with some remarks on the case where  $M = \Sigma^n$  is a homotopy sphere.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $M = \Sigma^n$  be a homotopy sphere. Then*

(1) *If  $V_1 \cap V_2$  consists only of isolated points there exists non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M$ .*

(2) *If there is a  $q < n - 1$  so that  $\pi_q(V_1) \neq 0$  and  $\pi_q(V_2) \neq 0$  then there exists non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M$  (more general  $q < l - 1$  if  $M$  is  $(l - 1)$ -connected).*

*Proof.* Since  $\max(\dim V_1, \dim V_2) < n$  we have that  $\pi_q(V_1 \times V_2) \neq 0$  for some  $q < n$ . This together with corollary 2.2 proves (1). To prove (2) we see from (2.1) that  $i_*: \pi_*(V_1 \cap V_2) \rightarrow \pi_*(V_1 \times V_2)$  is an isomorphism for  $* < n - 1$  if there are no non-

trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics. If there is a  $q < n - 1$  with  $\pi_q(V_1) \neq 0$  and  $\pi_q(V_2) \neq 0$  this is clearly impossible.

To illustrate that no reasonable general existence results, besides those already mentioned, can be expected, let us give one more example on non-existence of  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics.

EXAMPLE. Let  $M = S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  with standard metric of constant curvature 1 and similar  $V_2 = S^2$  the equator of  $S^3$ . Then for any  $V_1 = S^1$  embedded in  $S^3$  such that  $V_1 \cap (-V_1) = \emptyset$  there are no non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics. On the other hand we note that if  $V_1 = S^k$ ,  $V_2 = S^l$  and  $V_i \setminus V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  then there exists non-trivial  $V_1 - V_2$ -connecting geodesics on  $M = S^n$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] FLASCHEL, P. and KLINGENBERG, W., *Riemannsche Hilbertmannigfaltigkeiten. Periodische, Geodätische* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 282 (1972, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York).
- [2] GROVE, K., *Condition (C) for the energy integral on certain path-spaces and applications to the theory of geodesics*, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.
- [3] —, *Isometry-invariant geodesics*, to appear.
- [4] MORSE, M., *Calculus of Variations in the Large*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Vol. XVIII (1934).
- [5] SERRE, J.-P., *Homologie singulière des espace fibrés*, Ann. of Math. 54 (1951), 425–505.

*Mathematisches Institute der Universität Bonn,  
Germany.*

Received April 24, 1973