

A Proof of Thom's Theorem.

Autor(en): **Liulevicius, A.L.**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **37 (1962-1963)**

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-28611>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

A Proof of Thom's Theorem¹⁾

by A. L. LIULEVICIUS, Chicago (Ill.)

§ 0. Introduction

The paper is designed to give a simple proof of a theorem of THOM (Théorème II. 10 of [11]), which states that the cohomology of the stable THOM object \mathbf{MO} is a free module over the STEENROD algebra A over Z_2 .

The proof is divided into three parts: we first recall that the stable cohomology is a coalgebra M over Z_2 , and show that the graded dual M^* is a polynomial algebra; we then prove that M^* is an algebra over A^* (the graded dual of A); lastly we show that M^* is isomorphic to a free comodule over A^* . As a corollary of the proof of the main theorem, we give a short proof of the structure theorem for the unoriented cobordism ring \mathfrak{N}_* .

It seems possible to prove the theorems of WALL [12] on \mathbf{MSO} in a similar way.

The author wishes to thank D.B.A. EPSTEIN for many chats about HOPF algebras.

§ 1. Cohomology of the Thom Spectrum

Let $O(n)$ be the n -dimensional real orthogonal group, $B_{O(n)}$ the classifying space for $O(n)$, γ_n the classifying n -plane bundle over $B_{O(n)}$. Let $\eta_n: E \rightarrow B_{O(n)}$ be the n -disk bundle associated with γ_n , $\eta_n: \partial E \rightarrow B_{O(n)}$ the $(n-1)$ -sphere bundle associated with η_n . Let $MO(n)$ be the space obtained from E by collapsing ∂E to a point. $MO(n)$ is called the THOM space of $O(n)$ ([11], [7], [3]).

The inclusion $O(n) \times 1 \subset O(n+1)$ induces a map

$$MO(n) \otimes S^1 \rightarrow MO(n+1) \quad (1.1)$$

which yields isomorphisms of cohomology and homotopy in dimensions

$$n+k, \quad k < n.$$

Thus a spectrum \mathbf{MO} is obtained:

$$\mathbf{MO} = (\text{point}, MO(1), MO(2), \dots, MO(k), MO(k+1), \dots). \quad (1.2)$$

The cohomology groups of MO are defined as follows (we will only consider coefficients Z_2):

¹⁾ The paper was written at The Institute for Advanced Study while the author held a National Science Foundation post-doctoral fellowship.

$$H^k(MO; Z_2) = H^{n+k}(MO(n); Z_2) \quad k < n. \quad (1.3)$$

We will write M for $\sum_k H^k(MO; Z_2)$. The STEENROD algebra operates on M . The A -module structure of M is given by THOM's theorem:

Theorem 1. (THOM). The A -module M is a free A -module, with free generators $u(\omega)$ in one-to-one correspondence with partitions ω of integers into integers, none of which have the form $2^t - 1$ for $t > 0$.

The theorem was first proved in [11]. A new proof will be given in § 3.

The additive structure of M is easily determined. Let $s: B_{0(n)} \rightarrow E$ be the zero cross section of η_n , above. We still denote by s the map induced by s into $MO(n) = E/\partial E$. It is well known [7] that s^* is a monomorphism, and that $\text{Image } s^* = w_n H^*(B_{0(n)}; Z_2)$, where w_n is the top STIEFEL-WHITNEY class.

Since $H^*(B_{0(n)}; Z_2) = Z_2[w_1, \dots, w_n]$, we have the result that

$$M \cong Z_2[w_1, \dots, w_k, \dots], \quad (1.4)$$

as graded vector spaces, where $\text{grade}(w_k) = k$.

It has been noted [9] that, although M does not have a natural algebra structure, it does have a natural coalgebra [8] structure. Consider the usual inclusion

$$0(m) \times 0(n) \subset 0(m+n); \quad (1.5)$$

it induces a map

$$\varrho_{m,n}: MO(m) \otimes MO(n) \rightarrow MO(m+n). \quad (1.6)$$

The maps $\varrho_{m,n}$ induce

$$\varrho^*: M \rightarrow M \otimes M, \quad (1.7)$$

which make M into a coalgebra over Z_2 (the symbol \otimes of course stands for \otimes_{Z_2}), and the coproduct ϱ^* is consistent with the operation of A on M , that is, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes \varrho^*} & A \otimes A \otimes M \otimes M \xrightarrow{1 \otimes T \otimes 1} A \otimes M \otimes A \otimes M \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \otimes \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varrho^*} & M \otimes M, \end{array} \quad (1.8)$$

where $\pi: A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ is the action of A on M , $\psi: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is the co-product [6] in A , and T is the twist map which interchanges factors.

We can describe the map ϱ^* very easily, because the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 MO(m) \times MO(n) & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & MO(m+n) \\
 \uparrow \approx & & \uparrow s \\
 M(0(m) \times 0(n)) & & \\
 \uparrow s & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & B_{0(m+n)} \\
 B_{0(m) \times 0(n)} & &
 \end{array} \quad (1.9)$$

where σ is the WHITNEY direct sum map, induced from (1.5).

Under the isomorphism (1.4) ϱ^* corresponds to σ^* , but σ^* is well known (as the WHITNEY direct sum theorem [5]):

$$\sigma^*(w_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} w_i \otimes w_j. \quad (1.10)$$

§ 2. Comodules over A^*

Let A^* be the graded dual of the STEENROD algebra A over Z_2 . Let φ be the product and ψ the coproduct of A ; we will denote by φ^* the coproduct and ψ^* the product of A^* . If we let $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow Z_2$ be the augmentation of A and $\eta: Z_2 \rightarrow A$ the unit of A , then the dual maps ε^* and η^* are the unit and augmentation of A^* . According to [6], A^* is the algebra of polynomials $Z_2[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \dots]$, grade $\xi_n = 2^n - 1$, with the coproduct given by

$$\varphi^*(\xi_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \xi_{n-i}^{2^i} \otimes \xi_i. \quad (2.1)$$

The notion of a comodule L over A^* is just the obvious dualization of the notion of a module over A :

Definition. A Z_2 -module L is called a comodule over A^* if there exists a map

$$\mu: L \rightarrow A^* \otimes L, \quad (2.2)$$

called the coaction of A^* , such that the following two diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A^* \otimes L \\
 \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu \\
 A^* \otimes L & \xrightarrow{\varphi^* \otimes 1} & A^* \otimes A^* \otimes L,
 \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A^* \otimes L \\
 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta^* \otimes 1 \\
 L & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Z_2 \otimes L.
 \end{array} \quad (2.4)$$

We immediately cite examples of A^* -comodules.

1. A^* itself is a comodule over A^* under φ^* as coaction.
2. If N is a graded module over A (suppose that N is finite dimensional in each grading) with action

$$\lambda: A \otimes N \rightarrow N, \quad (2.5)$$

then the graded dual N^* is a comodule over A^* with coaction the dual of λ :

$$\lambda^*: N^* \rightarrow A^* \otimes N^*. \quad (2.6)$$

3. If V is a vector space over Z_2 , we can construct a free comodule $F = A^* \otimes V$ by letting

$$\mu: F \rightarrow A^* \otimes F \quad (2.7)$$

be just $\varphi^* \otimes 1$.

Free comodules have the expected properties: we just quote two, which we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. Let V be a Z_2 -module and $F = A^* \otimes V$ a free A^* -comodule on V . Suppose we are given a comodule L over A^* and a Z_2 -map

$$f: L \rightarrow V. \quad (2.8)$$

Then there exists a unique A^* -comodule map

$$g: L \rightarrow F \quad (2.9)$$

which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{g} & F \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \\ A^* \otimes L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} & A^* \otimes V. \end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

The map g is said to be induced by f .

Proof. Define $g = (1 \otimes f) \mu$. The following commutative diagram proves that g is a map of A^* -comodules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A^* \otimes L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} & A^* \otimes V \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^* \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \varphi^* \otimes 1 \\ A^* \otimes L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu} & A^* \otimes A^* \otimes L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes f} & A^* \otimes A^* \otimes V. \end{array} \quad (2.11)$$

Definition. We say that the Z_2 -vector space L is an algebra over A^* if 1) it is an A^* -comodule with coaction μ (2.2), and 2) it is a Z_2 -algebra with multiplication

$$h: L \otimes L \rightarrow L \quad (2.12)$$

such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L \otimes L & \xrightarrow{h} & L \\
 \downarrow \mu \otimes \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\
 A^* \otimes L \otimes A^* \otimes L & & \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes T \otimes 1 & & \\
 A^* \otimes A^* \otimes L \otimes L & \xrightarrow{\psi^* \otimes h} & A^* \otimes L.
 \end{array} \quad (2.13)$$

Proposition 2. Let V be a Z_2 -algebra, $F = A^* \otimes V$ the free A^* -comodule on V . Then

i) F is an A^* -algebra under $(\psi^* \otimes h')(1 \otimes T \otimes 1)$, where $h': V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is the product in V ,

ii) If L is an A^* -algebra, and

$$f: L \rightarrow V \quad (2.14)$$

is a map of Z_2 -algebras, then the comodule map induced by f

$$g: L \rightarrow F \quad (2.15)$$

is a map of A^* -algebras.

Proof. Part i) is an immediate consequence of the fact that A^* is a HOPF algebra under ψ^* , φ^* . The reader is invited to draw the appropriate commutative diagram.

We prove that g is a map of algebras by referring to the commutative diagram (2.16):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L \otimes L & \xrightarrow{h} & L & & \\
 \downarrow \mu \otimes \mu & & \downarrow \mu & & \\
 A^* \otimes L \otimes A^* \otimes L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes T \otimes 1} & A^* \otimes A^* \otimes L \otimes L & \xrightarrow{\psi^* \otimes h} & A^* \otimes L \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes f \otimes 1 \otimes f & & \downarrow 1 \otimes 1 \otimes f \otimes f & & \downarrow 1 \otimes f \\
 A^* \otimes V \otimes A^* \otimes V & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes T \otimes 1} & A^* \otimes A^* \otimes V \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\psi^* \otimes h'} & A^* \otimes V.
 \end{array} \quad (2.16)$$

§ 3. Proof of Thom's Theorem

Let n be a fixed positive integer,

$$R^{(n)} = Z_2[w_1, \dots, w_n] \quad (3.1)$$

a graded polynomial algebra on n indeterminates $w_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, with grade $(w_k) = k$. We make $R^{(n)}$ into a HOPF algebra by setting

$$\sigma^*(w_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} w_i \otimes w_j. \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$S^{(n)} = Z_2[y_1, \dots, y_n], \quad (3.3)$$

where $\text{grade}(y_i) = 1, i = 1, \dots, n$.

Suppose ω is a partition of a non-negative integer k :

$$\omega = (i_1, \dots, i_q), \omega \in \Pi(k). \quad (3.4)$$

If all of i_1, \dots, i_q are positive, we write

$$\|\omega\| = q, \quad (3.5)$$

if $k = 0$, we set $\|\omega\| = 0$.

If $\|\omega\| \leq n$, we will denote by $s(\omega)$ the smallest symmetric polynomial in $S^{(n)}$ containing the monomial $y_1^{i_1} \dots y_q^{i_q}$ (see [7], for example).

Let us make $S^{(n)}$ into a HOPF algebra by setting

$$\sigma^*(y_i) = y_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_i; \quad (3.6)$$

then

$$\sigma^*(s(\omega)) = \sum_{(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega} s(\omega_1) \otimes s(\omega_2) \quad (3.7)$$

(compare [5]). We may thus consider $R^{(n)}$ as a HOPF subalgebra of $S^{(n)}$, by identifying w_i with $s((1, \dots, 1))$, $(1, \dots, 1) \in \Pi(i)$. Under this identification, a Z_2 -basis of $R^{(n)}$ is furnished by the set of elements

$$\{s(\omega) \mid \omega \in \Pi(k), k \geq 0, \|\omega\| \leq n\}. \quad (3.8)$$

If we consider the normal inclusions $R^{(n)} \subset R^{(n+1)}, S^{(n)} \subset S^{(n+1)}$, we see that we can define HOPF algebra retractions $f^{(n+1)}: R^{(n+1)} \rightarrow R^{(n)}, g^{(n+1)}: S^{(n+1)} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$ which make the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{(n+1)} & \xrightarrow{f^{(n+1)}} & R^{(n)} \\ \cap \downarrow & & \downarrow \cap \\ S^{(n+1)} & \xrightarrow{g^{(n+1)}} & S^{(n)}. \end{array} \quad (3.9)$$

The maps are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(n+1)}(w_j) &= w_j & \text{if } j \leq n \\ &= 0 & \text{if } j = n+1 \\ g^{(n+1)}(y_j) &= y_j & \text{if } j \leq n \\ &= 0 & \text{if } j = n+1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We remark that $f^{(n+1)}$ is an isomorphism in gradings $< n+1$. If we now consider the HOPF algebra

$$R = Z_2[w_1, \dots, w_k, \dots], \quad (3.11)$$

where we set

$$\sigma^*(w_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} w_i \otimes w_j, \quad (3.12)$$

we can define HOPF algebra epimorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(n)} : R &\rightarrow R^{(n)} \\ h^{(n)}(w_j) &= w_j \quad j \leq n, \\ h^{(n)}(w_j) &= 0 \quad j > n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Given $\omega \in \Pi(k)$, we define

$$\tilde{s}(\omega) = h^{(n)-1}(s(\omega)), \quad n > k. \quad (3.14)$$

The definition makes sense, for $h^{(n)}$ is an isomorphism in gradings $< n + 1$, and $s(\omega)$ is independent of the choice of $n > k$, according to (3.9).

From (3.8) we see that the set of elements

$$\{\tilde{s}(\omega) \mid \omega \in \Pi(k), k \geq 0\} \quad (3.15)$$

forms a Z_2 -basis of R .

Let R^* be the graded dual of R . Let $\tilde{s}(\omega)^*$ be the dual basis to (3.15). The elements $\tilde{s}(\omega)^*$ are characterized by:

$$\langle \tilde{s}(\omega)^*, \tilde{s}(\omega') \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega' = \omega, \\ 0 & \omega' \neq \omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

Let

$$x_k = \tilde{s}((k))^*. \quad (3.17)$$

Proposition 3. As an algebra,

$$R^* = Z_2[x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots]. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. Let $T = Z_2[\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_k, \dots]$, grade $(\tilde{x}_k) = k$. Since R has commutative, associative coproduct, R^* is a commutative, associative algebra, therefore the assignment $f(\tilde{x}_k) = x_k$ defines an algebra map

$$f: T \rightarrow R^*. \quad (3.19)$$

We claim that f is an epimorphism. To prove this, it is sufficient to show that for each $\omega \in \Pi(k)$, $k \geq 0$ the element $\tilde{s}(\omega)^*$ is in the image of f . This follows from the

Lemma. If $\omega = 1^{\lambda_1} \dots q^{\lambda_q} \dots k^{\lambda_k}$ (where λ_q is the number of times q occurs in ω), then

$$\tilde{s}(\omega)^* = x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_q^{\lambda_q} \dots x_k^{\lambda_k}.$$

Proof of Lemma. The result follows from the equation

$$\langle x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_k^{\lambda_k}, \tilde{s}(\omega') \rangle = \langle \underbrace{x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_1}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \underbrace{x_k \otimes \dots \otimes x_k}_{\lambda_k}, \sigma^{(m)} \tilde{s}(\omega') \rangle, \quad (3.20)$$

where $m = \sum_i \lambda_i$, and $\sigma^{(m)}$ denotes the coproduct σ^* iterated $m - 1$ times.

The proof of Proposition 3 is now immediate: since f preserves grading, and T with R have the same dimension in each grading, we know that since f is an epimorphism, it is also a monomorphism.

Corollary: As an algebra,

$$M^* = Z_2[x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots], \quad (3.21)$$

where $x_k = \tilde{s}((k))^*$, grade $(x_k) = k$.

Proof. Proposition 3 and (1.4), (1.10).

For the next proposition, we hark back to the isomorphism

$$s^*: M_t = w_n H^t(B_{0(n)}; Z_2)$$

of A -modules for $t < n$ (1.3). For what follows, we always suppose that n was picked large. The elements $\tilde{s}(\omega)$ (3.14) satisfy

$$s^*(\tilde{s}(\omega)) = w_n s(\omega). \quad (3.22)$$

Proposition 4. Let $k = 2^t - 1$, $\vartheta \in A$, $\omega \in \Pi(q)$, grade $\vartheta = k - q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_k, \vartheta \tilde{s}(\omega) \rangle &= 0 \text{ if } \omega \neq (q), q = 2^s - 1, \\ \langle x_k, \vartheta \tilde{s}((q)) \rangle &= \langle \xi_{t-s}^{2^s}, \vartheta \rangle \text{ if } q = 2^s - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. Consider the A -map $h: A \rightarrow M$ defined by $h(1) = \tilde{s}((0))$. This is the well-known CARTAN-SERRE representation of A ([4], [10]), for

$$s^*h(\vartheta) = s^*(\vartheta \tilde{s}((0))) = \vartheta s^* \tilde{s}((0)) = \vartheta w_n. \quad (3.24)$$

If we identify w_n with $s(1^n) = y_1 \dots y_n$, we get ([2], p. 43)

$$\vartheta w_n = \vartheta(y_1 \dots y_n) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \langle \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_n}, \vartheta \rangle y_1^{2^{i_1}} \dots y_n^{2^{i_n}}. \quad (3.25)$$

To find $\vartheta \tilde{s}(\omega)$, where $\omega = 1^{\lambda_1} \dots k^{\lambda_k}$, it is sufficient to take

$$\vartheta(y_1^{\lambda_1+1} \dots y_k^{\lambda_k+1} y_{k+1} \dots y_n)$$

and symmetrize the result. In particular, if $\omega = (2^s - 1)$, we see that

$$\vartheta(y_1^{2^s} y_2 \dots y_n) = \sum \langle \xi_{i_1}^{2^s} \xi_{i_2} \dots \xi_{i_n}, \vartheta \rangle y_1^{2^{i_1+^s}} y_2^{2^{i_2}} \dots y_n^{2^{i_n}}, \quad (3.26)$$

which proves part of Proposition 4. Let us call a partition

$$\omega \in \Pi(k), \quad \omega = 1^{\lambda_1} \dots k^{\lambda_k}$$

honest, if for at least one λ_j we have $0 < \lambda_j < k$. It is then an immediate consequence of (3.25) that if ω is an honest partition, $\vartheta \in A$ and $\vartheta \tilde{s}(\omega) = \sum c_{\omega'} \tilde{s}(\omega')$, $c_{\omega'} \in Z_2$, then $c_{\omega'} \neq 0$ implies ω' is an honest partition. For partitions $\omega = (q)$, $q \neq 2^s - 1$, we prove again using (3.25) that $\vartheta \tilde{s}(\omega)$ is in the subspace spanned by elements $\tilde{s}(\omega')$, where ω' is an honest partition.

Proposition 5. Let $\mu^*: M^* \rightarrow A^* \otimes M^*$ be the coaction of A^* on M^* . Then

$$\mu^*(x_{2^t-1}) = \sum_{s=0}^t \xi_{2^s} \otimes x_{2^{t-s}-1}, \quad (3.27)$$

where we set $x_0 = 1$.

Proof. Let $\mu^*(x_k) = \sum \alpha_{\omega} \otimes \tilde{s}(\omega)^*$. The term $\alpha_{\omega} \otimes \tilde{s}(\omega)^*$ occurs in $\mu^*(x_k)$ with a non-zero coefficient if and only if for $\vartheta \in A$, $\text{grade } \vartheta = \text{grade } \alpha_{\omega}$ we have

$$\langle x_k, \vartheta \tilde{s}(\omega) \rangle = \langle \alpha_{\omega}, \vartheta \rangle. \quad (3.28)$$

Proposition 4 completes the proof.

Corollary. Let $q: A^* \rightarrow M^*$ be a map of Z_2 -algebras, defined by

$$q(\xi_k) = x_{2^k-1}.$$

Then q is a monomorphism of A^* -algebras.

Proof. (2.1) and (3.27).

Let $H^* = Z_2[u_2, \dots, u_k, \dots]$, $k \neq 2^t - 1$, any $t > 0$, $\text{grade } (u_k) = k$. Let

$$f: M^* \rightarrow H^* \quad (3.29)$$

be an epimorphism of algebras, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_k) &= u_k \text{ if } k \neq 2^t - 1 \text{ for any } t > 0, \\ &= 0 \text{ if } k = 2^t - 1, t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Consider the free A^* -comodule $F = A^* \otimes H^*$. According to Proposition 2, F is an A^* -algebra. Furthermore, Proposition 1 shows that there exists a comodule map g induced by f ; Proposition 2 asserts that g is a map of algebras.

Let $H^{*(m)}$ be the subalgebra of H^* generated by $1, f(x_1), \dots, f(x_m)$.

Lemma.

$$g(x_{2^t-1}) = \xi_t \otimes 1, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_k) &\equiv 1 \otimes u_k \text{ mod } \bar{A}^* \otimes H^{*(k-1)} \\ &\text{if } k \neq 2^t - 1, t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Proof. Formula (3.31) follows from (3.27). The assertion (3.26) follows from the remark that $\mu^*(x_k) \equiv 1 \otimes x_k \pmod{\bar{A}^* \otimes M^{*(k-1)}}$, where $M^{*(k-1)}$ is the subalgebra generated by $1, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}$.

Proposition 6. The map

$$g: M^* \rightarrow A^* \otimes H^* \quad (3.33)$$

induced by f (3.30) yields an isomorphism of algebras over A^* .

Proof. Since M^* and $A^* \otimes H^*$ are graded, have the same (finite) dimension in each grading as Z_2 -modules, and g is grading preserving, it is sufficient to prove that g is an epimorphism. Let us prove this by showing that the image of g contains $A^* \otimes H^{*(m)}$. This is true for $m = 1$, for $H^{*(1)} = \{1\}$, and $\xi_1 \otimes 1 \in \text{Image } g$, according to (3.31). Suppose $\text{Im}(g) \supset A^* \otimes H^{*(m-1)}$. If $m = 2^t - 1$ for some $t > 0$, then $H^{*(m)} = H^{*(m-1)}$, and we are done; suppose, therefore, that $m \neq 2^t - 1$ for any $t > 0$. According to (3.32) and the induction hypothesis, there is an element $z_m \in \bar{A}^* \otimes M^{*(m-1)}$ such that

$$g(x_m + z_m) = 1 \otimes u_m.$$

Since g is a map of algebras, this proves that $A^* \otimes M^{*(m)} \subset \text{Im } g$. Induction completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.

Consider the dual map to g :

$$g^*: A \otimes H \rightarrow M. \quad (3.34)$$

Since g^* is an isomorphism of A^* -algebras, g is an isomorphism of A -co-algebras. A Z_2 -basis of H is given by the dual basis of the basis of H^* consisting of monomials in the u_k , $k \neq 2^t - 1$, $t > 0$.

This completes the proof of THOM's Theorem. We cannot, however, restrain ourselves from taking the argument one step further. Let \mathfrak{N}_* be the unoriented cobordism ring [11]. According to a fundamental theorem of THOM (Théorème IV. 8 [11]), there is a naturally defined isomorphism

$$T: H_{n+k}(MO(n)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*k} \quad k < n. \quad (3.35)$$

Furthermore, the product in \mathfrak{N}^* corresponds under this isomorphism to the map induced by (1.6) [9].

We can use the ADAMS spectral sequence [1] as in [7] to compute the homotopy of \mathbf{MO} . It is sufficient to look at the ADAMS spectral sequence for $p = 2$. The E_2 -term is given by

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(M, Z_2). \quad (3.36)$$

Since M is a coalgebra over A with coproduct ϱ^* , $\text{Ext}_A^{*,t}(M, Z_2)$ is an algebra; furthermore, the multiplication in the E_∞ terms corresponds to the multiplication in homotopy induced by $\varrho \otimes$. However, since M is $A \otimes H$ as an A -coalgebra, we have

$$\text{Ext}_A^{*,*}(M, Z_2) = \text{Ext}_A^{0,*}(M, Z_2) \cong H^* \quad (3.37)$$

as an algebra. Thus $E_2^{s,t} = 0$ unless $s = 0$, hence the ADAMS spectral sequence collapses in the nicest way imaginable—and we have the following theorem, also first proved by THOM:

Theorem 2. The ring \mathfrak{N}_* is a polynomial ring over Z_2 in generators u_k , where $k = 2, \dots, k \neq 2^t - 1$ for any $t > 0$.

The University of Chicago and The Institute for Advanced Study

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J.F. ADAMS, *On the structure and applications of the STEENROD algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv., 32 (1958), 180–214.
- [2] J.F. ADAMS, *On the non-existence of elements of HOPF invariant one*, Annals of Math. 72 (1960), 20–104.
- [3] M.F. ATIYAH, *THOM complexes*, Proc. London Math. Society 11 (1961), 291–310.
- [4] H. CARTAN, *Sur l'itération des opérations de STEENROD*, Comment. Math. Helv. 29 (1955), 40–58.
- [5] J. MILNOR, *Lectures on characteristic classes*, Princeton 1957.
- [6] J. MILNOR, *The STEENROD algebra and its dual*, Annals of Math., 67 (1958), 150–171.
- [7] J. MILNOR, *On the cobordism ring Ω_* and a complex analogue*, Part I, Amer. Journ. of Math. 82 (1960), 505–521.
- [8] J. MILNOR and J.C. MOORE, *On the structure of HOPF algebras* (to appear).
- [9] S.P. NOVIKOV, *Some problems in the topology of manifolds connected with the theory of Thom spaces*, (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR 132 (1960), 1031–1034 (English tr. in Soviet Math. 1 (1961), 717–720).
- [10] J.-P. SERRE, *Cohomologie modulo 2 des complexes d'EILENBERG-MACLANE*, Comment. Math. Helv. 27 (1953), 198–232.
- [11] R. THOM, *Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables*, Comment. Math. Helv., 28 (1954), 17–86.
- [12] C.T.C. WALL, *Determination of the cobordism ring*, Annals of Math. 72 (1960), 292–311.

(Received March 10, 1962)