

Iterated Suspensions.

Autor(en): **Berstein, I. / Ganea, T.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **45 (1970)**

PDF erstellt am: **28.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-34665>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Iterated Suspensions

by I. BERSTEIN and T. GANEA¹⁾

We give conditions for a space Y to have the homotopy type of a k -fold suspension. Our first main result is Theorem 1.4, which states that, under certain connectivity and dimension assumptions, $Y \approx \Sigma^k W$ if and only if the evaluation map $\Sigma^k \Omega^k Y \rightarrow Y$ admits a homotopy cross-section. The corresponding theorem for desuspending maps rather than spaces is 1.6. The results of the first section are used to prove a more effective criterion for the case $k=2$, answering a question raised by A. Haefliger. Specifically, Theorem 2.7 states that a $(n-1)$ -connected, $(2n-1)$ -dimensional finite CW-complex Y , $n \geq 3$, is homotopically equivalent to a double suspension if and only if Sq^{n-1} (for n odd), or δSq^{n-2} (for n even), where δ is the integral Bockstein, vanish in Y . Examples are given which show that our results are best possible.

Our basic tool is Lemma 1.1 which is of an independent interest. Both 1.1 and 1.4 can be used to give new proofs for certain known results.

§ 1. The spaces we consider are supposed to have the based homotopy type of CW-complexes: all maps and homotopies respect base-points. Using [9] one can easily see that all the constructions in this paper will keep us inside this category. As usual, if A is a space, CA is the reduced cone over A with vertex at 0. ΣA is the reduced suspension, PA is the space of paths in A emanating from $*$, and ΩA is the loop-space. The smashed product of A and B is denoted by $A \ast B = A \times B / A \vee B$ and their join by $A \star B$. If $s \in [0, 1]$, $a \in A$ then the class of (s, a) in CA is denoted by sa and in ΣA the corresponding class is $\langle s, a \rangle$; the class of (a, b) in $A \ast B$ is $a \ast b$ and the class of (s, a, b) in $A \star B$ is $(1-s)a \oplus sb$. Finally, the reduced diagonal $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \ast A$ is defined by $\Delta(a) = a \ast a$.

We start by proving

LEMMA 1.1. *If Y is $(n-1)$ -connected, Z is 0-connected, $\pi_1(Z)$ is abelian, and if $g: Y \rightarrow \Sigma^k Z$ is m -connected with $m \geq n-1 \geq k \geq 1$, then there exists an $(n-1-k)$ -connected space X and an $(m+n-k)$ -connected map $f: \Sigma^k X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g \circ f$ is homotopic to a k -fold suspension.*

¹⁾ Partially supported by NSF grants.

Proof. To simplify notation, set $A = \Sigma^{k-1}Z$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F & \xrightarrow{j} & A & \longrightarrow & A \cup CF & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Sigma F \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow v \\
 F & \xrightarrow{j} & A & \xrightarrow{\partial \circ e} & G & \longrightarrow & G \cup CA \xrightarrow{h} CG \cup CA \\
 & & \downarrow e & & \parallel & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\
 & & \Omega \Sigma A & \xrightarrow{\partial} & G & \xrightarrow{i} & Y & \xrightarrow{d} & Y \cup CG \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma A
 \end{array}$$

where G is the “fibre” of g with “inclusion” i so that

$$G = \{(y, \sigma) \in Y \times P\Sigma A \mid g(y) = \sigma(1)\} \quad \text{and} \quad i(y, \sigma) = y.$$

Let d be the inclusion, and let r be the natural extension of g given by $r(s(y, \sigma)) = \sigma(s)$. By the Serre theorem, r is $(m+n)$ -connected. Let ∂ and e be the inclusion and the natural embedding, respectively; thus $\partial(\omega) = (*, \omega)$ and $e(a)(s) = \langle s, a \rangle$. Since $i \circ \partial = *$, we may extend i to a map p by setting $p(CA) = *$. Also, let q be the map defined by p and the identity map of CG . The homotopy $h_t: CG \cup CA \rightarrow \Sigma A$ given by $h_t(s(y, \sigma)) = \sigma(st)$ and $h_t(sa) = \langle (1-s)(1-t) + t, a \rangle$ reveals that $r \circ q$ is homotopic to a map γ which collapses CG to the base-point followed by inversion of ΣA . Hence, by [10, Satz 3, p. 309], $r \circ q$ is a homotopy equivalence, and the connectivity of r implies that q is $(m+n-1)$ -connected so that, by the five-lemma, p is homology $(m+n-1)$ -connected. Next, let F be the “fibre” of $\partial \circ e$ with “inclusion” j , let u be the natural extension of $\partial \circ e$, and let v be defined by u and the identity map of CA . Since $\pi_1(A)$ is abelian if $k=1$ and zero if $k \geq 2$, the connectivity of ΣA implies that A is $(n-2)$ -connected and so e is $(2n-3)$ -connected; also, ∂ is $(n-1)$ -connected. It follows that F is $(n-2)$ -connected and, since G is $(m-1)$ -connected, the Serre theorem in the form given in [5, Prop. 2.1, p. 301] implies that u is $(m+n-1)$ -connected so that, by the 5-lemma, v is homology $(m+n-1)$ -connected. By [10, *ibid.*] the map φ which collapses CA to the base-point is a homotopy equivalence; let ψ be its inverse. Let h in the diagram denote inclusion, and let $\theta: \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma A$ be inversion; then, $\gamma \circ h \circ v \simeq \theta \circ \Sigma j \circ \varphi$ via the homotopy $h_t: CA \cup CF \rightarrow \Sigma A$ given by $h_t(sa) = \langle s(1-t), a \rangle$ and $h_t(sx) = \langle (1-s)t + 1-t, j(x) \rangle$, $a \in A$, $x \in F$. Therefore,

$$g \circ p \circ v \circ \psi = r \circ q \circ h \circ v \circ \psi \simeq \theta \circ \gamma \circ h \circ v \circ \psi \simeq \Sigma j$$

and, since ΣF and Y are 1-connected,

$$p \circ v \circ \psi \text{ is } (m+n-1)\text{-connected.}$$

If $k=1$, we take $X=F$ and $f=p \circ v \circ \psi$. If $k \geq 2$, we assume the result to be true for $k-1$ and note that it may be applied to j . There results an $(n-2-(k-1))$ -connected space X and an $(m-1+n-1-(k-1))$ -connected map $f': \Sigma^{k-1}X \rightarrow F$ such that $j \circ f'$ is homotopic to a $(k-1)$ -fold suspension, and to conclude the proof it only remains to set $f = p \circ v \circ \psi \circ \Sigma f'$.

Remark 1.2. Obviously, the preceding construction is *functorial* in the sense that there is a map ξ yielding homotopy-commutativity in the first square of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^k X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & \Sigma^k Z \\ \downarrow \Sigma^k \xi & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \Sigma^k \zeta \\ \Sigma^k X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & \Sigma^k Z' \end{array}$$

provided the second square homotopy-commutes for some maps η and ζ . Another equally obvious property is the fact that f is a homotopy equivalence in case g is one. It follows that for any 1-connected space W and any map $h: \Sigma^k W \rightarrow Y$ such that $g \circ h$ is homotopic to a k -fold suspension, there is a map $\lambda: W \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ \Sigma^k \lambda \simeq h$; for, in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^k X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & \Sigma^k W & \xrightarrow{1} & \Sigma^k W \\ \downarrow \Sigma^k \xi & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \Sigma^k \zeta \\ \Sigma^k W & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & \Sigma^k W \end{array}$$

the second square homotopy-commutes for some ζ , hence the first one homotopy commutes for some ξ , and $1 \circ f' \simeq \Sigma^k \psi$ for some ψ ; also, f' is a homotopy equivalence and X' is 1-connected since so is W ; therefore, ψ is a homotopy equivalence and its inverse $\varphi: W \rightarrow X'$ satisfies $f \circ \Sigma^k (\xi \circ \varphi) \simeq h$.

Amusingly, we may derive the well-known

COROLLARY 1.3. *If Y is $(n-1)$ -connected and $n \geq k \geq 1$, the evaluation map $\Sigma^k \Omega^k Y \rightarrow Y$ is $(2n-k)$ -connected.*

Proof. If $n-1 \geq k \geq 1$, take $Z = *$ in Lemma 1.1 and note that F may be replaced by ΩY ; if $n = k$, note that any map $S^n \rightarrow Y$ lifts to a map $S^n \rightarrow \Sigma^n \Omega^n Y$ because S^n is an n -fold suspension.

Next, if Y has the homotopy type of a k -fold suspension, then obviously there is a homotopy cross-section of the evaluation map. A partial converse of this fact constitutes the main result of this section.

THEOREM 1.4. *If Y is $(n-1)$ -connected and if there is a homotopy cross-section $g: Y \rightarrow \Sigma^k \Omega^k Y$ of the evaluation map, then Y has the homotopy type of a k -fold suspension provided $\dim Y \leq 3n-2k-1$ and $n-1 \geq k \geq 1$.*

Proof. By 1.3, g is $(2n-k-1)$ -connected. Then, 1.1 yields a 0-connected space X and a $(3n-2k-1)$ -connected map $f: \Sigma^k X \rightarrow Y$. Next, $H_{3n-2k-1}(Y)$ is free and $H_q(Y) = 0$ for $q > 3n-2k-1$. Hence, the homology decomposition result proved in [4, Theorem 2.1] yields a connected CW-complex W and a map $h: W \rightarrow X$ such that $h_q: H_q(W) \rightarrow$

$\rightarrow H_q(X)$ is isomorphic for $q < 3n - 3k - 1$, $f_{3n-2k-1} \circ (\Sigma^k h)_{3n-2k-1}$ is isomorphic, and $H_q(W) = 0$ for $q > 3n - 3k - 1$. Obviously, $f \circ \Sigma^k h: \Sigma^k W \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Remark 1.5. Let $\alpha_i: A_i \rightarrow \Sigma^k \Omega^k A_i$ be homotopy cross-sections of the evaluation maps, $i = 1, 2$. We describe a map $\phi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ as *primitive* if $\alpha_2 \circ \phi \simeq \Sigma^k \Omega^k \phi \circ \alpha_1$; when $k = 1$, this may be shown to be equivalent to the concept of primitivity used in [4]. Then, using the fact that $g \circ f$, hence $g \circ f \circ \Sigma^k h$, is homotopic to a k -fold suspension, it is easily proved that the homotopy equivalence $f \circ \Sigma^k h$ in the preceding proof is primitive with respect to g and $\Sigma^k e$, where $e: W \rightarrow \Omega^k \Sigma^k W$ is the natural embedding.

A companion to 1.4 is given by

THEOREM 1.6. *Suppose $\phi: \Sigma^k Y_1 \rightarrow \Sigma^k Y_2$ is primitive with respect to $\Sigma^k e_1$ and $\Sigma^k e_2$. Then ϕ is homotopic to a k -fold suspension provided Y_2 is $(n-1)$ -connected, Y_1 is 1-connected and $\dim Y_1 \leq 3n - 2$, $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^k Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^k \lambda_1} & \Sigma^k X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \Sigma^k Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^k e_1} & \Sigma^k \Omega^k \Sigma^k Y_1 \\ \downarrow \Sigma^k \mu & & \downarrow \Sigma^k \xi & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \Sigma^k \Omega^k \phi \\ \Sigma^k Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^k \lambda_2} & \Sigma^k X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \Sigma^k Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^k e_2} & \Sigma^k \Omega^k \Sigma^k Y_2 \end{array}$$

in which, by 1.2, the second square homotopy commutes for some ξ since, by assumption, so does the third. Applying the last part of 1.2 with $h = 1$, we obtain maps λ_i such that $f_i \circ \Sigma^k \lambda_i \simeq 1$. Since, f_2 is $(3n + k - 1)$ -connected, and both Y_2 and X_2 are 1-connected, λ_2 is $(3n - 2)$ -connected so that, since $\dim Y_1 \leq 3n - 2$, there is a map μ with $\lambda_2 \circ \mu \simeq \xi \circ \lambda_1$. Obviously, $\phi \simeq \Sigma^k \mu$.

The preceding theorem yields a unicity result concerning the process of desuspending:

COROLLARY 1.7. *Suppose Y_1 and Y_2 are both $(n-1)$ -connected and of dimension $\leq 3n - 2$, $n \geq 2$. If $\Sigma^k Y_1$ and $\Sigma^k Y_2$ have the same "primitive" homotopy type (with respect to $\Sigma^k e_1$ and $\Sigma^k e_2$) for some $k \geq 1$, then Y_1 and Y_2 have the same homotopy type.*

Remark 1.8. The "primitivity" is obviously necessary. The condition $\dim \leq 3n - 2$ is best possible as shown by the following example. Let Y_1 and Y_2 result respectively by attaching a 17-cell to S^6 by means of the trivial element and a non-trivial element in the kernel of the suspension

$$Z_{72} \times Z_2 = \pi_{16}(S^6) \rightarrow \pi_{17}(S^7) = Z_{24} \times Z_2.$$

Then, $Y_1 \not\approx Y_2$ but $\Sigma Y_1 \approx S^7 \vee S^{18} \approx \Sigma Y_2$. The homotopy equivalences are certainly primitive since $S^7 \vee S^{18}$ supports a single homotopy class of comultiplications, as

implied by the formula on the homotopy groups of wedges of spheres [7] and the fact that $\pi_{18}(S^{13}) = \pi_{18}(S^{19}) = \dots = 0$.

When $k = 1, 1.4$ and 1.6 yield alternative proofs of the main results in [4] without assuming any homology to be finitely generated. Let now $Y = S^3 \cup e^7$ result upon attaching the 7-cell by means of an element of order 3 in $\pi_6(S^3)$. Then, Y fails to have the homotopy type of a suspension but is a co- H -space [3, p. 444] and therefore, by [8, Chapter 7], admits a homotopy cross-section $Y \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega Y$. It follows easily that, for any $k \geq 1$, $M = \Sigma^{k-1} Y$ admits a homotopy cross-section $M \rightarrow \Sigma^k \Omega^k M$; also, M is $(k+1)$ -connected and $\dim M = k+6$, i.e., one unit higher than allowed in 1.4. We prove that M fails to have the homotopy type of a k -fold suspension, thus showing that 1.4 yields a best possible result. Suppose $M \approx \Sigma^k W$ for some W . Then, the Steenrod operation $P^1: H^2(W, \mathbb{Z}_3) \rightarrow H^6(W, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ vanishes since $P^1(x) = x^3$, the cup-cube, and $H^4(W, \mathbb{Z}_3) = H^5(Y, \mathbb{Z}_3) = 0$; since P^1 commutes with suspension, this contradicts the fact [11, p. 89, Corollary 2] that $P^1: H^{k+2}(M, \mathbb{Z}_3) \rightarrow H^{k+6}(M, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is isomorphic.

Remark 1.9. For $k=2$ an alternative proof of 1.4 may be given as follows. The composite

$$Y \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Sigma^2 \Omega^2 Y \xrightarrow{S} \Sigma^2 \Omega^2 Y \vee \Sigma^2 \Omega^2 Y \xrightarrow{p \vee p} Y \vee Y$$

where S is suspension comultiplication and p the evaluation map, is a homotopy-commutative comultiplication on Y . By [4, Th. A], Y has the primitive homotopy type of ΣX for some X which, by [3, p. 443], may be assumed 1-connected, hence $(n-2)$ -connected, and of dimension $\leq 3n-6$. Since its suspension is homotopy-commutative, X is a co- H -space by [2, Th. 1], hence a suspension by [4, Th. A]. It would be interesting to know how this type of proof generalizes to higher values of k . Conversely, the presence of a homotopy-commutative comultiplication does not imply, in general, the presence of a homotopy cross-section $Y \rightarrow \Sigma^2 \Omega^2 Y$; for, the space $Y = \Sigma K(Q, 1)$, where Q is the group of rationals and $K(Q, 1)$ is the Eilenberg-MacLane space, has a homotopy-commutative comultiplication [6, Example 5.3] but no homotopy cross-section exists since $H_2(Y)$ is not free.

Remark 1.10. If Y is a *finite* $(n-1)$ -connected complex and $Y \approx \Sigma^k X$, then there exists a *finite* complex W such that $Y \approx \Sigma^k W$ provided $n-2 \geq k \geq 1$. For, ΣX is $(n-k)$ -connected and, since $n-k \geq 2$, there exists [3, p. 443] a 1-connected CW -complex W such that $\Sigma X \approx \Sigma W$. Since W has finitely generated homology, vanishing above a certain dimension, $\pi_1(W) = 0$ enables us to assume that W is finite. As noticed by P. J. Hilton, a slightly more complicated argument, based on [4, Th. 2.1], shows that the Remark is true even for $n = k+1$.

§ 2. We shall first prove here two results of a rather technical nature. We describe

two maps $g, h: X \rightarrow Y$ as r -homotopic if $g \circ f \simeq h \circ f$ for any map $f: K \rightarrow X$, where K is any r -dimensional CW -complex; thus we may speak of an r -homotopy commutative diagram.

LEMMA 2.1. *If X is $(n-2)$ -connected, then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega\Sigma X * \Omega\Sigma X & \xrightarrow{j} & \Sigma\Omega\Sigma X \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \Sigma\Delta \\ \Sigma(\Omega\Sigma X * \Omega\Sigma X) & \xrightarrow{1+\Sigma\tau} & \Sigma(\Omega\Sigma X * \Omega\Sigma X) \end{array}$$

($3n-3$)-homotopy commutes; here j results by the Hopf construction from loop multiplication, Δ is the reduced diagonal map, θ the natural homotopy equivalence, τ is the map which interchanges factors, and “+” refers to track addition.

Proof. One has $j((1-s)\alpha \oplus s\beta) = \langle s, \alpha + \beta \rangle$, and $\theta((1-s)\alpha \oplus s\beta) = \langle s, \alpha * \beta \rangle$. Let $e: X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X$ be the natural embedding, and let P be the composite

$$\Sigma(\Omega\Sigma X * \Omega\Sigma X) \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega\Sigma X * \Omega\Sigma X \xrightarrow{p*1} \Sigma X * \Omega\Sigma X \rightarrow X * \Sigma\Omega\Sigma X \xrightarrow{1*p} X * \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma(X * X)$$

where p is the evaluation map and the remaining arrows are the obvious homotopy equivalences. Define

$$h_t: X * X \rightarrow \Sigma(X * X) \text{ by } h_t((1-s)a \oplus sb) = \begin{cases} \langle 4st + 1 - t, a * a \rangle & \text{if } 0 \leq 4s \leq 1, \\ \langle 4s - 1, a * b \rangle & \text{if } 1 \leq 4s \leq 2, \\ \langle 4s - 2, b * a \rangle & \text{if } 2 \leq 4s \leq 3, \\ \langle (4s - 3)t, b * b \rangle & \text{if } 3 \leq 4s \leq 4. \end{cases}$$

Then, $h_0 \simeq P \circ (1 + \Sigma\tau) \circ \theta \circ (e * e)$ and $h_1 = P \circ \Sigma\Delta \circ j \circ (e * e)$, and the result follows since $e * e$ is $(3n-3)$ -connected whereas P is $(3n-2)$ -connected.

We maintain the notation and prove

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose X is $(n-2)$ -connected and $\dim X \leq 3n-6$. Then, ΣX has the homotopy type a double suspension if and only if there is a map $\xi: \Sigma X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X * \Omega\Sigma X$ with $(1 + \Sigma\tau) \circ \theta \circ \xi \simeq \Sigma(\Delta \circ e)$, where $e: X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X$ is the natural embedding.*

Proof. Let $Y = \Sigma X$ and introduce the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^2\Omega^2 Y & \xrightarrow{\Sigma q} & \Sigma\Omega Y \xrightarrow{\Sigma r} \Sigma Q \\ & \nearrow j & \uparrow \Gamma \searrow \Sigma\Delta \downarrow \Sigma h \\ & p \downarrow & \\ \Omega Y * \Omega Y & \xleftarrow{\xi} & Y \quad \Sigma(\Omega Y * \Omega Y) \end{array}$$

where p is the evaluation map, q is the evaluation map for ΩY , and Q the “cofibre” of q with “projection” r . By [5, Th. 2.3], there is a $(3n-4)$ -connected map h with

$h \circ r \simeq \Delta$. It follows easily that an arbitrary map Γ compresses to $\Sigma^2 \Omega^2 Y$ if and only if $\Sigma \Delta \circ \Gamma \simeq 0$. Also, a compression of Γ is a homotopy cross-section of $p \circ \Sigma q$ if and only if Γ is one of p . The “fibre” of p has the homotopy type of the join $\Omega Y * \Omega Y$, and the “inclusion” j results by the Hopf construction from loop multiplication [1], [5, p. 303]. Therefore, any homotopy cross-section of p is of the form $\Gamma = \Sigma e - j \circ \xi$, where “ $-$ ” indicates track subtraction on ΣX . By 2.1, $\Sigma \Delta \circ \Gamma = \Sigma(\Delta \circ e) - (1 + \Sigma \tau) \circ \theta \circ \xi$ and the result now follows from 1.4.

In order to transform the condition in 2.2 into a cohomological one we need.

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that X is $(n-2)$ -connected, $\pi = \pi_{n-1}(X)$, and that $T: \pi \otimes \pi \rightarrow \pi \otimes \pi$ is given by $T(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{n-1} b \otimes a$. If $u \in H^{n-1}(X, \pi)$, $U \in H^{2n-2}(X \otimes X, \pi \otimes \pi)$ are the fundamental classes, then*

- i) $T_*(U) = \tau^*(U)$ and
- ii) $T_*(u^2) = \tau^*(u^2) = u^2$.

Proof. Since τ permutes the factors in $X \otimes X$, i) follows directly from the definition of U ; ii) follows from i) since $u^2 = \Delta^*(U)$, Δ^* commutes with T_* , and $\Delta^* = \Delta^* \circ \tau^*$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let X be as above, $\dim X \leq 2n-2$, and let $\pi = \pi_{n-1}(X)$ be finitely generated abelian. Suppose further that*

- i) $Sq^{n-1} \mid H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ if n is odd;
- ii) $\delta Sq^{n-2} \mid H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ if n is even, where $\delta: H^{2n-3}(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the integral Bockstein coboundary operator. Then the class $u^2 \in H^{2n-2}(X, \pi \otimes \pi)$ lies in the image of $1 + T_*$.

Proof. Let $\pi = \Sigma_i A_i$ $i = 1, \dots, m$, where A_i are infinite or primary cyclic groups. Then $\pi \otimes \pi = \Sigma_{i < j} A_{ij} \oplus \Sigma_k B_k$, where $A_{ij} = (A_i \otimes A_j) \oplus (A_j \otimes A_i)$, $B_k = A_k \otimes A_k$. Clearly A_{ij} and B_k are invariant under T .

Let $\kappa_{ij}: \pi \otimes \pi \rightarrow A_{ij}$ be the projections and $u_{ij} = \kappa_{ij*}(u^2)$. Then it follows from 2.3 ii), that $(1 - T_*)u_{ij} = 0$. The sequence

$$H^{2n-2}(X, A_{ij}) \xrightarrow{1+T_*} H^{2n-2}(X, A_{ij}) \xrightarrow{1-T_*} H^{2n-2}(X, A_{ij})$$

is easily seen to be exact, so that

$$u_{ij} \in \text{Im}(1 + T_*). \quad (2.5)$$

Similarly, let $\kappa_k: \pi \rightarrow A_k$ be the projection; then if $u_k = \kappa_{k*}(u)$ we have $(\kappa_k \otimes \kappa_k)_*(u^2) = u_k^2 \in H^{2n-2}(X, B_k)$.

a) n is odd. Then $T \mid B_k = \text{identity}$, and $1 + T_*$ is multiplication by 2 on $H^{2n-2}(X, B_k)$. Let λ be the composition $A_k \rightarrow A_k/2A_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ (the second map is either an isomorphism or zero) and let μ be the composition $B_k \xrightarrow{\lambda \otimes \lambda} \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then the sequence $B_k \xrightarrow{2} B_k \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{Z}_2$ is exact. By hypothesis, $\mu_*(u_k^2) = (\lambda_* u_k)^2 = Sq^{n-1}(\lambda_* u_k) = 0$, so that $\dim X \leq 2n-2$, and the exactness of the cohomology sequences induced by μ and

by multiplication by 2, imply

$$u_k^2 = \text{Im } 2 = \text{Im}(1 + T_*). \quad (2.6)$$

b) n is even. This time $T \mid B_k$ is multiplication by -1 so that $\text{Im}(1 + T_*) = 0$.

If δ is the integral Bockstein coboundary, corresponding to the sequence of coefficients $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0$ and if δ_q is the similar coboundary for the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2^q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2^{q+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0$ then $\delta_q = \varrho_{q*} \circ \delta$, where ϱ_q is reduction mod 2^q . Therefore ii) implies

$$(ii') \quad \delta_q Sq^{n-2} \mid H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0 \quad \text{for all } q.$$

If $A_k = B_k = \mathbb{Z}$ or if $A_k = B_k = \mathbb{Z}_{2^q}$, the identities $u_k^2 = \delta Sq^{n-2}(\varrho_{1*} u_k)$ or $u_k^2 = \delta_q Sq^{n-2}(\varrho_{1*} u_k)$ hold universally (it is enough to verify then for $X = K(\mathbb{Z}, n-1)$ and $X = K(\mathbb{Z}_{2^q}, n-1)$, where they follow from known calculations). Those identities, together with ii) and ii'), and the anticommutativity of cup-product imply $u_k^2 = 0$, i.e., (2.6) is true for even n too.

The conclusion of Lemma 2.4 now follows from (2.5) and (2.6) since $u^2 = \sum_{i < j} u_{ij} + \sum_k u_k^2$.

We are finally able to prove the main result of this section, which is

THEOREM 2.7. *Suppose that Y is an $(n-1)$ -connected space, $\dim Y \leq 2n-1$, $n \geq 3$, and suppose that $\pi = \pi_n(Y)$ is finitely generated. Then Y is homotopically equivalent to a double suspension if and only if*

- i) $Sq^{n-1} \mid H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ for n odd, or
- ii) $\delta Sq^{n-2} \mid H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ for n even.

Proof. Necessity is immediate: if $Y \approx \Sigma^2 W$ both Sq^{n-1} and δSq^{n-2} vanish in W for dimensional reasons and therefore, by stability of these operations, they vanish also in Y .

To prove sufficiency we first notice that $Y \approx \Sigma X$ for some X (this is well known and also an immediate consequence of 1.3 and 1.4). Let $V \in H^{2n-2}(\Omega \Sigma X * \Omega \Sigma X, \pi \otimes \pi)$ be the fundamental class. If $e: X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$ is the natural embedding, then, since $(e * e) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ e$ and $(e * e)^*(V) = U \in H^{2n-2}(X * X, \pi \otimes \pi)$, i) or ii) imply by 2.4 that $(1 + T_*)(w) = u^2 = \Delta^*(U) = (\Delta \circ e)^*(V)$ for some $w \in H^{2n-2}(X, \pi \otimes \pi)$. If we denote by $\Sigma w \in H^{2n-1}(\Sigma X, \pi \otimes \pi)$ and by $\Sigma V = H^{2n-1}(\Sigma(\Omega \Sigma X * \Omega \Sigma X), \pi \otimes \pi)$ the images of w and V under suspension, we obtain

$$(1 + T_*)(\Sigma w) = (\Sigma(\Delta \circ e))^*(\Sigma V).$$

Since in 2.1 and 2.2 the map θ is a homotopy equivalence and ΣV is a fundamental class, the assumption that $\dim \Sigma X \leq 2n-1$ implies the existence of a $\xi: \Sigma X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X * \Omega \Sigma X$

such that $(\theta \circ \xi)^*(\Sigma V) = \Sigma w$. We now get

$$(\theta \circ \xi)^*(1 + T_*)(\Sigma V) = (\Sigma(\Delta \circ e))^*(\Sigma V).$$

It follows from 2.3 i) that $T_*(\Sigma V) = (\Sigma \tau)^*(\Sigma V)$ so that

$$(\theta \circ \xi)^*(1 + \Sigma \tau)^*(\Sigma V) = (\Sigma(\Delta \circ e))^*(\Sigma V).$$

Again since $\dim \Sigma X \leq 2n - 1$ and ΣV is a fundamental class, we obtain $(1 + \Sigma \tau) \circ \theta \circ \xi \simeq \Sigma(\Delta \circ e)$ which, by 2.2, yields the needed result.

Remark 2.8. The restriction $n \geq 3$ in 2.7 is necessary. Indeed if $n = 2$, a 3-dimensional 1-connected CW-complex is a double suspension if and only if it has the homotopy type of a wedge of 2-spheres and 3-spheres. On the other hand, $Y = S^2 \cup_3 e^3$ (the attaching map is of degree 3) clearly satisfies condition 2.7 ii).

REFERENCES

- [1] W. D. BARCUS and J. P. MEYER, *The suspension of a loop-space*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 895–920.
- [2] I. BERSTEIN and T. GANEA, *On the homotopy-commutativity of suspensions*, Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 336–340.
- [3] I. BERSTEIN and P. J. HILTON, *Category and generalized Hopf invariant*, Illinois J. Math. 4 (1960), 437–452.
- [4] —, *On suspensions and comultiplications*, Topology, 2 (1963), 73–82.
- [5] T. GANEA, *A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspensions*, Comment. Math. Helvetici 39 (1965), 295–322.
- [6] T. GANEA, P. J. HILTON and F. P. PETERSON, *On the homotopy commutativity of loop-spaces and suspensions*, Topology 1 (1962), 133–141.
- [7] P. J. HILTON, *On the homotopy groups of wedges of spheres*, J. London Math. Soc. 30 (1955), 154–172.
- [8] —, *Homotopy theory and duality* (Gordon and Breach, New York 1965).
- [9] J. W. MILNOR, *On spaces having the homotopy type of CW-complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1959), 272–280.
- [10] D. PUPPE, *Homotopiemengen und ihre induzierten Abbildungen I*, Math. Z. 69 (1958), 299–344.
- [11] N. E. STEENROD and D. B. A. EPSTEIN, *Cohomology operations* (Princeton University Press, Princeton 1962).

Cornell University, University of Washington

Received November 28, 1969